١, ,		J		
		A	B	
* *		Arigan	alil	1 8,
Ict #	Lexant 2/1/73 Jena	t Lest-	2//3/1	Rent
722	HOYEEREER, LOUISE	260 200.8	0/225	227-
703	WHALEN, HELEN	74 260 200.0 207.0	0 225	227-
724	PAWSON, MARY 152	au i 207.0	0 233	275-
705	KINNEY, C.C.	355 282.00	31774	
706	GREENBERG, PAUL Tic	Lic 390 328.00) 333 to	7 362
707		375 246.00		380-
708	POULOS, ACHILLES	255 205.80	238	232-
709	CALTU AUCA	255 2000		
710	CLANCEY, MARTIN	116/74 11/14 251.60	2000	-5772-
7//,	LOWMAN, HAROLD - OF	350 254.00.	28529	<u> 350-</u>
712	CARTER, ALBERT	360 295.80	351.24	332-
712-A		7370. 318.60	35.72	345-1
714	CAPALYN, JANE	525. 411.00	462.	475-
715		265 220.00_	247.	245-
//3	POLI, JOSETTE	123		/
716		173325 259.00_	2910_	300-
	HOOSE, MARGEBRITE	177		
716	HODGE, MARGEERITE	173325 259.00_	290	300-
716 717 718	HODGE, MARGGERITE MAR	173 325 259.60_ 113 243 35 , 282,60_	291.) 31777	305-
716 717 718	HODGE, MARGEERITE MAR. HALPERT, STEWART WAR. TREMEARNE, THOMAS	173 113 113 135, 282, 40 265 205, 40	298.) 31777 230.:	305- 305- 332-
716 717 718 719	HODGE, MARGEERITE 1/28. HALPERT, STEWART 1/28. TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE	173325 259.00 113 265 205.00 340, 270.60 345 272.00 260 205.60	298.) 31714 230.: 3031	305- 305- 332- 305-
716 717 718 719 801	HOOSE, MARGGERITE 1798 HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY	173 113 113 265 205.60 340, 270.60 345 272.60 260 205.60	298. 31717 230. 3031 3011	305- 205- 232- 308- 308-
716 717 718 719 801 802	HOOSE, MARGEERITE 1/28. HALPERT, STEWART 1/28. TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE CALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH	173325 259.00 113 265 205.00 340, 270.60 345 272.00 260 205.60	298. 31717 230. 3031 3011 2301	305- 232- 305- 232- 232-
716 717 718 719 801 802 803	HOOSE, MARGGERITE 1798 HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RONHOVOE, ERIK HE	173 113 113 265 205.60 340, 270.60 345 272.60 260 205.60	291.5 31777 230.5 3031 3057 2305 2254	305- 232- 305- 232- 232- 227-
716 717 718 719 801 802 803 804	HOOSE, MARGGERITE HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HE	173 113 135, 282, 00 265 205, 00 340, 270, 60 345 272, 00 260 205, 60	291.) 31777 230.: 3031 2301 2301 2254 2361	305- 232- 232- 232- 237- 235.
716 717 718 719 801 802 £03 &01 505	HOOSE, MARGEGRITE HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HE MIALUS, LERETT, F SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS PETER	265 205.00 340. 270.00 340. 270.00 345 272.00 260 200.00 275. 210.00 355 282.00 360 328.00 325 249.00	290. 31777 230. 3031 230. 230. 2254 2361	305- 232- 232- 232- 237- 235.
716 717 718 719 801 802 £03 &01 £05 806	HOOSE, MARGEGRITE HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HE MIALUS, LERETT, F SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS PETER	265 205.00 340. 270.00 340. 270.00 345 272.00 260 200.00 275. 210.00 355 282.00 360 328.00 325 249.00	298.5 31777 230.5 3031 230.5 2254 2361 21724 3685	305- 232- 232- 237- 235- 235- 235-
716 717 718 719 801 802 803 804 505 806 807	HOOSE, MARGGERITE HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE CALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK MARY SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS, PETER JIMMINK, MARY ENTER	265 205.00 340. 270.00 340. 270.00 345 272.00 260 200.00 275. 210.00 355 282.00 360 328.00 325 249.00	298.0 31777 230.1 3031 230.1 230.1 236. 21724 3680 250/7	305- 235- 235- 237- 237- 235- 235- 235-
716 717 718 719 801 802 £03 804 £05 806 807 808	HODGE, MARGGERITE HALPERT, STEWART VAR TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE CALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HEL MIAJULINERTIA SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS, PETER JIMMINK, MARY ELORED, EPPA 2	265 205.00 346.270.00 260 205.00 260 205.00 260 205.00 260 200.00 275.210.00 370 328.00 325 249.00	298.0 31777 230.1 3031 2305 2254 2362 21724 3680 25454	305- 232- 232- 232- 237- 235. 336- 336- 336- 345-
716 717 718 719 801 802 803 804 505 806 807 808 809	HODGE, MARGGERITE HALPERT, STEWART MAS TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HE MIAJULICETTA SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS, PETER JIMMINK, MARY ELDRED, EPPA HAMILTON, FRANCIS SACCARDI, WILLHAM	265 205.00 265 205.00 346.270.00 260 205.00 260 205.00 260 200.00 275.210.00 350 328.00 270 2249.00 270 224.00 270 256.00 250 297.00	298.0 31777 230.1 3031 2305 2254 2362 21724 3680 25017 25484 2305	305- 232- 232- 232- 237- 235- 235- 245- 233-
716 717 718 719 801 802 803 804 505 806 807 608 809 816	HODGE, MARGGERITE HALPERT, STEWART MAS TREMEARNE, THOMAS KIRLIN, FLORENCE HINES, CEORGE GALE, MARY LEVY, SARAH RON HOVOE, ERIK HE MIAJULICETTA SOBERNHEIM, RUDOLF CHUMBRIS, PETER JIMMINK, MARY ELDRED, EPPA HAMILTON, FRANCIS SACCARDI, WILLHAM	265 25.00 265 205.00 346.270.00 346.270.00 260 200.00 275.210.00 370 328.00 370 226.00 370 226.00 370 226.00	2985 31777 230.: 3031 230.: 230.: 2254 2365 21724 3680 25017 25414 230.: 25414 230.: 25414 230.: 25414 230.:	305- 232- 232- 232- 227- 235- 235- 245- 233- 272-

	4	
A.	B	
Now of the	c1/1/24	2 19/21
It # Derist 2/1/73 Jenest Rest 2/1/77		Rent . 71/21
570, 508.00	34/64	3:51-
525, 420.00	4724	475.
263 210.00	23600	348
0 . 55 ELACURE 325 437.00	27/10	50.00
325 259.00	2910	3/-0-
817 \$7000,000,000	230	232-
818 VICLERY, 151111111 346 270.00	303%	305-
814 HOUGH, 300 - 345 275.50	3097	315-
401 ELINZIEU 100 265 215.00	242.	238-
92 205.00	2:305	245
903 INGOED SCHOOL 9123	269.	245
434 BOEICHERWIN JUNION	3:265	3257
1905 Dupley, com	3526	
900 2012200	25529	275
307: 327-6,070	257	245-
908 RILEY, PATRICK 100 231.00	23557	
909 WEHLE, RUTH 265 210.00	2910	
910 HEUMAN, MAX 330 25920	300.	
911 AITLEN, LINDA VALSTS 303-00		339-
912 JOSLIN, P.	3314	
912-1 ALETER JOSAPHINE 390 313.00	3525	1165
QUIL INTO HELL MUKON 525 425.00.	477	•
015 ACLIDETAU KENNETH 213 335.80	200,00	·
0	33419	
917 SULLASSER ALLAN SIS! 261.00		346-1
918 MARUIRE BERNICE LOS 210.00	2367_	<u>: 2337 </u>
919 HILL, B.HOWELL 345 275.00	3.59.3	,
1001 HANNER ETHEL 345 275.00	3097	
1002 TASKER MILORED 265 205.00	2305	333
1003 TERRETTA, DORUTHEARS 224.00	257259	245-
1604 SAOOW, SUE 265 210.00	25617	235-
1009 SHOOW, SUE	•	

APPOINTED COUNCIL	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 1967-1974	1974 DCS	TAT REG 188.
	To be	B	*
	Cres	2/2/24	
		aler	
	Awas O'A		₹/
	1 dest 2/1 Terant Cest 2/1	13 (Scat	5/,
C C	1705 HAWKINS, LUNEUA 360.287.00		3257
	KERR FLORENCE 390, 313.00	35/16	326-
	CALDBERG HARRY 325 254.00	285:	<u> </u>
	ACIONOSKI LEONI NEGLES 210.00	2367	255-
	THERKEL CHARLES 265 210.00	2367	235
	2011 SANTA 2150UTL 550 262.00	3/67%	3/5:_
•	INI COMMAERT, MARCARET 503 . 254.00	2919	300 <u>1</u> 325
	1012 NACLE, RHEA 360 28200	3170	356
	WIZ-A WOLLIAK, EUCENIA 390 313.00	35,256	480-
	INIH CRANE, JACOB 525 425.00	477	335-
	1015 BUDMAN, ISAAC 265 210.00	2367_	305
	1016 HAN, H.C.T333 264.60		3(51
	1017 O'CONNELL, J.E. 335 264.00	2922	738-
	1018 IRWIN, IRMA 265 210.00 _	309:	3151
	1019 FINE RUTH 345 275,00	30912	315-
	1101 KILERANE, MICHAEL SINTS 205.00	230;	245-
	1102 000011 2101 /		232-
	1103 FRANCIS, MADELINE 265 20500	_	3387
	1104 DCERES, JEANNE: 275 210.00	3236	325-
	1105 BEIGUARU, JESSE	35/26	356=
	TICE GOWERL EVERYING 51:1.173		2010 -
	1. 1.0 1 JUINCY/ATA		338%
	1108 PERRY, WILLIAM = 265 -210 do	23617	L
		2910	3151
		273:	300-
	7/1 -187 45		325-
	1112 PICKERING, EILEEN 370 333.00	37/1/2	367-
	TIMOTOMS, AFDEN	4776	486-
	DEVER	2369	538-
	TO TOUR COURT TOUR 264 OT	2072	305
	1116 SCHIFF, EXIC 3:55	Notes that the second second	

In RE THE YORK APT. BLDG. [Apt. Bor, Address] 532 20th Street, N.W. No Petition For Adjustment To Rent Ceiling

Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.

See attached list The present rent ceiling is \$ COLUMN B per month.

(\$ 19,203) The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for is COLUMN A per month, effective November 1, 1974, or sooner if possible.

The name (s) of the tenant (s) of the said housing accommodation is/are furnished upon request

The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:

<u> </u>	Increase	in	property	taxes
----------	----------	----	----------	-------

- Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses since February, 1973
- Increases in living space or related services
- Capital improvement die Luding sebstantial Welkabilitation) as defined by the Internal Revenue Code.
- Inability to earn a reasonable return on investment.
- Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations.
- since Losses incurred without June MUXXXXXX 1974. due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.

Other [Specify]

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to § 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

bignature

RAYMOND J. HOWAR

Name

APPOINTED COUNCIL D	istrict o	F COLUMBIA 1967-1974		1974 DCST	AT REG 191
	المعالم المعطالة المتالية		•	•	
		• • •	•	R A	Proposed
				55 0	110poseci
	#	Terest 174 Jenast	Lent 2/14	·711114	
	3	LEVY	22.50	QD.20	,0-
	<i>:</i>	Ha were In	22.50	∠5.≪1 ···	30 -
	58 59	WELCH WELCH	22.50	25.27	30
		BLOCH COYNE	22.50	25:27	3D SE
	60	DECKER	22.50	25.27_	30
	61	HATT	<i>2</i> 2. 50	25.27	30-
	62	ROUSE -	22.50	25.27	30-
	63 64	MITCHELL	22.50	25.27	30-
		STEVOUICH	1750	19.65	32-
	<i>US</i>	. LENKET	23.50	25.27	3⊘
	66	ORIOWSKI GALEN	22.50	25.27	25-
	67 68	DALGLIESH PUCH	22.50	25.27	30-
	69	EDMUNDS	22.50	25.27	36-
		DAWSON VACANT	22.50 _	25.27	\$Q.50°
5	70	CAMMHERT	22.50	25.27	30-
	ור	SCHIFF	عد.50	25.27	30^
	72	NCELWEE VACANT		25.27	36 -
	73 74	MANN	22.50	25.27	30-
	75	FLATHER.	22.50	25.27	30-
		SOUTHERLAND	22.50	25.27	30-
	76 77	HEMB VACANT	22.50	25.27	30-
	78	" TD 111111	22.50	25.27	30-
	79	GOOPPASTURE VACAR	T 22.50	25.27	30
	80	BURTON	`22.50	. 25.27	30-
	80	· " Engell	22 50	25,27_	30.
	82	DAILA-SAUTA FRYE	73 ,22.50	9 25.27	30-
	• ^	The rest one	pilsed	ly quitage	1 4-3
		I she shew were		\$2,0000	1/2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2
	٠			\$ 2,060.00	# 2, 440.
	1!	10 H			

