failures in any similar attempts. Provide links to or cite your sources.

If this enhancement is approved, what most significant measurable result should we expect by the end of the enhancement Fiscal Year (Sept 30, 2019)?

How could this project's success be tracked over time (e.g., quarterly)? Please note whether each measure listed is a currently existing agency Performance KPIs that would be influenced, or is a new measure specific to this project, by specifying *in parenthesis:* (Existing) or (New).

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Pre-Mortem 1: Imagine this enhancement is granted, and it is the end of the fiscal year in which the funds are given, **yet this initiative has failed** or has yet to be fully implemented. **What would be the three largest pitfalls** that would likely explain this outcome? *These pitfalls could be internal to the way the initiative is run, could relate to stakeholder alignment, or could be external (uncontrollable) threats that cause damaging delays, cost overruns, unintended consequences, or poor results.*

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

Pre-Mortem 2: Imagine this enhancement is granted, and it is the end of the fiscal year in which the funds are given, and **the initiative has achieved its objectives**. What do you anticipate would be three reasons this project succeeded?

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.



DC CONTRACT APPEALS BOARD FY2017-2018 PERFORMANCE OVERSIGHT RESPONSES

ATTACHMENT 4 CAB FY2017 FOIA REPORT

[Intentionally Blank]

Agency Name

DC Contract Appeals Board

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2017 October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017

FOIA Officer Reporting Mark D. Poindexter, General Counsel

	PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS
1.	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period
2.	Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2016
3.	Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2017
4.	The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as
	of September 30, 2017
	DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS
<u></u>	DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS
5.	Number of requests granted, in whole
6.	Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part.
7.	Number of requests denied, in whole
8.	Number of requests withdrawn0
9.	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies
10.	Other disposition
П	
<u>L</u>	NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION
11.	Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)
	Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)
13.	Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
	Subcategory (A)0
	Subcategory (B)0
	Subcategory (C) 0
	Subcategory (D)
	Subcategory (E)
	Subcategory (F)
14.	Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4)
	Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code & 2-534(a)(5)

16.	Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)	
	Subcategory (A)	0
	Subcategory (B)	0
17.	Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)	0
18.	Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)	0
19.	Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)	0
20.	Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)	0
21.	Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)	0
22.	Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)	0
	TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS	
23.	Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days	5
24.	Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days	0
25.	Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more	0
26.	Median number of days to process FOIA Requests. 0.02	1
	RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS	300
27.	Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests	
28.	Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests\$5	54
	FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS	
29.	Total amount of fees collected by public body	50
	PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA	
30.	Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act	violating 0
	QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT	

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DC Contract Appeals Board received five (5) FOIA requests during FY2017, and satisfied those requests consistent with the requirements of the statute.



DC CONTRACT APPEALS BOARD FY2017-2018 PERFORMANCE OVERSIGHT RESPONSES

ATTACHMENT 5 CAB FY2018 PERFORMANCE PLAN

[Intentionally Blank]

Contract Appeals Board FY2018

Agency Contract Appeals Board

Agency Acronym CAB

Agency Code AFO

To edit agency and POC information press your agency name (underlined and in blue above).

POCs

Agency Performance Mark (CAB) Poindexter

Agency Budget POCs Mark (CAB) Poindexter

Fiscal Year 2018

When you believe you are finished with this phase of your Performance Plan, press edit in the upper right, check this box, and then press save.

2018 Objectives

trategic Obje	ctives		
Objective Number	Strategic Objective	# of Measures	# of Operations
1	Increase public confidence in the DC procurement process through the efficient, effective and fair disposition of public contracting disputes.	4	1
2	Increase use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in resolving cases without the need for traditional litigation models, resulting in faster, more efficient dispositions of cases and greater party satisfaction.	1	1
3	Create and maintain a highly efficient, transparent and responsive District government through the digital archiving and electronic filing of all Board cases permitting web-based retrieval and full-text searching by the parties with pending cases and the public.**	11	1
тот		16	3

