

Exhibit 193

From: Werner, Ruth (COUNCIL) </O=DC-COUNCIL/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RWERNER>
To: Grant, Schannette (COUNCIL); Gutbezah, Adam (Council)
Sent: 10/29/2015 4:37:59 PM
Subject: RE: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Can forward the attachment (denial letter) if it's in the other email?
Thanks!

From: Grant, Schannette (COUNCIL)
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 12:36 PM
To: Werner, Ruth (COUNCIL); Gutbezah, Adam (Council)
Subject: FW: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Please check this out and let's talk.....

From: Jack Evans [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 11:00 AM
To: Grant, Schannette (COUNCIL)
Subject: Fwd: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: RC Lindner <rlindner@forgecompany.com>
Date: October 28, 2015 at 9:27:50 PM EDT
To: "'jackevans1@' <>
Subject: RE: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

I've read the opinion. This guy obviously took delight in analyzing something in a hyper-technical way (as well as, a way that demolishes a legitimate arrangement that bears no risk of creating a dangerous precedent that can be abused) that is astonishing in its arrogance and dangerous in its overreach. Can't there just be a simple legislative act that jumps over this clown and grants tax-free status to the building owner, as an entity that is a de facto extension of the University of Georgia as well as the State of Georgia? Would it help to get key members of Congress to send you letters of support?

From: RC Lindner
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 4:57 PM
To: 'jackevans1@' <>
Subject: Fw: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Jack, I haven't yet read, but I'd like to discuss some time over the next few days if you don't mind. Need to fix somehow.

Rusty

From: Cindy Coyle [mailto:ccoyle@uga.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 04:50 PM
To: RC Lindner
Subject: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Rusty,

I hope you are doing well. Not sure about here; we did not get good news from the district. We were denied our request for tax exemption. I have attached the letter stating this and their reasons. **RECORD 0001615**

follow-up call with [REDACTED] this morning. He really discouraged an appeal based on the cost and the likelihood of not being successful. On the other hand, he suggested that he try talking to Basil to be sure there was a complete understanding of the situation.

Based on this morning's conversation, I sent the email below to [REDACTED]. He was intrigued by the concept that Public Institutions may be treated differently than the private institutions – although this was discussed before. He asked that I forward an email to him stressing this fact. So we shall see if he gets any transaction whenever he may get to speak to Basil.

If there is anything else you think can be done, I would be happy to pursue. I really did not expect this outcome. Very disappointed.

Thank you much.

Cindy
ccoyle@uga.edu
706-542-6677

From: Cindy Coyle
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 3:47 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Thank you for the call this morning. If we need to talk further, I will be in DC Tuesday – Thursday next week.

My thoughts as discussed this morning:

The district is discriminating against Public Institutions that are precluded from their state laws from owning property outside of their respective states. This immediately puts a University of Georgia on an unequal playing field as compared to private institutions such as Cornell and Stanford, both of whom have property in Washington DC. Since the reason for having a facility is to provide the educational experience to our students, then a public institution would be disadvantaged by this ruling. This is why the private affiliated foundation is asked to step in to buy such a property. It certainly is not for any purpose other than supporting the university.

Not only are our students getting the internship experience for class credit, but they are being taught at night in the facility by UGA faculty that live in temporary apartment(s) in DC and/or by professors from nearby universities such as George Town and others. All the students in the University of Georgia programs are keeping a full complement of course work for credit towards completion of their degrees while in Washington DC.

I hope this helps. If you need more, please let me know.
Thanks.

Cindy
ccoyle@uga.edu
706-542-6677

From: Cindy Coyle
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 1:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Disappointing news.
Tomorrow at 10:30 or 1:30 would work for us.
Thanks. Cindy

Sent from my iPhone

RECORD - 0001616

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED]
Date: October 27, 2015 at 11:30:54 AM CDT
To: <ccoyle@uga.edu>, [REDACTED]
Subject: University of Georgia Foundation - OTR Letter

Hi Cindy and [REDACTED]

I just received the attached letter from OTR today. I am sorry to inform that OTR has denied the real property tax exemption we requested. I wanted to get the letter to you as soon as possible; Cindy you and [REDACTED] are the addressees on the letter which should be arriving momentarily if it has not already.

I will be reviewing the letter further today so that I can speak substantively about it with you. Is there any chance that we could have a phone call this afternoon (any time after 1:30 pm) or any time tomorrow to discuss the letter and its implications ?? I will fit my schedule to yours; so please let me know what works for you.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, [REDACTED] and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of [REDACTED] do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to [REDACTED] in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of [REDACTED] you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.

RECORD - 0001617