			٠.		•	• •	
	,	h	A	•	В	•	
1.1.7	+ Jennet	Tenant	<u> </u>	Rest	2/1/3 9/1/74	Rent 51,	173
302	.CMO HUNDB		_ 220			<u> </u>	
503	KADA		_ 23		- 219	-316-	
204	SHEEHAN		17332		- 190		···
C 5	YARA SHUS	رارار _{الع} بر	2.232	190	213	210-	
306	FARMER		130		- 112.		
307	TOULOUSE	6/16	174	S _ 133	- 149	145	• •
508 8C3	OIFF	5/1/ 	בריל היהור	5 108 -	- 121	<u> </u>	
509 .	MASON		170	/33	- 149	156-	
310	MCCAMBRIC	i i	, ,	4	- 149	160-	· ·
311	GUICE				153%		
3/2	BLEVINS	/ 4 / 4 من ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ ـ	73 Leiu./4	141-	1587		
314	HOHLT	_Cable	<u>/80</u>	P_/\\&/\	197>_	166 -	· •
315	BONINA		دج.ار	133			
460	L'ITALIEN.	Mr. us	175 نىن	131=	147:	165-	 -
ł01	WILSON_	471677 	233	179-	201	<u> </u>	
102	LCRENA	31,173	225	174-	1955	305.	
103	HOULT	Since	c 60.42	7.176=		220-	
104	ARNOLD	 2,,/2	2-25	_ N4			.
105	IHNAT			179-	2015	216	- -
+06	GALLUCIO				1.1.25	120-	
407.	ANDERSON		165	121-	1360	145-	
408	STEG FELLNE	R	185	108	1.280	125-	
409	PETRICH			135=_	1998		<i>!</i>
410	CORAZON_		•				
411	MYRICK			136	•		
1117 <u> </u>	HYLE			131			
114	SHIPLETT_	3/ <i>(</i> 7	170	131-	147	<u> 156 -</u>	
115	RODRIGUE	Z 8/1/2	2,175	133-	1.619	165-	
500	GILBERT.	かんしん	defil?	141-	13.0.7	155	•
হতা	HARTMAN	Thinking	233	179-	2015		
22	TEITEL BAU	m. Kin	225	174-	19:513	· 502	

		•			
		1		0	
	Inental,	new 1	n 21	, 6	. 5/1 .
7 2 + H	Inent 2/1	Lexant.	Leat	hs all the chi	nt 3/14 -
704	DE WILDE	17/1/14	j74	1950	200-
7.05	HAACK	225	_174	1951	<u> </u>
706	DE WILDE HAACK PRSQUALE ECHTER TRUJILLO	130	100.	_1.1.2	_120-
707	FCHTER	11/24 175	121-	136	K:0—
708	TRUTILLO	1/10/74	167.50	1.21%	125-
709		100	1-1 /		
710	INICIDAL F	1 70	/ <i>O</i> LI	_ / / / -	
7//	111111	. / 8 0	136	٠٠ حرنيد ١_	/ C <
712 .		. 170	/ -2./ -	(·T. />	1.300 -
714	HNATI CRONIN RUTLEDGE		141-	158	142=
715	RUTLEAGE	711/74 HO	/33 -	1493	145-
801	Mc MUR TRIE _ _MURPHY _MILES	235	179-	201	_ ズ/ひー
802	MILES	4/1/73 240	174-	19543	- Z16-
$G_{\Lambda 2}$	HUNDIEN	243	200	نوجناع	
804	KANIN	. 263	14-	_1:7:35:2	
3	DOBBS	235	<u> 190 – .</u>	ير دنو الفي	_~//0
806	SMITH	130	. 105-	11873	120-
807	WHITING	175	121-	1360	145-
808	MILLER	130	. 100	1123-	<u> </u>
809	LOUGH		/33		!\$6
810	MEZZAROS	170	121-	1369	150.
811	HARPER	123	152	1-74	
- <i>E13</i>	MCSTAY	180	145	1630	<u> 155-:</u>
814	TUCKER	Baumlase	141-	158.7	,
815	HAUSNAN_		. 133 -		' '
	1		\$ 19	,085,00	
		A = \$ 222.20)	/A,	762.80
				-81000	·
·				الماردان:	J.

-

	•	,	Α			•	
			H		<u>B</u>	,	•
			Purposed				
		new		D. 12	1 9/1/24	Pent 8/1/	715
i jié. Th	Jerusteli :	New Bexant 5/16/74	0110	Teen //	B- 1/39/1/24 6 21.92	216-	,
503	KAHN	5/10/74 1920li			197	200 -	
504	KULLMAN		523	169	_	205-	
305	FCRS7	1011/73		185			
2500	BILLINGS	211174	130	-100 - 133 -	1122	120-	
507	FERRER	91161	c:175	133-	149	100 -	-
508	WHITTAKER			108 -		120 -	·
509	PONS	51170	170	133		15%-	٠.
510	ANDO	5/1/74	c.~175	121-	136	160-	
511	ZIESMER	האורד מעני	180	136 -		75	
512	OKOLO - KULF	KAS	180	141-	15837	162-	
514	LINDSEY	10/1/73 Dhul	470	131-	•	/55	· ·
515.	POLLES		170	123-	138	145-	
680	KANEMY	5/1/73 Jan	may 175	141-	1587	165-	
601	COOPER		235	179-	2013	210-	
602	DUNJAP		227	180 -	202	302-	··.
603	HEISS		235	195-	219:	216-	
600	MOORHEAD		225	174-	195	200 -	
605	FOLINO	2116/73 Linglich	235	190-	2/13/0	216 -	•
606		1.06	1 /	1/15	121/2	120-	
600	TES EV		175	138-	15500 .	156-	
608	RICDONALD ESSEY GALLOWAY	12/1	4/73	100 -	11232	130-	
	BROWN MARTON		15	133 -	1492	156-	
609	BROWN	11/11	170	133 -	14/98	155-	
610	MARTON	Japac	140	12/0 -	1535	162-	
611	TUTTLE	5/11	173/80		14173		
612	KRESS	1000	. 180	141-	1587	162-	,
614.	HAFFMANS KALMANOWI	5	1/4/73	122 -	- 1493	145	
615.							
780	LISKO SATO	///7	3 776	100-	201	161	'
701	SPO SATO	The	masers.	100	- 600 (1)	310	
702	FALK		135	170-	2/340	210-	
703	MILLER		530		20.15		· ·

INTED COU	01,012,213,11	•	•					
esk.			•				152	2 2 5 7
	GOVERNA	AENT C	OF THE DIST	TRICT OF	COLUMB	IA		
•	<u>N</u>	AAYOR	S COMMANI	O CENTER		_	. 500	EIVED
···							NE.	בוייבט
REFERE	NCE FILE	NR	INFORMAT	NON SOUR	CE DATA		2 6 Aug 74	1106
		1	Voluntoe	red by	,			
PREPAR		(Free Property Control of the Contro		- Amazoni			C!VII.	ICE OF DEFENSE
ID NUME	BER						EXEC. C	OHD. CTR
PROCESS	SED BY		Solicited	/Monitored	from			•
ID NUME		17				. }		
WHAT	1 REN	T CON	TROL PROB	LEM	:			•
WHEN	2	PB B /	3	CT SI	Para San San San San San San San San San Sa		2008	3
WHERE	3	516			A.	•	(Addr	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.
WHO	4 %		NOUNT		STELL	MARK	Milone Micol.) FT - \
WHY	5						Carried Street Contraction	
	C (7) of near		item nambor	(provoi	er seemen er en	A		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
	OPLEM /		II NA	18000	100	65	1.03 00	
Drien	on f			If his				
	•		•	•	. • .			· :
			Page Control of Contro	-			en et en	Street or other Designation of the last
	. •					•		•
	· ·		***************************************					n and the second second second
				;				
DISTRIX	OUTION (
DISTRIC	the same of the sa	301	302 30		305	306	307	

BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

in REArtif 2 7/00 yr av	
Lipt. No., Address	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<u>washington</u> , D.C.	No
3.0.	
Petition for To Rent	Collina
Request is hereby made for adjust	sairing
above-captioned housing accommodation by	t in the rent ceiling applicable to the
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/ reason of L
The present rent ceiling is \$ 125.00	per month
The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for	per month. with a discount of ten dollars if paid within five days of du with a discount of ten dollars per month, effective days of du with a discount of ten dollars
""", or sooner if possible.	with a giscount of tan dala
The name(s) of the tenant(s) of the sa	id house
Mario Parades	nd nousing accommodation is/are:
	•
The reason(s) for the adjustment praye	d for is/are:
I! Increase in property taxes	
'x'Unavoidable increases in oper since February, 1973	rating costs and maintenance expenses.
Increases in living con-	
Increases in living space or	related services
Copital improvement (including	g substantial rehabilitation)
according to earn a reasonable	return on investment
eximents substantially below mark	et for company.
. Illosses incurred during June an through increases in operating Moratorium.	d July 1974 due to inability to pass costs during the Rent Increase
Other	To None increase
Lspecia	

	<u></u>	•		•		
in the	- '	•		•	1.	
1		•	532	20 21	A Co	
THE REAL	•	#20	Ä	•	B	- 5 /
		#29	Xew /	Q1 + 2/	173, 01/20	Rest 8, 14
STEWAY.	a A	Geneat 2/.	Gerart.	Notren	1216	195-
264			210	104		105-
8	97	.HOKE	210	166	الأولاع المسيد	195-
1	7		VP2.25.7	164-	7.2	256
	3	VACANT	• '	175:	1970	/ ^c /s ⁻ -
Sec.	4	IGNATIUS.	210	15-4-	1737	185-
Ties	05	AURELL	200	19.7	107	126-
08/08/0	: W	NEWCOMB	5/1/7430	99	1265	/50.=
STATE OF	-	HECKATHORN	11174:30	: 121.	1:36	
100	0.7	RICHARDS	116/73a	120-	/35/	126= 4
	108	• •	11.5	//+ <i> -</i> -		59 156
100	c9 .	ARTHUR	150	108-	121	130-
Table 1	10	NALCOMSON	5/10/73	117=	V	145-
2	11.	RHOE	3/16/3/60			140-
	12.	ASHLEY	160		(13:71 45.4	120-
2	14	VARNELL	160			135-
To the second			150	92-	_1033	110 -
	115	BCSWELL	175	141	1587	165
	00	EISTICH	27		1990	205:
	201	WINK		•	19319	260-
	102	O'HARA	220	•	:!!	305-
LANGE OF THE PARTY	<i>0</i> 3	HOPPE	225		17543	200
			220	169-	190-	200
1			220	164-	1840	195-
7	05	. LEE	BS	110 -	12:1/2	125-
	206	KAUFMAN	511/73-165	122 -	1448	145-
40.00	207.	WADHAMS	Calada 173	155 —	f //	125-
	208	ENBERGER	11/16/73 a	100	1616	170 - 3
温	209		1110112180	131	14418	170- 1
		2		127		100
	210		165	129-	145	152 -
- 2	211 _	SMITH	165 41174 180 Fills	14/-	1587	170 —
A. 15. A.	212		VACA 138	0	67 A7 C	
	214	LA NGLEY	VACAJJO	(S	1911	1/05
	215	•	VACALO 6/14/14 To	120-	155/6.	1/
	201		4/22/73/7 Travic	5 141-	/5,537	160 -
	301		10/11/73 22	5 171-	1790	902
	1001	,		• • • • •	=	

APPOINTED COUNC	DISTRICTOR COLUMBIA 1967-1974	974 DCSTAT REG 199
A PARTIE STATE OF THE PARTIES OF THE	4200 Carledon - Gara	in free prod
	2/13 rew Pent Pent 1/73	B Pixt 8/1/14 9/1/14 25:27 30-
	1 Maries	25.27 30 -
	1 Hillald.	25.27 36-
	3 Insset demnare 22.50	25.27 30-
	4 Bawerjack 22.50	25.27.30-
	5 Hope 22.50	
	6 tager 2250	
	7 Susition 22.50	·
	8 LANE 22.50	28.27 30-
	9 MILLER 7/9/73 22.50	25.27 25-
	10 RILLY	25.27 30-
	11 WHALEN	25.27 30-
	12 3/0/UE	15.27 30-
	13 KING 22.50	25.27 30-
		as.27 3-3 .
	15 VACANI 5/2/74 22.50	25.27 30-
	1/1/CANT 22.50	15,27 30-
	18 BOUSE 2250	28.27 30-
	1,00011 2250	35.27 30-
	19 ST. ST. WOLDER 22.50	25.27 25.
		25.27 30-
	DEWERT 22.50	25.27. 30'-
	22.50	25.27 30-1
	VACANI 17.50	1965 25-
	25 RITZENBERG KGELEY 22.50	25.2730
	26 SOBERNHEIM 22.50	35.27 30-
	27 JUCKERY 22.50	35.27
	28MCCOMB 22.50	25.27 30
	2113 NICCONTEN	

DEFORE THE ... DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

In RE Apt 5 - 1400 M Street N.W.
[Apt. No., Address] : No.
Washington, D.C.
Petition for Adjustment
To Rent Celling
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the
above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.
The present rent ceiling is \$ 111.00 per month. with a discount of
of ten dolla
per month, effective
Movember 1, 1974, or sooner if possible. with a discount of ten dollars if paid within
The name(s) of the tenant(s) of the said housing accommodation is/are
solution is a second desired and in the second desired desired and in the second desired desired and in the second desired
Guang Hwa Yang
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:
Increase in property taxes
Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses
since February, 1973
Increases in living space or related services
- The Control of th
Capital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)
x Inability to earn a reasonable return on investment
Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations
Losses incurred during June and July 1974 due to Inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.
Cother
Lspecify

BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

LApr. No., Address
and the control of the
Washington, D.C.
Petition for Adjustment
of the state of the state of the Rent Colline and the state of the sta
Request is hereby made to
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent celling applicable to the
The present cent college of the present cent college of the present cent cent cent cent cent cent cent c
per month
The present rent celling is \$ 98.00 per month. with a discount of ten dollars. The adjusted rent celling prayed for is \$ 190.00 per month, effective days of discount of ten dollars. November 1, 1974, or sooner if possible with a discount of ten dollars.
November 1, 1974, or sooner if possible. With a discount of ton dollars if paid with name(s) of the tenant(s) of the column of the date.
The name(s) of the tenant(s) of the
less said nousing accommodation to
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for Is/are:
prayed for Is/are:
Increase in property taxes
La Unevoideble increases
since February, 1973 Topological in operating costs and maintenance expenses
Increases:
Increases in living space or related services
Capital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)
to carria reasonable return on invest
Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations
The second design of the secon
Through incurred during June and July 1974 during
Losses incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase
,—,
-1 Other
Lspecify.
arphi .

Fetitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify
the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$ 7(b) of
Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the _____ day of _____, 1974, personally appeared______,

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

APPOINTED COUNCIL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 1967-1974

	E. A.		B	A prop
المنزير .	Juneal 7/13 Finant	Lest 1/13	9/1/74 Re	it 8/1/74
ز	PEKRY	22.50	25.27	30-
7		22.50	25.27	30-
2	HAUG	22.50	25.17	30 -
1	-11.0	22 50	25,27	30-
2	GOUDREAY MILLER	22.50	25.27	30-
53	HOSKINSON	2250	25.27	30 -
34	DICKE DINA	22.50	25.27	30
) (CASTORO SWIFT	22.50	25.27	30
56 20	MILLER	22.50	25.27	ටිථ -
37 26	BEST	22.50	25.27	30- ·
39 39	NODINE	22 50	25.27	30-
40	LANG NODINE	22.50	25.27	30
41	WEBBER	22.50	25.27	30 -
42 42	Dall	22.50	25.27	30 -
43	CANDIDO SLACK	22.50	25.27	30 -
	Cuttionu	22.50	25.27	30 -
45. 45.	HAMILTON PRICE	23.50	25.27	· j \$0 :
1112	GREEN	22.50	25.27	E. :
47	Ши,	22.50	25.27	20
:1 5	MILLER NANNES	22.50	25.27	30:2
49	WINEBURG VACANT	22.50	28.27	€0°
1.05	NCGUIRE	22.50	25.27.	2 -
11	CONSTANTINOPLE	. 22.50	25.27	30-
52	II.	22.50	25.27	30-
	PERKEY DUNOUAN	22.50	25.27	Pir - J.
5.4	MCDOWELL	22.50	25.27	₹.·
*	PENZIGER	22.50	25.27	
	TARK	22.50	25.27	

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \S 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

Title: Lowner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

		fore me t	THE UNCE	rsigned	Notary	Pub1	ic, on	the		day of	
	, 19	74, perso	nally a	ppeared_	,					· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
signator stated t	ry of the	e above P	etition t forth	, who, h	aving	first	been d	luly sw	orn	under o	' ath,
		•		111010111	are i	rue To) The b	est cf	his	knowledg	e and
pelief.		•		•							
pelief.		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

titioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify a foresaid tenant of the filling of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Rows L. Nort Signature

THOMAS K. WA-SIT

Title: [-owner/manager]

District of Columbia) 55.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the ____ day of

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and

belief.

Notary Public D.C

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT, COMMISSION

In RE Apt# 6 - 1400 M Street N.W.
LApt. No., Address No.
Viashington, D.C.
Petition for Adjustment To Rent Celling
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the
above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.
The present root outlies & Marie Control of the present root outlies and the present root outlies are the present root outlies and the present root outlies are the present root outlies and the present root outlies are the present root outlies and the present root outlies are the present root outlies and the present root outlies are the present root outlies and the present root outlies are t
The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for is \$ 17.00 per month. with a ten dollar discount if paid within five days of due per month, effective date.
With a ten dollar discount if paid with live days of due date.
The name(s) of the tenant(s) of the said housing accommodation is/are Rafeal Martinez
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:
Increase in property taxes
Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenanco expenses
Increases in living space or related services
Capital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)
Inability to earn a reasonable return on investment
Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations
Losses incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.
Other_
[spocify]
K

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$ 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

THOMAS K. NASIT

Name

Title: Lowner/manager

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the ____ day of

, 1974, personally appeared___

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

THOMAS R NASI+

Name

Title: [owner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the ______ day of ______, 1974, personally appeared ______ signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

BEFORE THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
HOUSING RENT COMMISSION.

In RS Art# 7 - 1400 M Street N.W.
Washington, D.C.
Petition for Adjustment
10 Rent Celling
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.
100 Dresent root patterns and the second sec
The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for is \$ 165.00 if paid within five days
with a discount of ten dollars if possible.
the remainties of the said housing accommodation is/are
Lenora Penachenera
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:
Increase In property taxes
Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses
Increases in living space or related services
. L. Capital improvement (including substantial and including substantial
reasonable return on investment
Losses Incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass, through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase
Other
Lapocity
$oldsymbol{eta}$

Lspacify」 1 Other essonont theA off Enimub strop contrango ni soscononi devort .mulroterich Lossos incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass anoitebommoose elderegmoo rot teahem woled Vileitnetedus etnes [x] themtesval no mauter eldenoscon garso of Villden [x] (nolfsfilidsdon lsitnsfadus gnibulant) answerongmi lstids) seplynes befoler to eseas privil ni sesseronll. since February 1973 esesses in the street of the sesses of the s Increase in property taxes The reason(s) for the adjustiment proyed for ls/are: No Kom Chan ens\si noitebommocog Bnisuod bigs on't to (s) thenet ent to (s) emen ent The adjusted rent celling prayed for is \$ 165.00 per month, offective days of date.

November 1, 1974, or sooner if passible.

November 1, 1974, or sooner if passible. The present rent celling is 5,00 00 per month, with a ten dollar discount if Request is hereby made for salustment in the rent celling applicable to the above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship. entiles then of " tnemtaulbA.70% noitite9" .0.0 notantdeeW Lesenbby .ch .tqA. HOUSTING RENT COMMISSION DISTRICT OF, COLUMNIA

EHL ENORER:

あれば

Potitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature North

THOMAS K. WASH

Title: Lowner/manager L.

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the ____ day of

, 1974, personally appeared

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

Fetitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to § 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

Thomas K Was H

Title: [-quanar/managor]

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Not	tary Public, on the	day of
, 1974, personally appeared		
signatory of the above Petition, who, havi	ng first been duly swor	n under oath,
stated that the facts set forth therein ar belief.	e True to the best of his	knowledge and

Notary Public D.C.

DEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING KENT COMMISSION

Anti Vo Adeport N.W. 31:2
LApt. No., Address No.
Washington, D.C.
Petition for Adjustment
To Rent Ceiling
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent colling applicable to the
。
above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.
The present rent ceiling is \$ 87.00' per month. with a ten dollar discount
paid within live days of
The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for is \$ 155.00 por month, effective date.
November 1, 1974, or source if possible. With a ten dollar discount if prid
The name(s) of the tenant(s) of the said housing accommodation is/are
The said thousand accommodation is are
Amelia De La Cruz
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:
☐ Increase in property taxes
Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses since February, 1973
Increases in living space on related and to
Lincreases in living space or related services
LlCepital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)
Inability to earn a reasonable return on investment
Rants substantially below market for comparable accommodations
Lesses Incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.
[] Other

the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature Nost

Name

Title: [-owner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

Notary Public D.C.

My Commission Expires:

belief.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

In RE Apt # 10 = 1400 M Street N.W. : [Apt. No., Address] No.	
Washington, D.C.	
Petition for Adjustment To Rent Ceiling	
Request is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the	
above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.	
The present rent ceiling is 111.00 per month. with a ten dollar discour paid within five days of	due
. The adjusted rent ceiling prayed for is \$ 155.00 per month, effective dat	
November 1, 1974, or sooner if possible. with a ten dollar discount if pai five days of due date	d wi
The name(s) of the remarks) of the said housing accommodation is/are	
The reason(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:	
☐ Increase in property taxes	
Unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses since February, 1973	
Increases in living space or related services	
° Capital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)	
Imability to earn a reasonable roturn on investment	,
Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations	:
Losses incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.	
Other	
[specify]	. !

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Pagulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature . Work

MANAUEK
Title: Lowner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the _____day of

, 1974, personally appeared

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under eath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filing of this Petition pursuant to \$7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent ceiling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

THOMAS K. NASIH

Name

Title: Lowner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the _____ day of ______, 1974, personally appeared_________signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath,

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

	HOUSING RENT	COMMISSION		•
1- RE Apt# 1 - 1400 M St	treet N.W.			
Washington, D.C.	; ;	No		
	:			
Request to b	Petition for Ad To Rent Ceil	justment ing		
Request is hereby made above-captioned housing acount The present rent ceiling	for adjustment in	the rent ceil	ing applicable to	the
the present rent ceiling	lice	ason of nardshi	p.	
adjusted rent ceilin	g prayed to	per month.	with a ten dollar paid within five per month, effect:	r discount :
, o. sooner	If non-!!	With	per month, effect: dollar discount if f due date.	days of due ve date.
The name(s) of the tenant	(s) of the said h	ousing accommo	f due date.	Paid within
The				<u> </u>
The reason(s) for the adju	istment prayed fo	r in/-		
osse in prop	erty taxes			
X. Unavoidable incr since February,	eases in operatiņ 1973	g costs and ma	intenance evo	
om livi	ng space on			
	nt (including			
	y Delow mani-		•	
Losses incurred du through increases Moratorium.	ring June and Jul	comparable a	occommodations	
• 1	in operating cost	s during the Re	inability to pass ent Increase	
' Other				
	[specify]			

LAW OFFICES

McNutt, Dudley, Easterwood & Losch Barr building Washington, D. C. 20006

PAUL V. McNUTT (1946-1955)
HENRY A. DUDLEY
O. P. EASTERWOOD, JR.
ROBERT E. LOSCH
MICHAEL F. CURTIN

ROBERT H. HUNT

September 17, 1974

296-4222
CABLE ADDRESS "McNUTTLAY"

NEW YORK OFFICE FRANK A. CELENTANO IO2 MAIDEN LANE DIGBY 4-9200

Housing Rent Commission District of Columbia Municipal Building - Room 5009 300 Indiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20001

Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith please find the Petition for Adjustment to Rent Ceiling of Mr. Thomas Meloy concerning the apartment dwelling at 1619 30th Street, N. W., Washington, D. C.

We urgently request your expedited attention to this hardship petition since the roll-back rents force Mr. Meloy into a cash flow loss of \$5,258.44 a year.

Communication from your office concerning this petition should be sent to my attention.

Very truly yours,

Robert H. Hunt

Encl.

cc: Washington Board of Realtors

Mr. Thomas Meloy

C. Millicent Chatel, Wise & Gilliat, Inc.

(all with enclosure)

·. :			Ro	ll-Back Rent		s Collected to Roll-Back
Apt.	102		\$	305.00	\$	314. 49
Apt.	103		•	201.05		250. 00
Ápt.	104			184. 20		250.00
Apt.	105			207.79		335. 00
Apt.	106			230. 25		300.00
Apt.	201			299. 33		335.00
Apt.	202	a di kacamatan da		247.10		2 66. 00
Apt.	203			184. 20		250. 00
Apt.	204			184. 20		245.00
Apt.	205			~259. 45		260.00
Apt.	206		 	235. 87		300.00
464	اِلْ السَّامِينَ (السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ (السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ (السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ (السَّامِينَ السَّ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ السَّامِينَ ال	Monthly Total Income:	\$ 3	,846.99	\$ 4,	655. 49

(Difference of \$808.50 per month, or \$9,702.00 per year)

The excess of receipts over disbursements from August 1973 to

August 1974 at the rents before roll-back was only \$4,443.56. Thus, it

can be seen that the roll-back rents would eliminate this excess and would

cause a cash out-of-pocket loss on the building of \$5,258.44 a year.

(\$9,702.00 minus \$4,443.56 equals \$5,258.44 loss) To force an owner to

reduce rents to this extent so that the property will not carry itself is a

BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

IN RE: Apartment at 1619 - 30th Street)		•	
Thomas Meloy, Landlord-Owner)		No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1715 Electronic Drive) Springfield, Virginia 22151)			
))		

PETITION FOR ADJUSTMENT TO RENT CEILING

Request is made for adjustment in the rent ceilings applicable to the apartments in the above-captioned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.