Add Strategic Objective

Percentage of new cases using electronic filing system

2018 Key Performance Indicators

Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	Directionality	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Target	FY 2016 Actual	FY 2017 Target	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Target	FY 2018 Quarte
1 - Increase Measures)	public confiden	ce in the DC procu	rement pro	cess throu	gh the effic	ent, effectiv	ve and fair	disposition	of public co	ontracting o	lisputes. (
Percentage of pending cases that are three years old or less		Up is Better	80%	100%	71%	85%	75%	90%	92.9%	90%	Annual Measure
Percentage of appeals resolved within 4 months of the cases being ready for decision		Up is Better	84%	90%	80%	90%	91.7%	90%	80%	90%	Annual Measure
Percentage of Protests resolved within 60 business days		Up is Better	82%	95%	91%	95%	83.3%	95%	87%	95%	Annual Measure
Percentage of decisions sustained on appeal		Up is Better	100%	100%	100%	100%	Not Available	100%	100%	100%	Annual Measure
2 - Increase more efficie	use of Alternations of	ve Dispute Resolut of cases and greate	ion (ADR) in er party sat	n resolving isfaction. (cases with 1 Measure)	out the need	d for tradition	onal litigati	on models,	resulting in	n faster,
Percentage of cases resolved hrough settlement		Up is Better	Not available	Not available	Not available	30%	72.9%	30%	48.4%	50%	Annual Measure

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

Up is Better

Annual Measure

Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	Directionality	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Target	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Target	FY 2016 Actual	FY 2017 Target	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Target	FY 2018 Quarter	Section and the second sections
Percentage of cases closed by the Board in the current fiscal year that are electronically archived to permit webbased retrieval and full-text searching capability		Up is Better	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	Annual Measure	

We've revisited a project to standardize District wide measures for the Objective "Create and maintain a highly efficient, transparent and responsive District government." New measures will be tracked in FY18 and FY19 and published starting in the FY19 Performance Plan.

2018 OF	perations								
Operations	Operations Header	Operations Title	Operations Des	cription		Type o Opera		# of Measures	# of Strategic Initiatives
	1 - Increase pu contracting di	ublic confidence in the DC isputes. (1 Activity)	procurement process	through the ef	ficient, ef	fective and	fair dis	oosition of pu	blic
	ADJUDICATION	Reduce the number of op appeal cases that are thre years or older through docket review and strates resource allocation.	e that are three years	duce the number or older to less	er of cases than 5%.	Daily Ser	vice	2	
	тот							2	
	2 - Increase us resulting in fas	e of Alternative Dispute R ster, more efficient dispos	esolution (ADR) in reso itions of cases and gre	olving cases wi eater party sati	thout the	need for to (1 Activity)	raditiona	l litigation m	odels,
	ADJUDICATION	Increase use of ADR in resolving disputes before CAB through researching developing and applying best practices in mediatio and other alternative dispute resolution models	, Scheduling Orders. Judge in each case of mediation/settleme conference. CAB w	ion/settlement Further, the Prencourages ant during the prill continue to be meaningful	esiding retrial uild upon	Daily Serv	vice	2	
	тот							2	
	3 - Create and electronic filing the public.** (maintain a highly efficien g of all Board cases permi 1 Activity)	t, transparent and resp tting web-based retrie	oonsive District val and full-te	governn xt searchi	nent throug ng by the p	gh the di parties w	gital archivin ith pending (g and cases and
	ADJUDICATION	Increase digital archiving and electronic filing of nev cases to provide full-text searching and, therefore, greater transparency for litigants, the contracting community and the public	protest cases permit and full-text searching parties with pending while promoting ele	historical appe tting web-based ng capability by g cases and the ectronic filing an	al and d retrieval the public, d	Key Projec	et	3	1
	тот							3	1
	тот							7	4
2018 Wo	rkload Mea	sures							
Workload Measures - Operations	Measure	New Measure/ Benchmark Year	Numerator Title	Units	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017 Actual	FY 2018 Quarter 1
	1 - Reduce the allocation. (2	number of open appeal ca Measures)	ases that are three yea	rs or older thro	ugh dock	et review	and strat	egic resource	9
	Number of new of filed		Number of new cases (protests and appeals) filed	number of cases	36	26	33	48	Annual Measure