The monthly rent ceilings that will be established under the Rent Control Regulation and the rents collected prior to the roll back are set

forth below:	Roll-Back Ren	it -		Collected to Roll-Bac	k <u>k</u>
Apt. B-1.	\$ 201.05		\$	290.00	
Apt. B-2	149.38			210.00	•
Apt. B-3	168. 48			155.00	
Apt. B-4	179.75	**		200.00	
Apt. B-5	179.75			200.00	
Apt. B-6	195. 43			185.00	
Apt. 101	224. 64		•	325. 00	

severe hardship which is tantamount to confiscation of the property. Instead of receiving a fair return on his investment, the owner will be forced into a loss on his investment. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rentals set forth in the column headed "Rents Collected Prior to Roll-Back" be continued in effect to prevent such a gross inequity and hardship.

Background.

This property was purchased by the present owner in August of 1972. At that time, most of the rental rates of individual units reflected the lower purchase price which the prior owner had paid for the building. Several of the tenants had lived in the building for years and were renting at rates which were especially low as a continuing favor to them. Following the purchase in August of 1972, the present owner raised rents by a modest amount when leases came up for renewal or apartments became vacant, in order to begin to achieve a fair return on his investment and equate rental rates to the then value of the building. Unfortunately, as of February 1, 1973, a number of the apartments were still under the leases that existed in August of 1972, the date of purchase.

Expenses.

The present landlord has taken great pride in this Georgetown apartment building and has invested a large amount of cash to bring the building

Page four

up to a first-rate accomodation. He is maintaining the building in top condition which the former owner was not doing.

Names of Tenants.

The names of the tenants now occupying the premises follow:

Apt. No.	Tenant
B-1	Edward Ralph Hamberger
B-2	Irvin Wolf, Ph. D.
B-3	Thomas Dennis Sheehan
B-4	Mrs. Maria De Los Santos Brown
B-5	Richard Tebeka
B-6	Faye Mickelson
101	Marc Lenot
102	James M. Reinach and Joan S. Reinach
103	Daniel Ernst Kohn, M. D.
104	Joan S. Krinsly
105	Jan Jeffrey Sagett
106	Ernest Richard Holz
2 01	Brian Holmes and Marie Louise Holmes
202	Edith Thomas
· 20 3	Robert E. L. Tolbert III
204	Adele Brossard Harnandez
205	Michael W. Dominick
206	Pablo Rodrigues

Summary:

1. The roll-back rents would prevent the owner from receiving a fair return on his investment and would force him into a cash loss position where the building would fail to carry itself by \$5,258.44 a year.

Page five

- 2. The roll-back rents are unreasonably low because the building was purchased in August of 1972 from an owner with a lower investment than the present owner and in February of 1973, many of the low rents were still in effect.
- 3. In addition to the normal inflation of operating costs, there have been unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses since February 1973, because this building has been redecorated, refurbished and repainted and is being maintained by the present owner in a better condition than it was by the prior owner.
- 4. The roll back rentals would be substantially below the market for comparable accommodations.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission promptly notify the above listed tenants of the filing of this Petition pursuant to Section 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly.

Thomas Meloy
Owner

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me, the undersigned Notary Public, on the day of September,

Page six

1974, personally appeared Thomas Meloy, signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public, D.C.

My commission expires:

September 24, 1974

District Of Columbia Housing Rent Commission Room 509 Municipal Building 300 Indiana Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

We would like an appointment to come in and discuss a rent increase for our two family house # 239 Hawaii Avenue. N. E. We have thirty similar houses on Hawaii Avenue. N. E., all renting for the same amount. We furnish all utilities and for the first six months of this year the average cost per house for electricity, gas and oil was \$445.97. The cost for furnishing these items to \$239 Hawaii Avenue was \$904.07.

Although the thermostat is set by us it can be reset by the tenant which apparently has happened here. Although our rental agreement restricts the use of electricity to a refrigerator, lights, vacuum cleaners, radios and T.V.'s and washing machines, there is apparently waste and use for something else as you will see by the cost figures we have quoted.

We respectfully submit and will furnish any additional information necessary in hopes of getting a rent increase from these tenants to pay for the waste of this energy which we all know is in critical supply and becoming costlier.

Very Truly Yours.

Robert P. Tiffey

September 24, 1974

District Of Columbia Housing Rent Commission Room 509 Municipal Building 300 Indiana Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

We would like to come in and discuss a rent increase for our two family houses #51 and 53 Victor Street, N. E. These houses were built for the owner to furnish the utilities. In 1973 the utility cost for each apartment averaged \$25.76 per month.

In the same area we manage forty similar houses where the tenants all furnish their own utilities. In these houses the new rent controlled rent is \$132.54 each month for each tenant.

In the two houses #51 and 53 Victor Street the rent should be \$132.54 which would be similar to the forty houses plus the cost of utilities which is \$25.76 per month making a total rent of \$158.30 for each apartment.

The adjusted rent controlled rent for 51 and 53 Victor Street is now \$149.95 which is inequitable for the forty similar houses that furnish their own utilities. The rents for 51 and 53 Victor Street had been adjusted to make them comparable the first of this year but your new calculations have put them below the others \$8.35 each apartment.

We respectfully request an increase to make these apartments comparable to the others.

Very Truly Yours,

Robert P. Tiffey

APPOINTED COUNCIL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 1967-1974 WILLIAM DATA

September 13, 1974

District of Columbia Housing Rent Commission Room 509, Municipal Bldg. 300 Indiana Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find a "petition for adjustment to rent ceiling" from ninety nine spartments in the Holmand spartments 3435 Holmand Place, N. W.

This building is now in a deficit each flow position of \$5,855.04 for this year which would project a less of \$8,782.00, for 1974.

As a property Manager for this building, housing low to medium income residents. I feel that the owners are entitled to a minimum of a 10 percent return on the initial investment. These petitions reflect the increases needed

Sincerely,

James L. Rankin, CPM Property Management Department

JLR:co

Encl: stated

cc: Ir. Kenneth Luchs

Mr. Marray

Mr. Deca

BEFORE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA HOUSING RENT COMMISSION

	No., Address No.
wasn	ington, D. C. 20010 :
•	
	Petition for Adjustment
	To Pent Ceilling
Request	Is hereby made for adjustment in the rent ceiling applicable to the
above-capti	oned housing accommodation by reason of hardship.
The pres	ent rent ceiling is \$ 137.00 per month.
: The adju	sted rent ceiling prayed for is \$ 168.50 per month, effective
November I,	1974, or sooner if possible.
The name	(s) of the tenant(s) of the said housing accommodation is/are
: 01 amowa Awa	
	on(s) for the adjustment prayed for is/are:
] Increase in property taxes
£	Unavoidable Increases in operating costs and maintenance expenses since February, 1973
	Increases in living space or related services
:	Capital improvement (including substantial rehabilitation)
<u>D</u>	Inability to earn a reasonable return on investment
: <u>B</u>	☑Rents substantially below market for comparable accommodations
Ē	Losses incurred during June and July 1974 due to inability to pass through increases in operating costs during the Rent Increase Moratorium.
	Other
•	[specify]

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Commission premptly notify the aforesaid tenant of the filling of this Petition pursuant to \$ 7(b) of Regulation No. 74-20.

Petitioner respectfully requests that a hearing on this matter be held promptly and that all petitions filed simultaneously herewith for adjustments to the rent celling for housing accommodations located in this same building be consolidated for hearing.

Signature

James L. Rankin, CPM (Prop. Mgr.)

Name

Shannon & Luchs Co

900 - 17th Street, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20006

Title: Lowner/manager]

District of Columbia) ss.

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the it day of

signatory of the above Petition, who, having first been duly sworn under cath, stated that the facts set forth therein are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

1. Legy Lellin Nosary Public D.C.

My Commission Expires:

My Commission Expires January 31, 1978

Executive Partners, Inc. Suite 118 3051 Idaho Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20016 (202) 686-0131

September 11, 1974

Mr. Timothy Jenkins, Chairman District of Columbia Housing Rent Commission Room 5007 300 Indiana Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

> : Warwick Apartments 3051 Idaho Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Jenkins:

This is to urgently petition adjustment from the rent ceiling imposed on the above captioned rental property by the District of Columbia Rent Control Act of 1973 (87 Stat. 623; P.L. 93-157).

We visited your offices yesterday to register the units under Section 9 of the Act and to apply for Hardship Relief under Section G. We were told that forms for these procedures were not yet available.

When the forms become available we will immediately fill them out and file them with you. However, our need for Hardship Relief is so urgent that we can not wait for forms. The roll back we executed on September 1st reduced rental income by \$1,268.59 per month. Rent income is not sufficient to pay operating expenses. We can not pay our bills or maintain the building properly.

Paragraph (a) of Section G of the Act reads,

in part,

"...shall observe the principle of maintaining maximum rents for housing accomodations at levels which will yield to the landlords a reasonable return from such housing accomodations."

I am sure many landlords will appeal to you under this principle, but we are not doing so at this time. We are simply petitioning adjustment to meet actual operating costs. Even if you grant our petition, we will not make a profit...we will barely be able to operate the building.

APPOINTED COUNCIL DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 1967-1974

My reading of the Act finds emphasis only on recent cost increases and not on buildings such as ours where rental income is simply not sufficient to pay operating expenses. I don't know what costs were in 1971 and 1972 because we did not purchase the building until April, 1973. However, I do know precisely what the expenses have been and have attached as an exhibit to this petition (Exhibit III) an operating statement from 7/1/73 to 6/30/74 audited by an independent Certified Public Accountant which clearly shows huge cash operating losses during the period.

In addition, I have attached (Exhibit I) a statement which shows that, before the rollback, the building would have still lost money in the 7/1/74 to 6/30/75 period, but that after the rollback it will lose over \$15,000.

Our small company has no financial resources to cover these continuing losses. For instance, our fuel supplier (we burn 48,000 gallons of #2 oil during the winter) refused to deliver fuel unless we paid him \$3,000 in advance as a security deposit. Moreover, we know that fuel this season will cost us at least \$14,571 more than last year. Now that we have reduced rent income there is clearly no way we can afford heating oil without relief.

In compliance with Section 9 of the Act we have attached, as Exhibit II to this petition, a document which lists every apartment in the building, the name of the present tenant, the February 1973 rent for each unit, the new Rent Ceiling, the market rent which all tenants paid on August 1, 1974, the amount of the rollback for each apartment and the amount of adjustment we are petitioning for each unit.

Careful analysis of Exhibit II shows that the February 1973 rent roll was highly discriminating. Some tenants were paying as much as \$30.00 (or even more) greater for their apartment than were others in comparable units. We have been gradually erasing this discrimination so that by last month the rent paid for efficiencies and one bedroom apartments was almost the same for comparable units. The rollback restored the discrimination so that now we have some efficiency apartments renting for \$135.43 and others for \$161.74 instead of the \$160.00 - \$170.00 range which they were before. Due to the roll back we now have some one bedrooms renting for \$157.25 and other comparable units renting for \$207.79, instead of the \$200 - \$208 range they were before. Thus, the rollback has brought about the chaotic condition where many tenants do not pay their fair share of the cost of operating the building simply because the prior owner failed to establish a consistent rent roll. There are even cases where the rollback causes some efficiency renters to pay more than some one bedroom You will please note that the petitioned adjustment only seeks to have all efficiencies pay \$170 per month and all one bedrooms pay \$208 per month. We seek to end the discrimination caused by the rollback wherein many tenants pay substantially less than their fair share of the operating costs.

During the last 16 months, the entire period of our ownership, we have sought to bring the rents up to operating costs and to do so uniformly. Clearly, this meant some tenants got higher increases than others, but this had to be done to establish consistent and fair rent levels throughout the building. We knew we could not raise rents enough to fully cover costs so we sought to reduce expenses also. In this connection we stopped the telephone answering service.

We note that paragraph two of Section 2 of the Act seeks to protect tenants from <u>unjustified</u> (emphasis added) reduction of services. Since <u>rental</u> income was woefully inadequate to pay for it, our elimination of the telephone answering service can hardly be ruled unjustifiable.

In further compliance with Section 9 of the Act we offer the following information:

- (a) The Warwick Apartment building contains 92 rental living units and is located at 3051 Idaho Ave. N.W. The four story brick structure was built in 1939. The building is operated under license #31216 issued October 31, 1974.
- (b) The building has no air-conditioning owned by the landlord. Heating fuel is #2 oil.
- (c) The building was cited for violations (violation notice #075607). We have made all the repairs and have requested reinspection.
- (d) The owner is Executive Partners, Inc. and the address of the Company is on the letterhead of this petition. Our office is located in a former storeroom at the Warwick.
- (e) All the remaining requirements of Section 9 are presented in the exhibits to this petition.

In conclusion, we pray the Commission will institute action on our petition immediately. The real hardship to be relieved will be that of the tenants because without the petitioned rent ceiling adjustments we can not operate the building according to the laws, regulations and rules of the District of Columbia.

Sincerely,

J. T. Dykman President District of Columbia ·) ss

Before me the undersigned Notary Public, on the 11th day of September 1974, personally appeared J. T. Dykman signatory of the above petition, who, having first been duly sworn under oath, stated that the facts set forth therein, including all exhibits, are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Notary Public D.C.

My Commission Expires:

My Commission Expires Sept 14, 1373

cc: Ms. Betty Briscoe
Mr. Oliver Johnson
Mr. Irving Kriegsfeld
Ms. Flaxie Pinkett
Ms. Florence Roisman
Mr. Waddell Thomas
Mr. Edward J. Walsh
Mr. Ernest Withers

Exhibit 1

Warwick Apartments '3051 Idaho Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20016

A. 7/1/73 - 6/30/74 Actual Audited Operating Statement

Operating Income\$206,692Operating Expenses282,833Cash Loss\$ 76,141

B. 7/1/74 - 6/30/75 Projected Operations Before Rollback

 Operating Income
 \$223,200

 *Operating Expenses
 224,014

 Cash Loss
 \$ 814

C. 7/1/74 - 6/30/75 Projected Operations After Rollback

 Operating Income
 \$208,700

 *Operating Expenses
 224,014

 Cash Loss
 \$ 15,314

D. 7/1/74 - 6/30/75 Projected Operations If Granted Petitioned Relief

 Operating Income
 \$225,648

 *Operating Expenses
 224,014

 Cash Profit
 \$ 1,634

E. 7/1/74 - 6/30/75 Operating Income Required to Yield 8% on \$387,000 Investment

\$254,974

* 7/1/73 - 6/30/74 expenses adjusted by reduced interest expense (\$72,390) and increased fuel expenses of \$14,571.

Warwick Apartments 3051 Idaho Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20016

Apartment Number & Name	February 1973 Rent	Controlled Rent Sept. 1,1974	Actual Rent Aug. 1,1974	Roll Back Amount*	Petitioned Adjustment From Rent
		····			Ceiling
100 E. Bagdikian	235.00	263.95	295.00	31.05	31.05
101 D. Tennison 102 F. Dreiling	145.00 135.00	162.86 207.79	170.00 208.00	7.14	7.14
102 F. Dreiling	121.00	135.43	160.00	.21 24.57	.21 34.57
104 H. Lowman	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
105 J. Smith	121.00	135.43	155.00	19.57	34.57
106.S. Gates	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
107 M. Mulford	125.00	140.04	160.00	19.96	29.96
114 L. Nelson	121.00	135.43	160.00	24.57	34.57
117 C. Schnell	140.00		170.00	12.75	12.75
119 P. Hampton 120 J. Antunes	164.00 180.00	184.20 202.18	203.00 195.00	18.80 (7.18)	23.80
121 M. Bradshaw	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	5.82 23.80
122 R. Steinberg	190.00	213.41	203.00	(10.41)	(5.41)
123 E. Miller	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
124 P. Stockton	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
125 T. Nugent	245.00	275.18	315.00	39.82	39.82
200 H. Hallowell	174.00	195.44 195.44	215.00 208.00	19.56 12.56	19.56
201 C. Sparks 202 J. Corlew	174.00 174.00	195.44	208.00	12.56	12.56 12.56
203 P. Vessman	144.00	161.74	165.00	3.26	8.26
204 B. Mills	179.00	201.05	208.00	6.95	6.95
205 M. Hines	121.00	135.43	155.00	19.57	34.57
206 R. Saunders	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
207 B. Murek	190.00	213.41	208.00	(5.41)	(5.41)
208 D. Duty	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
209 A. Scudder 210 M. Ficklen	185.00 245.00	207.79 275.18	208.00 300.00	.21 24.82	· .21 24.82
211 R. Batson	144.00	161.74	165.00	3.26	8.26
212 D. Patching	130.00	146.01	160.00	13.99	23.99
214 S. Risoff	121.00	135.43	156.00	20.57	34.57
215 N. Price	130,00	146.01	170.00	23.99	23.99
216 V. Schaeffer	169.00	189.82	203.00	13.18	18.18
217 D. Kline	140.00	157.25	160.00	2.75	12.75
218 A. Donovan 219 F. Rarig	149.00	167.36	170.00	2.64	. 2.64
220 L. McLennan	185.00 164.00	207.79 184.20	203.00 200.00	.(4.79) 15.80	5.21 23.80 •
221 DeV. Warner	159.00	178.59	200.00	21.41	29.41
222 G. Gremillion		189.82	208,00	18.18	18.18
223 N. Millar	179.00	201.05	208.00	6.95.	6.95
224 B. Berard	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
225 J. Armentraut		312.12	310.00	(2.12)	(2.12)
300 D. Ownes 301 W. Rohrman	174.00 164.00	195.44 184.20	210.00 203.00	14.56 18.80	19.56
302 N. Smith	174.00	195.44	203.00	7.56	23.80 12.56
303 I. Coolidge	144.00	161.74	170.00	.8.26	0.00
304 1. Dwyer	174.00	195.44	208.00	12.56	12.56
305 V. Elliott	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.85
306 E. Van Sickle	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
307 L. Wallich	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
308 B. Von Brandt		184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
309 R. Ayoob 310 R. Graham	195.00 226.00	219.02	205.00	(14.02)	(11.02)
311 R. Dougan	140.00	253.84 157.25	280.00 160.00	$26.16 \\ 2.75$	26.16 12.75
312 E. Clark	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.85
314 P. Langguth	140.00	157.25	170.00	12.75	12.75
315 D. Mechling	144.00	161.74	160.00	(1.74)	8.26
316 J. Thunder	174.00	195.44	208.00	12.56	12.56
317 F. Gregoric	123.00	138.15	160.00	21.85	31.85
318 E. Failor 319 D. Veraska	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
320 J. Nichols	$185.00 \\ 174.00$	207.79 195.44	208.00 208.00	.21	.21
321 R. Draina	164.00	195.44 184.20	203.00	12.56	12.56
IL DIMETIN	TO4.00	104.40	4U3.UU	18.80	23.80

Number Number	February 1973 Rent	Controlled Rent Sept. 1,1974	Actual Rent Aug. 1,1974	Roll Back Amount*	Petitioned Adjustment From Rent Ceiling
322 J. Rayner	185.00	207.79	208.00	.21	.21
323 J. Crowley	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
324 F. Wineski	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
325 J. Lynch	220,00	242.35	280.00	37.65	37.65
400 I. Shapiro	179.00	201.05	208.00	6.95	13.95
401 P. Donovan	179.00	201.05	208.00	6.95	6.95
402 B. Stoneman	174.00	195.44	208.00	12.56	12.56
403 M. Kinahan	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.85
404 B. Duff	169.00	189.32	208.00	18.18	18.13
405 J. Bartley	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.35
406 J. Taurman	174.00	195.44	203.00	7.56	12.56
407 R. Steffens	164.00	. 184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
408 R. Acker	164.00	184.20	208.00	23.80	23.80
409 R. Snowden	164.00	184.20	208.00	23.80	23.80
410 M. Michael	226.00	253.84	280.00	26.16	26.16
411 R. Walker	125.00	140.04	156.00	15.96	29.96
412 D. Zombro	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.85
414 G. Dakis	140.00	157.25	165.00	7.75	12.75
415 G. Meredith	140.00	157.25	170.00	12.75	12.75
416 H. Hulen	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
417 A. Page	123.00	138.15	155.00	16.85	31.85
418 I. Bradley	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
419 A. Lange	185.00	207.79	208.00	.21	.21
120 B. Spearman	164.00	184.20	200.00	15.80	23.80
421 M. Wright	174.00	195.44	208.00	12.56	12.56
422 J. Wilson	169.00	189.82	208.00	18.18	18.18
423 E. McCue	164.00	184.20	203.00	18.80	23.80
424 V. McKay	135,00	207.79	208.00	.21	.21
425 G. Flanigan	275.00	312.12	290.00	(22.12)	2.88
.					
•	15,248.00	17,125.02	18,333.00	1,207.98	1,678.98

*Note: Where this column shows a negative () figure, it represents the amount by which the rent could have been raised under the ceiling formula. Since these rents were not raised, the actual monthly loss caused by the rollback is \$1,268.59 not the \$1,207.98 column total.

· Exhibit 3

THE WARWICK APARTMENTS

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1974

MENEFEE, HOLTZ AND KLASSETT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

THE WARWICK APARTMENTS

STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1974

(No depreciation is reflected on this statement)

GROSS RENT POTENTIAL	\$207,581	
LESS, YACANCIES	4,130	
LESSY THOMAS		
RENT INCOME (APARTMENTS)	\$203,451	
GARAGE RENT	1,983	
LAUNDRY AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME	1,258	\$206,
Expression and the second seco		
EXPENSES:		
ADVERTISING	\$ 265	
BUILDING AND JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	1,065	•
EXTERMINATING	116	
INSURANCE	2,129 307	100 00.
LAUNDRY AND UNIFORMS	. 307	
LEGAL AND COLLECTIONS	· · ·	
MANAGEMENT FEE	10,612	
OFFICE EXPENSE	1,325	
PAYROLL	24,697	
PAYROLL TAXES	2,788 3,256	•
R & M BUILDING		
R & M ELECTRICAL	1,244 2,076	•
R & M ELEVATORS	1,301	
•R & M GROUNDS	• 147	
R & M FLOORS	1,332	•
R & M KITCHEN EQUIPMENT	6,559	
R 在 M PAINTING AND DECORATING	2,677	
R & IT PLUMBING AND HEATING	1,001	
R & M ROOF	2,180	
R & M GENERAL	124	
· TAXES AND LICENSES	2,029	
Telephone .	3,067	
TRASH REMOVAL	17,939	•
HEAT, LIGHT AND POWER	3,090	
WATER AND SEWER	1,781	
MISCELLANEOUS	166,890	
INTEREST	. 22,832	. 282.
REAL ESTATE TAXES		
HET LOSS FROM OPERATIONS (EXCLUSIVE OF DEPRECIATION)		\$(76.

THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

MENEFEE, HOLTZ AND KLASSETT

THE WARVICK APARTMENTS

NOTES TO STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1974

- MOTE 1. PERIMANCIMO FROM JULY 1, 1973 TO ARRIL 22, 1974, THE FIRST MORTGAGE SECURED BY TO SUBJECT REAL ESTATE IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$1,050,000 WAS HELD BY UNF CORPORATION OF NEW YORK CITY. Interest payable under this mortgage was computed by adding 6 points to the daily prime rate of the First National City Bank of New York. Total interest paid to UNF Corporation was, \$148,228. On April 22, 1974, The Owner secured a new first mortgage in the amount of \$1,050,000 from the Jamaica Savings Bank of Jamaica, New York, the terms of which set the annual interest rate at 93. Thus, the annual interest costs from April 22, 1974, on will be approximately \$94,500.
- Note 2. Rent Control On August 2, 1974, the District of Columbia enacted rent control legislation which requires that rent levels be reduced to the February 1, 1973, level and be increased 4% for 1973 and 8% for 1974. This would result in an annual decrease in rent income of \$17,093 from the June 30, 1974, level. In light of the fact that, even with the new first mortgage, operating income was less the operating expenses, execution of the roll back provision of the law will result substantial increased operating losses for the property.
- Note 3. Violations On April 11, 1974, the Housing Division of the Bureau of Building,
 Housing and Zoning of the District of Columbia directed the owner of the Warwick
 to correct certain apparent violations of the Housing code. Since the directive
 was issued, contractors have been at work on the repairs and the work is
 substantially complete. Upon completion, the building must be reinspected before
 a certificate of occupancy will be issued by the City. The total cost of the
 repairs is estimated to be \$20,000, none of which is reflected on the accompanyl
 statement.

TTBEERALM DNA STAOH, BBTBNBN
ETHATHUDDOACHORD



Median Rent Selected Capitol East Census Tracts

	1960 ¹	•	19702	
Tract No.	Gross 1 : Rent	Contract .	Grosse Rent	Contract Rent
65	\$ 81	\$72	\$137	\$133
6.5	69	64	122	117
67	7 9	65	127	103
69	83	64	110	85
70	71	62	118	103
70 71	85	67	89	80
72	61	55	66	65
21	73	65	117	93
82	73	67	123	122
83.01	77	67	113	94
3.02	77	67	111	89

from 1960 Census of Population and Housing, Washington, D.C. - Md. - Va. FMSA, Table H-1&H-2.

Median Value Owner-Occupied Units Selected Capitol East Census Tracts

Tract No.	1960 ¹	1970 ²
65	314 ₄ 900 ·	\$32,300
66	17,900	35,900
67	12,900	. 23,300
69	11,700	17,000
70	11,700	30,200
70 71	11,500	14,600
72	9,800	14,100
	12,900	17,800
81		32,800
· 82	16,500	•
23.01	12,100	16,300
83.02	12.100	17,900

from 1960 Census of Population and Housing, Washington, D.C. - Nd. - Va. SMSA, Table H-1.

From 1970 Census of Population and Housing, Washington, D.C. - Md. - Va. SMSA, Table H-1&H-2.