	Measure	Nev	v Numerator Title	units	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY			
		Measu Benchn Yea	nark		2014	2015	2016	2017 Actual	2018 Quarter			
	Number of case resolved	es	Number of cases resolved	number of cases			ţ	1	Annual Measure			
	2 - Increase use of ADR in resolving disputes before CAB through researching, developing and applying best practices in mediation and other alternative dispute resolution models. (2 Measures)											
	Number of Scheduling Ord issued encourage settlement		Number of Scheduling Orders issued encouraging settlement	number of orders	36	26	33	48	Annual Measure			
	Number of case resolved throug settlement/volu withdrawal	jh	Number of cases resolved through settlement/volunta withdrawal	number of cases ary	43	8	35	31	Annual Measure			
	3 - Increase digital archiving and electronic filing of new cases to provide full-text searching and, therefore, greater transparency for litigants, the contracting community and the public. (3 Measures)											
	Number of archi protest and app cases digitized a uploaded to the public website	ived eals and	Number of archive protest and appeal cases digitized and uploaded to the public website	number of s cases	79	26	48	64	Annual Measure			
	Number of new filed and proces electronically		Number of new cas filed and processed electronically		36	26	33	48	Annual Measure			
	Number of documents filed new cases	in	Number of documents filed in new cases	number of documents	1,361	1,346	1783		Annual Measure			
018 In	itiatives											
Strategic Initiatives	Strategic Initiative Title	Strategic Initi	ative Description		Proposed Completio Date	n Ini	dd tiative odate	# of Initiative Updates	Needs Initiative Update Notificati			
	Increase digital archiving and electronic filing of new cases to provide full-text searching and, therefore, greater transparency for litigants, the contracting community and the public. (1 Strategic Initiative)											
	Developing digital archiving and uploading production goals	In FY18 the Cont the assistance of its digital archivi and accompanyi order to further t dissemination ar and closed cases the public, result confidence in go	entinue, with velopment of tion goals n plan in o the prompt n pending ve order) to	09-30-2018		ative	C) Needs Upd				
	тот		,					c)			
	Increase use of ADR in resolving disputes before CAB through researching, developing and applying best practices in mediation and other alternative dispute resolution models. (1 Strategic Initiative)											
	Enhancing use of ADR and Settlement Capabilities	alternative dispu developing a ten program. In this to confer with ke best practices ac knowledge expe appeals board co Court system, an resources such as the Council for C	ontinue its commitment to the resolution (ADR) by resemplate for a "best in class", regard, the Board intends by District stakeholders, and ross a wide spectrum of Al rist, including our federa, the District of dipublic interest/privates the National Center for Stourt Excellence, the Nation Judicial Arbitration and Me".	earching and ADR to continue d to review DR contract Columbia ector tate Courts, nal Judicial	09-30-2018	Add Initia Upda		O	Needs Upda			
	тот	G ₹6 5340						0				
	Reduce the num Strategic Initiati	ber of open appe ve)	al cases that are three ye	ears or older the	ough docket	review	and strate	egic resourc	e allocation.			
	Review And Update CAB's Technology Needs And Best Practices For Courtroom Database Management	case records, and Server to the pub addition, the Boa program (File & S types of reports (I	Vorksite Server to store all in populates case data from lic website through FileSit rd accesses its e-file and serveXpress) to obtain severotal motions, orders, dismined period, total number of the serveXpress is total motions.	WorkSite re. In erve eral basic nissals etc.)	09-30-2018	Add Initia Upda		0	Needs Upda			

Strategic Initiative Title		Strategic Initiative Description			Proposed Completion Date	Add Initiative Update	# of Initiative Updates	Needs Initiative Update Notifical	
		to conduct ma integrated data continue to we Staff to engag Board's techn	eate recurrent Orde anagement level quasests. In FY18 the ork with OCTO and e in on-going asses ology needs that we ne efficient, effectivits docket.	reries of Board will I its internal IT ssment of the Vill assist in the					,
	TOT						0		
	тот						0		
2018 Ini	itiative Upda	ites		1			1		
Initiative	Strategic	Initiative	9/	C6	Ci i c				0.00
Initiative Updates	Strategic Initiative Title	Initiative Status Update	% Complete to date	Confidence in completion by end of fiscal year (9/30)?	Status of Impact	Explanation of Impact	on Sup Data	porting	Quarter
	Initiative	Status Update	Complete	completion by end of fiscal					Quarter

Created on Dec. 15, 2016 at 3:17 PM (EST). Last updated by Katz, Lia (EOM) on June 6, 2017 5:49 PM at 5:49 PM (EDT). Owned by Katz, Lia (EOM).