² from 1970 Census of Population and Housing, Washington, D.C. - Nd. - Va. SIEA, Table H-1.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE CIVIL DI F St. between 4th & 5th	YYSION Witne	ss should report to
Telephone: SUNDERLAND ASSOCIATES, et al.		Room 314 [5] Third Floor
		(Old Pension Building)
Vs. ALFRED COWLES, et al.	No. <u>697</u>	2-75 (1)
and the contest, et al.	Defendant	
THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA	•
To Secretary, District of Columbia Council District Building, Washington, D. C.		
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to appear in (this as witness for the plaintiffs on the statement of the statement	27th day of August all records, transcrip documents relating to (4) Emergency Trasition	ts of hearings and (1) Regulation 74-20,
witness the Honorible Chief Judge of sai August 19 75 R. Nicdermay dr = #180380 1801 K Street, N. W., Suite 1100K Washington, D. C. 20006 Tel: 833-3700 Let this writ issue.	JOSEPH M. BUR Clerk of the Cour	TON
Judga		LC-16

1

Council of the District of Columbia Memorandum

City Hall, 14th and E Streets, N.W. 20004 Fifth Floor 638-2223 or Government Code 137-3806

Robert A. Williams, Secretary)

From Valerie J. Barry, Legislative Services

Date August 25, 1975

Subject Compilation of Official Council Records for August 27, 1975

Superior Court Appearance

As requested, attached is documentation of official records in the Legislative Services Unit pertaining to the following legislation:

- (1) Regulation 74-20 (Log 674)
- (2) Resolution 1-63 (PR 1-36)
- (3) Act 1-12 (Bill 1-59)
- (4) Resolution 1-104 (ER 1-52)
- (5) Act 1-35 (EA 1-9)

Such documentation includes:

- A. Contents of official file
- B. Hearing record
- C. Publication dates and page numbers
- D. Committee and Council Meeting dates

In addition to providing you with the official files on the above-listed legislation, copies of relevant D.C. Register editions, transcript records, tape recordings, meeting agendas, etc., are available if needed. It is suggested that a decision be reached regarding the necessity to prepare transcript records of three Legislative Meetings at which the subject legislation was considered by the Council.

Notations are made in the upper righthand corner of each document of whether the written record on file is in its original form or copy only.

A copy of the attachment has been given to Carrol Madison, HUD Committee Clerk, with a request to provide the LSU with additional documents to complete the official record, by tomorrow August 26th. Included in this request are copies of HUD Committee meeting minutes where above legislation was discussed.

cc: Member Nadine Winter, Edward Webb, Jr., Carrol Madison

Documentation of Official Council Records Pertaining to Rent Control Emergency Legislation

(1) REGULATION 74-20

A. Contents of Log 674

- 1. April 16, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-8
- 2. April 23, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-8
- 3. April 26, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-9
- 4. July 18, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-20
- 5. Tuly 26, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-20
- 6. October 17, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-20
- 7. December 3 and 11, 1974 Report on Reg. 74-29
- 8. Correspondence from Corporation Counsel
- 9. PL 93-157 authorizing rent stabilization
- 10. Correspondence from Martin Schaller re Regs. 74-8 and 74-9
- 11. Notices and publication requests
- 12. Draft legislation
- 13. News releases
- 14. Telegram urging rent stabilization in D.C.
- 15. Correspondence from Henry Nichols re Real Estate Investments
- 16. Correspondence from Mayor re Federal Rent Supplement Program
- 17. Correspondence re Evictions
- 18. Correspondence re Workshop on Rent Control and Usury
- 19. Correspondence from M. Kinahan

Regulations and Resolutions included in Log 674:

Reg. 74-8; Reg. 74-9; Reg. 74-13; Reg. 74-20, Reg. 74-29; Reg. 74-48; Res. 74-39; Res. 74-58; Res. 74-63.

B. Hearings

- January 17 and 18, 1974. (See Report of 3-5-74)
 Tape and transcript record only; hearing file includes notice of hearing. However, this record, which was consistently used by Marianne Freeman and the Corporation Council, is not now in LSU files.
- 2. August 19, 1974. (Re Rent Commission Nominees)
 Tape and hearing record only; no transcript.
- 3. September 5, 1974. (Re Rent Commission Nominees) Tape and hearing record only; no transcript.

- 2 -

Regulation 74-20 (cont'd)

C. Publication

June 17, 1974, Page 1263 August 6, 1974, Page 1

- D. Meetings
 - 1. No record of HUD Committee meetings other than reports of Committee on legislation considered.
 - 2. Special Legislative Meetings held July 18 and 26, 1974. No transcript, minutes and tape record only.

(2) RESOLUTION 1-63

- A. Contents of PR 1-36
 - 1. PR 1-36 as introduced
 - 2. Committee Report of 4-18-75
 - 3. Resolution 1-63 as adopted
- B. Hearings

None

C. Publication

April 7, 1975, Pages 2503 and 2540 April 24, 1975, Page 2858

- D. Meetings
 - 1. No record of HUD Committee Meetings
 - 2. April 22, 1975. Agenda and tape record only; no transcript.

<u>ACT 1-12</u>

- Contents of Bill 1-59 (EA 1-8)
 - Statement of Member Winter of 4-1-75
 - April 7, 1975 Memorandum of Member Winter to Council Members
 - Bill 1-59 as introduced 4-1-75
 - April 23, 1975 transmittal letter to Mayor from Chairman Tucker
 - Act 1-12 as adopted 5.
- Hearings R

None

Publication ·C.

> April 7, 1975, Pages 2503 and 2516 May 5, 1975, Page 3042

- D. Meetings
 - No record of HUD Committee Meetings . l.
 - April 8, 1975 and April 22, 1975. Agenda and tape record only; no transcript.

(4)RESOLUTION 1-104

- Α. Contents of ER 1-52
 - 1. Emergency Resolution 1-52 as introduced
 - 2. Resolution 1-104 as adopted
- B. Hearings

None:

C. Publication

August 6, 1975, Page 932

- D. Meetings
 - No record of HUD Committee Meeting 1.
 - July 22, 1975. Agenda and tape record only; no transcript.

(5) ACT 1-35

A. Contents of EA 1-9

- 1. Emergency Legislation EA 1-9 as introduced
- 2. Statement of Member Winter 7-22-75
- 3. Committee Print of Bill 1-157, revised 7-22-75
- 4. Enrolled copy of Act 1-35 as adopted
- 5. Record votes of Amendments by Members Clarke, DMoore & Winter
- 6. Record Vote of Motion to Adopt legislation
- 7. Typed Amendment to legislation (undated and unsigned)
- 8. 7-24-75 Transmittal Letter to Mayor from Chairman Tucker
- 9. Act 1-35 as adopted
- B. Hearings

None

C. Publication

August 6, 1975, Page 841

- D. Meetings
 - 1. No record of HUD Committee Meeting
 - 2. July 22, 1975. Agenda and tape record only; no transcript.

- 5 -

6) BILL 1-40 (Vetoed by Mayor)

- A. Contents of Official File (Bill 1-40)
 - 1. Mayor's Veto Message
 - 2. Staff Comments re Rent Control to General Counsel
 - 3. Executive Comments of 4-23-75, 5-15-75, 5-19-75, 6-2-75
 - 4. Report of Samuel Jackson commenting on legislation
 - 5. May 19, 1975 Committee Report
 - 6. Listing of Markup Sessions
- B. Hearings

See January 22, 1975 and April 4, 1975 Transcripts of Hearings

C. Publication

March 13, 1975

D. Meetings

See #6 of File Contents and Tape Record of Meetings

7) Bill 1-157 (Act 1-46)

- A. Contents of File (Bill 1-157)
 - 1. July 31, 1975 Report (original and duplicate)
 - 2. Act 1-46 (copy)
 - 3. August 15, 1975 Letter from Mayor
 - 4. Listing of Markup Sessions and Meetings with Executive
- B. Hearings

See January 22, 1975 and April 9, 1975 transcripts of hearings

C. Publication

July 14, 1975, pages 217-281

D. Meetings

July 15, 1975 and July 29, 1975. See Listing of Markup Sessions and Tape Record List

TAPE RECORD OF COUNCIL MEETINGS (w/no transcript)

DATE	REFERENCE	#TAPES & MEETING I.D.
April 8, 1975	Bill 1-59 (EA 1-8), first reading	2 tapes 14th Legis- lative Meeting
April 22, 1975	Resolution 1-63, adoption Bill 1-59, second reading	l tape 16th Legis- lative Meeting
May 20, 1975	Bill 1-40, first reading	3 tapes 20th Legis- lative Meeting
June 3, 1975	Bill 1-40, withdrawing from agenda	2 tapes 22nd Legis- lative Meeting
June 10, 1975	Bill 1-40, second reading	4 tapes 23rd Legis- lative Meeting
July 11, 1975	Bill 1-40, tabling consideration of Mayor's Veto Bill 1-157, introduction	l tape Additional Meeting
July 15, 1975	Bill 1-157, first reading	2 tapes 28th Legis- lative Meeting
July 22, 1975	ER 1-52 (Res 1-104) EA 1-9 (Act 1-35)	2 tapes 29th Legis- lative Meeting
July 29, 1975	Bill 1-157, second reading	1 tape 30th Legis- lative Meeting

OFFICIAL MEETINGS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF RENT CONTROL LEGISLATION 1975

- Housing and Urban Development Committee Mark-up Sessions I. on Bill 1-40:
 - March 21, 1975
 - April 15, 1975 Roundtable Discussion
 - May 2, 1975

 - May 15, 1975 May 20, 1975
- Housing and Urban Development Committee Mark-up Sessions II. on Bill 1-157:
 - July 8, 1975
 - July 10, 1975
 - July 14, 1975
 - July 15, 1975
- III. Meetings between the Mayor/other Executive Branch Officials and Housing and Urban Development Committee Members and Chairman of the Council, regarding areas of disagreement stated in Mayor's veto letter of June 27, 1975 and recommendations for changes in new legislation on rent control:
 - July 1, 1975
 - July 2, 1975
 - July 3, 1975

DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS

Box	Nο]
DOM	INO.	J

- 1) Log File 674 -- 2 folders (original and duplicate)
- 2) Documents on Statistics, Background Research and Panel Discussions re Rent Control (1974) -- 3 folders
- 3) Hearing Records
 - a. January 17 and 18, 1974 Hearing File -- 6 folders
 - b. Transcript of Jan. 17 & 18, 1974 Hearing -- 2 documents
 - c. Documents re Rent Control Hearings and Advisory Panel Discussions -- 4 folders
 - d. August 19 and September 5, 1974 Hearings re Rent Commission
 Nominees -- 2 folders
- 4) Meeting Records
 - a. Transcripts of July 18 and 28, 1974 Meeting establishing Regulation 74-20 -- 2 documents

SUBMITTED BY: Valerie J. Barry (629-3806)

Legislative Services

District of Columbia Council

Room 219, District Building

Washington, D.C. 20004

(Date, Signature)

RECEIVED:

DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS

Box No. 2

I Louine	1)	Loa	Files
----------	----	-----	-------

- a. PR 1-36 -- 2 folders (original and duplicate)
- b. Bill 1-59 (EA 1-8) -- 2 folders (original and duplicate)
- c. ER 1-52 -- 2 folders (original and duplicate)
- d. EA 1-9 (Act 1-35) -- 2 folders (original and duplicate)
- e. Bill 1-40 -- 1 folder
- f. Bill 1-157 -- 1 folder

2) Hearing Records

- a. January 22, 1975 Hearing File -- 1 folder
- b. Transcripts of 1-22-75 Hearing -- 3 documents (original only)
- c. Statement of Housing Rent Commission at 1-22-75 Hearing --1 document (original only)
- d. April 9, 1975 Hearing File -- 1 folder
- e. Transcripts of 4-9-75 Hearing -- 3 documents (duplicate)

3) Committee Records

a. Constituent Correspondence and Petitions re Rent Control-- 4 folders (original only)

SUBMITTED BY:	Valerie J. Barry (629-3806) Legislative Services
	District of Columbia Council
	Room 219, District Building
	Washington, D.C. 20004

(Date,	Signature)	
--------	------------	--

RECEIVED:	

C & T Parking Company 1008 N. Randolph St. Suite 101 Arlington, Virginia 22201

August 6, 1974

TO: D. C. Rent Control Commission

RE: Hardship Petition 1100 F Street, N. E.

Dear Sirs:

In accordance with the D. C. rent control law, we, the owners of 1100 F Street, N. E., hereby request a rent increase on the average of 42 per cent per apartment at 1100 F Street, N. E. Washington, D. C. Enclosed are the following exhibits:

The base rents, the allowable increases, the projected increases, the actual October 1973 to June 1974 expenses, the projected 1974-1975 expenses and the projected profit and loss statement.

Also enclosed is a copy of the settlement sheet and those documents we have supporting our expenses. All information contained herein is certified to be accurate to the best of our knowledge. Please note that the property was purchased September 7, 1973 with a total cash investment of \$19,155.76. Therefore, the only expense records available are October 1973 to June 1974.

Your prompt action on this matter will be appreciated. Please address all correspondence to the above address.

Yours truly,

Paul S. Covey Partner, C & T Parking Co.

PSC/kjw

APT.		ALLOWABLE RENT	CURRENT RENT	PROPOSED RENT
2	\$97.00	\$108.64	\$125.00	\$145.00
101	84.00	94.00	90.00	120.00
102	94.50	105.84	110.00	133.00
102	94.50	105.84	110.00	133.00
104	79.00	88.48	90.00	120.00
105	79.00	88.48	95.00	120.00
106	80.00	89.00	110.00	133.00
	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
107	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
201	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
202	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
203	77.00	82.64	90.00	120.00
204	79.00	88.48	90.00	120.00
205	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
206	97.00	108.64	110:00	133.00
207	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
301	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
302	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
303	79.00	. 88.48	90.00	120.00
304	81.50	91.28	90.00	120.00
305	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
,306	97.00	108.64	125.00	133.00
307	97.00	108.64	110.00	133.00
	\$2,088.50	\$2,339.04	\$2,425.00	\$2,967.00

ACTUAL EXPENSES OCTOBER 1973 TO JUNE 1974

Gross Potential Rent Current receivables Vacancy and credit loss	\$21,130.48 (599.75) (688.52)
Effective Gross Return	
Expenses	19,842.00
Gas Electric Water Sewer Telephone Oil	(515.55) (706.35) (417.41) (409.06) (108.00)
Labor	(4,063.29) (829.40)
Management Fee	(1,038,40)
Trash Extermination	(813.00) (295.00)
Supplies	(1,470.20)
Maintenance & Repairs	(3,827.70)
Miscellaneous	(295.75)
Insurance & Taxes	(2,753.24)
Total Expenses	\$22,141.62

PROJECTED 1974-75 EXPENSES

Insurance		\$ 7	30.00
Taxes		2,8	80.00
Trash		1,0	20.00
Water		9	52.00
Exterminator .		3	00.00
Oil (Approx. 14,000 gallons @ 34¢/gallon)		4,7	60.00
Maintenance & Repairs		5,0	00.00
Gas		• 6	00.00
Electric		1,0	00.00
Telephone		. 1	44.00
Supplies	· K	1,4	70.00
Miscellaneous		2	00.00
Debt Service		10,8	00.00
Total Expenses		\$30,8	89.00

PROFIT & LOSS STATEMENTS

ALL FIGURES ANNUALIZED

Profit & Loss with allowable 12% increase.

Gross Rent 5% vacany and Credit loss 5% management fee	\$28,068.48 1,403.42 1,403.42
Net rent Total Cash Expenses	25,261.64 30,889.00
Total out of pocket loss	\$ 5,627.36

PROFIT & LOSS WITH PROPOSED RENT INCREASE

Gross Rent Vacancy and Management	l Bad Debt fee	\$35,604.00 1,380.00 _1,380.00
Net rent Total cash	expenses	32,844.00 30,889.00
Total Cash	Profit	\$ 1,955.00

Cash profit as a percent of \$19,155.00 cash investment

10%

C & T Parking Company 1008 North Randolph St. Suite 101 Arlington, Va. 22201

August 6, 1974

TO: D. C. Rent Control Commission

RE: Hardship Petition 1918 18th Street, N. W.

Dear Sirs:

In accordance with the D. C. rent control law, we, the owners of 1918 18th Street, N. W., hereby request a rent increase on the average of 44 per cent per apartment at the Kirkman Apartments, 1918 18th Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. Enclosed are the following exhibits:

The base rents, the allowable increases, the projected increases, the actual 1972 expenses, the projected 1974-1975 expenses and the projected profit and loss statement.

Also enclosed is a copy of the settlement sheet and those documents we have supporting our expenses. All information contained herein is certified to be accurate to the best of our knowledge. Please note that the property was purchased May 31, 1974 with a total cash investment of \$12,603.71. This property was purchased from an estate and the only expense records available were from 1972.

Your prompt action on this matter will be appreciated. Please address all correspondence to the above address.

Yours truly,

Paul S. Covey

Partner, C & T Parking Co.

PSC/kjw

ENT SCHEDULES

1918 18th Street, N. W.

		•	
UNIT 1 2 3 4 5 6 20 21 22 23	BASE RENT AS OF 2/73 \$107.50 77.50 97.50 -0- 77.50 97.50 107.50 97.50	ALLOWABLE RENT INCRE. \$120.40 86.80 109.20 -0- 86.80 109.20 120.40 86.80 109.20	PROPOSED RENT. INCRE. \$147.00 112.00 147.00 -0- 112.00 147.00 147.00 112.00 147.00
24 25	107.50 77.50 98.50	120.40 86.80 110.32	147.00 112.00
30 ·	107.50 77.50	120.40 86.80	147.00 147.00 112.00
31 32 33 34	97.50 107.50 77.50	109.20 120.40 86.80	147.00 147.00
35 40	98.50 107.50	110.32 120.40	112.00 147.00 147.00
41 42 43	77.50 97.50 107.50	86.80 109.20	112.00 147.00
44 45	77.50 98.50	120.40 86.80 110.32	147.00 112.00 147.00
TOTAL	\$2,155.50	\$2,414.16	\$3,101.00

2⁹96⁹6⁹6⁷6⁷

Eight (8) Efficienies Fifteen (15) 1 Bedrooms

1972 EXPENSES

KIRKMAN APARTMENTS 1918 18th Street, N. W.

		į.	•
Janitor		,	\$ 645.00
Repair			6,792.00
Lights			443.12
Heat		.	3,670.00
Trash			580.00
Court Cost			62.50
Legal Fee			15.00
Exterminator	•		132.00
Water Bill	•		700.95
Advertising			58.39
Insurance			457.00
Real Estate Tax	_		2,801.35
Apartment Licenses			29,00
Management			1,400.00
			•
Total Expenses other than debt serv	vice	4	17,725.00

These figures are from the books of the Floyd E. Davis Company 1629 K Street, N. W. Washington, D. C.

agents for Henry P. and Mildred Staley.

1918 18th Street, N. W.

Janitor	•		:		\$1,080.00
Repairs & Supplie	S		•		
Electric					7,000.00
Oil (annrow 10 o	00				600.00
0il (approx. 19,00	oo garrons @	34¢/gallon)			6,460.00
Trash					540.00
Exterminator	•			· , 5.	· ·
Water & Sewer					300.00
Insurance		•			700.00
					732.00
Real Estate Tax	•				2,801.23
Licenses					29.00
Miscellaneous					
Debt Service					366.00
•					1,625.36
				\$3	2,233.59

ALL \ GURES ANNUALIZED

Profit	&	Loss	with	a	12%	rent	increase.
--------	---	------	------	---	-----	------	-----------

Allowable Gross Rents	\$28,969.92
5% vacancy & bad debt factor	1,488.50
5% management fee	1,488.50
Net rent	25,992.92
all cash expenses	32,233.59
Total out of pocket loss	\$ 6,240.67

PROFIT & LOSS WITH PROPOSED RENT INCREASE

Gross rent		•			\$37,212.00
5% vacancy	& bad deb	t factor			1,860.72
5% managem	ent fee				1,860.72
Net Rent					\$33,493.08
All cash e	xpenses				32,233.59
Total Cash	profit				1,260.30
Cash profi	t as a perc	ent of to	tal cash	invested	10%

August 1, 1974

Mr. Egon R. Tausch Still Waters Ranch Route 1, Box 74 Wetmore, Texas 78163

Dear Mr. Tausch:

In response to your letter of July 22, 1974, enclosed is a copy of the Rent Control Regulation enacted by the D.C. City Council on July 26, and the accompanying Reports explaining the Regulation. The Mayor is expected to sign the Regulation no later than August 1.

You will note that the Regulation provides for a "base rent" date of February 1, 1973 on which current allowable rent ceilings are based. Specifically, a landlord, in computing his rent ceiling would do the following: (1) Establish rent charged on February 1, 1973; (2) Increase the base rent by 4%, to allow for increased costs in 1973; (3) Increase the figure resulting from above computation by 8% to allow for 1974.

If you have not raised rents since 1960, it would appear that you may be entitled to increase rents in accordance with the above formula pursuant to the necessary 30 day notice.

The administration of the Rent Control Regulation will be the responsibility of the Rent Control Commission, to be appointed by the Mayor. If you have any further questions, I suggest you address them to Office of the Mayor-Commissioner, District of Columbia Government for response by the appropriate agency.

Sincerely yours,

MARIANNE FREEMAN

Enclosure

District of Columbia City Council Report

City Hall, 14th and E Streets, N.W.

Room 507

638-2223 or Government Code 137-3806

To Council Members

From Sterling Tucker, Chairman, Housing and Urban Development Committee

Date Rent Control Regulation

Subject July 26, 1974

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Council, I am pleased to present for second reading the Rent Control Regulation for the District of Columbia.

The regulation being submitted to you today is identical to the regulation enacted on first reading by the Council on July 18_{ρ} 1974. There have been no changes or additions in content or wording. The three amendments adopted and incorporated in the July 18 Council session have been included as follows:

(1) The following has been added to the end of section 9(c)(5):

"When an accommodation is planned to be substantially rehabilitated or in the process of being substantially rehabilitated on the effective date of this regulation, the estimated market price of such unit, prior to rehabilitation, the method of computing the estimated market price, a description of the proposed rehabilitation, and the itemized estimated costs of such rehabilition."

(2) The following has been added to the end of Section 12(b):

"The 120-day written notice shall include the information required under Section 9(c)(5), and information indicating tenant may obtain a copy of the registration form at the office of the Commission, and its address."

The intent of the above amendments is to assure that, in event an accommodation is to be substantially rehabilitated, both the existing tenant and the Commission are furnished with adequate information to substantiate that the contemplated renovation is in compliance with the provisions of this regulation relating to substantial rehabilitation.

(3) The following has been added to the end of Section 7(a):

"The Commission shall act by approving or denying each petition filed with it not later than 60 days after the filing of the petition unless extended by written consent of the parties."

This amendment expresses the intent of the Council that the Commission shall act promptly and speedily in considering and ruling on hardship petitions brought before it by either land-lords or tenants.

Since enactment of the Regulation on first reading and submission of the July 18 Legislative Report, a number of issues have been raised which require further clarification. It should be emphasized, for example, that the Committee and its staff, in arriving at the rent increase formula provided in this regulation (4% for 1973, and 8% for 1974, with February 1, 1973 as the base rent date) gave ample and repeated consideration to the impact of the June and July rent freeze upon landlords and to any losses they may have suffered by inability to increase rents during those months. It was the determination of the Committee, after considering this issue, that the formula provided, and its method of application, make ample allowance for inability to raise rents in June and July, 1974.

Evidence presented to the Committee indicated that during 1973 and the first five months of 1974 many landlords were applying indiscriminate and unwarranted rent increases which, in the opinion of the Committee, allowed for future as well as current increased costs to the landlords.* This evidence, in fact, was a major factor in the enactment of the freeze as a necessary "stop-gap" measure while the Council prepared a comprehensive regulation. The Committee further finds that the allowable rent increases over the base date (4% for 1973 and 8% for 1974) make ample allowance for inability to raise rents in June and

^{*}In 1973, the average increases for all rental units was 6%; the average increase for all rental units which received an increase was 9%; 20% of all units received increases of 10% or more (Office of Planning and Management Study).

July. As pointed out in the July 18 Report, only approximately one-half of gross rental revenues are applied to non-fixed costs subject to inflation. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, inflation in 1973 was 8.8% and is projected at approximately 11% for 1974, a total of approximately 20% for the two years. Tenants argue that, on this basis, rent increases should be held at 10% for 1973 and 1974 (and no more than 5% for 1974 alone). Nevertheless, the regulation provides for a 12 - 13% increase in rents for these two years--8% for 1974 alone. It is the determination of the Committee that this 12 - 13% allowable increase makes ample allowance for landlord costs which rose above the general inflationary spiral (fuel and utilities in late 1973 and early 1974) and for recoupment of losses which may have resulted for some landlords because of inability to raise rents during the months of June and July.

In response to continuing discussions with both landlord and tenant representatives since first reading, the Committee wishes to clarify its intent with regard to the following:

(1) Notification of the Commission and its functions to tenants

It is the intent of the Committee that the Commission adopt rules and procedures and take necessary actions to assure wide dissemination of information to tenants regarding the existence and use of the Commission. For example, nothing in the regulation would preclude the Commission from requiring that all rent increase notices be accompanied by a statement explaining where and how a tenant can file a petition or complaint with the Commission. The Commission is strongly urged to provide for such a procedure.

(2) <u>Information to tenants about contemplated capital improvements requiring eviction</u>

It is the intent of the Commission that tenants and the Commission shall be fully informed in advance of the nature and justification for capital improvements which may be just cause for eviction as provided in Section 10(b)(5) of this regulation. As one measure in this direction, the

Commission is urged to require registration of such information under its discretionary authority over contents of the registration form (Section 9(c)).

(3) Repossession for the immediate purpose of discontinuing housing use of the premises (Section 10(b)(6))

The original concern of the Committee in including this cause for eviction was to allow for the conversion of single family and row houses to business and other non-residential purposes. It should be emphasized, however, that the intent of this just cause for eviction is to provide for the conversion of any housing accommodation (whether single or multi-unit) for purposes such as non-residential business use, hotels, nursing homes, personal care homes, or school dormitories.

(4) Protections relating to condominium conversion (Section 12(a))

As indicated in the July 18 Report, the intention of this provision is to assure existing tenants in accommodations to be converted into condominiums six months notice prior to actual conversion, and the right to first refusal with regard to purchase of the accommodation. In the event that such tenant, having received 180-day notice, voluntarily vacates the unit prior to expiration of this 180-day period, or prior to actual conversion, the owner of such unit may rerent the accommodation on an interim basis to another tenant, provided such tenant is fully informed of the impending conversion and of the temporary nature of his occupancy as a tenant. Nothing stated herein, however, is intended to amend or affect in any way the provisions of Section 12(a), as stated therein, relating to the original tenant's right to receive a bona fide offer of sale or to his right to 60 days in which to consider such offer prior to receiving eviction notice.

(5) Withholding of Rent (Section 13(f))

It is not in any sense the intention of the Committee, in including this provision, to prevent the withholding of rent by tenants as such withholding has been approved and upheld by the courts in Bell v. Tsintolas, Javins v. First National Realty and Brown v. Southall Realty. The legality of such withholding of rents has been upheld in these decisions and is not intended to be altered as a result of this provision. It is the intent of this provision that such escrow deposit requirement shall be prospective from the first hearing by the Court or Commission on the relevant

case or petition. As stated above, it is not the intent of this provision to abrogate existing case law as set forth in the decisions named above.

(6) Rounding of Rents

It is the determination of the Committee that the "rounding" of rent ceilings to the nearest dollar is in no way contrary to the intent of this regulation and will simplify its administration for both landlords and tenants. Such "rounding" to the nearest dollar is therefore encouraged, so long as the rent does not exceed the allowable rent ceiling by more than \$.49.

Correction of July 18 Legislative Report

It should be noted that the first paragraph of page 7 of the July 18 Report contained some errors of reference. This paragraph should read as follows and is intended to be superseded by the following:

Public Law 93-157 authorizes the Council "to adopt such rules as it determines necessary and appropriate . to regulate and stabilize rents in the District of Columbia", provided that such rules "shall provide means whereby increased costs incurred by such landlord and directly related to such residence shall be taken into consideration in determining the amount of such rents or benefits which such landlord is entitled to receive." The Senate District Committee Report (Senate Report 93-384) accompanying passage of the Act elaborates on these "discretionary powers" given the Council "to determine levels for setting maximum rent regulations" "The Committee is of the view that latitude as follows: should be given to local authorities, in this instance, the District of Columbia Council. Whether the Council chooses to establish a cost justification formula, or to allow increases within a fixed percentage, is a public policy determination best reached at the local level. "* A similar statement was incorporated in the House D.C. Committee Report (House Report 93-259). It was clearly the intent of both the Committees to let the Council determine the best method or formula for rent stabilization

^{*} Emphasis added.

provided such method or formula makes allowance for consideration in the rent ceiling of the increased costs incurred by the landlord.

The "Base Rent" date and formula

The choice of February 1, 1973 as the "base rent" date and the provision of rent ceilings computed from this base rent date were predicated on the following:

- (1) The end of federal Phase II rent controls on January 11, 1973. Accordingly, January or February 1973 have commonly been chosen or adopted as base rent dates in rent control ordinances throughout the country, including the original "freezes" (now superseded by permanent laws) in Montgomery and Prince George's County.
- (2) The evidence (see July 18 Report) of unwarranted and indiscriminate rent increases by a significant percentage (20%) of D. C. landlords during 1973, frequently immediately after the lifting of Phase II controls;
- (3) Widespread support for a January or February 1973 base rent date at the Public Hearing and recommendation "by a consensus vote" of the Advisory Panel Drafting Committee that February 1, 1973 be the "rollback date". (Minutes of the February 20 Drafting Panel Session)

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Council, I move adoption on second reading of the Rent Control Regulation.

District of Columbia City Council **Report**

City Hall, 14th and E Streets, N.W.

Room 507

638-2223 or Government Code 137-3806

To MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

From STERLING TUCKER, Chairman, Housing and Urban Development Committee

Date July 18, 1974

Subject Rent Control Regulation for the District of Columbia

On behalf of the Council®s Housing and Urban Development Committee, I am pleased to present for first reading a Comprehensive Rent Control Regulation for the District of Columbia. The proposed regulation before you today is the product of many months of work, numerous sessions with the Council®s Advisory Committee on Rent Control, ongoing discussion with representatives of landlords and tenants, and the most painstaking consideration of the many complicated issues involved.

This regulation is designed to fulfill the following goals:

- (1) Protect tenants from undue hardships indiscriminate rent increases, unwarranted eviction and retaliatory action resulting from the shortage of housing in the District and the increased costs of such housing.
- (2) Protect the right of landlords to a fair return on their investment in rental housing in the District of Columbia.
- (3) Assure that the escalating costs of rental housing attributable to the shortage of such housing and the impact of inflation are borne by both landlords and tenants and that neither suffer inequitable hardship or loss as a result.
- (4) Maintain, improve and increase the housing stock of the District of Columbia through compliance with the housing regulations and incentives to rehabilitation and new construction of housing.

I. Background

On November 23, 1973, the President signed into law P. L. 93-157 a bill introduced in Congress by Congressman Walter E. Fauntroy, which gave the District of Columbia government the authority to enact legislation to regulate and stabilize rents as it may determine the need therefor.

Pursuant to such legislative authority the Council was transferred authority to enact rent controls which would take into account increased operating costs; require that public hearings be held six months after enactment of any price controls to evaluate their effectiveness, establish a temporary Rent Commission which could adopt rules of administration of rent controls, consider increases and decreases in rents and hardships imposed on both landlords and tenants.

Additionally, the Act provides that the Commission would also consider increases or decreases of services; tenant obligations, acts of harassment and retaliatory action against tenants. It further, provided that willful violations of the provisions of the enabling Act or Council-adopted rules or rules of the Rent Commission would carry fines up to \$5,000 for each violation.

The Congressional Act and those rules enacted by the City Council will expire one year from the initial Council action on rent controls (May 31, 1975).

Pursuant to P. L. 93-157, public hearings were held on January 17 and 18, 1974, on the rent control issue. Fifty-four (54) witnesses testifying for approximately 25 hours, appeared before the Housing and Urban Development Committee. While there was testimony on all aspects of the question, the overwhelming majority of those presenting testimony favored some form of rent control legislation. A summary report of the pertinent testimony was adopted by the Council on February 27, 1974. The full testimony of the hearing is recorded in the transcript now held in the Secretary's office.

The HUD Committee, with Council approval, assembled an Advisory Panel composed of twenty-six (26) persons equally representative of landlord and tenant interests to assist in defining and determining the parameters of the hearings, sorting of the issues and suggesting persons who might testify on various aspects which the Council Committee would want to consider. The Advisory Committee members sat through the hearings and assisted in the review and analysis of the hearing results.

At the request of the Committee, the Statistical Systems Group of the Office of Program Management conducted a random digital survey of 566 persons across the city which among other findings concluded that:

- -- approximately 70% of the apartments in the District received rent increases during the period January 1973 and January 1974 at an average of six percent.
- --20% of all units surveyed received an increase greater than 10% also suggested was a pattern of higher percentage increases for inner city units and low income units in general. In Service Areas 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 9, the central city area, 37% of the units experienced increases greater than 10%, while Anacostia, service area 8 was 26% and the far northeast 20% increases.

Another survey, conducted by the D. C. Public Interest Research Group (D. C. PIRG) substantiated many of the figures of the D. C. Government survey, and also revealed statistics which point to the critical nature of rents in the District. Using a wider scope of questioning and also door to door sampling in specified areas of the city, the PIRG survey showed that the overall vacancy rate for the District to be 2.7%. The vacancy rate of less than 5% overall, has been considered by the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development as being a state of emergency.

The actual supply of housing was compared to the demand. Although the overall population of the District has been declining slightly, the number of separate households has risen 16.1% since 1950, according to the Census Bureau. The PIRG survey shows that new construction has barely outdistanced demolition in the District. In 1973, for instance, the city registered a gain of greater than 1,000 units, and that was predominantly luxury apartments or condominiums in far Northwest. Demolition, however, has occurred primarily in the urban renewal areas of the inner city, and has thus eroded most severely the supply of low to moderate income housing. Iow and moderate income housing has actually declined numerically in the past few years, due to demolition and to rent increases which have pushed this otherwise low to moderate income housing into the middle to high income category.

The public hearings produced much testimony to substantiate the results of the two surveys. Although statistics can tell us that the housing crisis is substantially severe for those on low and fixed incomes, they cannot portray the hardship or measure the human anguish which results from uncontrolled rents and the housing shortage.

Based on the hearing, the Panel and your Committee reached findings as follows:

- (1) Housing in the District is in short supply; a major factor underlying the rental question and other problems;
- (2) Rent control, while necessary, will not solve this fundamental question;
- (3) More diligent enforcement of the City's Housing Code with emphasis on tenant as well as landlord responsibility will contribute to better landlord-tenant repairs and the tenant, in rent.
- (4) Any rent control law, if equitable, must allow for legitimate increase in operating costs and a reasonable return on investment:
- (5) Most landlords and tenants are responsible and reasonable and do not gouge, cheat or otherwise "rip off" each other;
- (6) Any rent control regulation should carefully consider market conditions and other relevant economic factors operative throughout the greater Washington area;
- (7) The determination as to whether and what kind of law we have should be made expeditiously so as to relieve the anxieties and extinguish the uncertainties now surrounding this question;
- (8) Any rent control regulation should include a date which fixes the basic rent levels from which determinations are made as to reasonable increased costs; and
- (9) While some federally subsidized housing should be exempted from local rent control measures, the entire question should be reviewed in relation to the overall problem before exemptions are granted.

On February 27, 1974, pursuant to P. L. 93-157, and in consideration of the above factors, the hearing record and Advisory Panel concurrence, the Council approved unanimously, the Committee's findings of a need for rent control and authorized the drafting of such legislation for consideration by the Council at an early date.

On April 23, 1974, upon finding that further unreasonable increases in rents were being imposed by landlords, the Council determined that an emergency existed in the District of Columbia and adopted Resolution 74-8 a "stop gap" measure which prohibited increases in rents during the months of June and July 1974, thus "freezing" rents at the level of May 1, 1974. This moratorium on rent increases was

to permit the enactment of a comprehensive rent control regulation by the expiration of the moratorium which is July 31, 1974.

On the challenge of the legality of Regulation 74-8, the Superior Court of the District of Columbia held that . . . "The Court concludes and so finds that the Committee could reasonably have found that an emergency existed in the housing industry in the District of Columbia on the basis of testimony at the public hearing".

Major Provisions

Coverage

This regulation applies to all rental housing in the District of Columbia with the exception of:

- (1) Hotels and other accommodations primarily serving transients; boarding houses and rooming houses; school dormitories; nursing homes, convalescent homes and personal care homes.
- (2) All publicly owned housing, whether owned by HUD or the National Capital Housing Authority, and all housing in which either the mortgages, the rents, or both are Federally subsidized. This means in effect that Section 236, 221(d) (3) and 202 (elderly) housing, which is largely owned by charitable or nonprofit making enterprises, is exempt from rent control. Housing in which mortgages are insured or guaranteed by HUD (such as much of the new housing in the Southwest) are covered by the regulation. You may recall that testimony at the hearings documented exorbitant rent increases in the latter class of Federally assisted housing.
- (3) All new construction completed after February 1, 1973, during the first year or the first tenancy (whichever period is shorter) following completion of such construction.

Included in these coverages are single family rental homes and apartments not otherwise exempted above regardless of the number of units involved or whether such accommodation is owner-occupied or not.

Rent Stabilization

For most types of housing, two methods are provided in the regulation to control rent levels while allowing for increased costs

- 6 -

incurred by the landlord in 1973 and 1974.

- (1) The rent increase formula. The regulation establishes a "base rent" which is equivalent to the rent charged on February 1, 1973. The allowable "rent ceiling" for each accommodation is established by two steps: (a) Increasing the base rent by 4% to allow for increased costs incurred by the landlord in 1973; (b) Increasing the figure computed in Step (a) by 8% to allow for increased costs incurred by the landlord in 1974.
- (2) The Hardship Provision. In addition to the automatic increase allowed in the formula, a landlord or tenant may petition the Commission (or the Commission may act upon its own initiative) to increase or decrease the rent ceiling. This procedure provides for the tenant and the landlord an additional vehicle for upward or downward adjustment of the rent, including allowance for increased costs incurred by the landlord. In addition, a landlord who plans a capital improvement or increase in services may petition for an advance ruling to approve a projected rent increase upon implementation of his plans.

Additionally, the following provisions are included to stabilize rents and provide for increased costs incurred by the landlord:

- (1) A provision which allows the landlord to raise the rent automatically by 4% over the rent ceiling to cover costs of capital improvements already completed or begun at time of passage of this regulation.
- (2) A provision for equalization of rents of identical units to be applied as such units become vacant.
- (3) A rent ceiling on substantially rehabilitated housing which allows the landlord to increase the rent after rehabilitation by increasing the former rent ceiling by 125%.
- (4) A rent ceiling on newly constructed housing (after an initial period of exemption) which is equal to the rent charged for the first tenant of such housing.

Public Law 93-157 authorizes the Council "to adopt such rules as it determines necessary and appropriate to regulate and stabilize rents in the District of Columbia", provided that such rules "shall provide means whereby increased costs incurred by such landlord and directly related to such residence shall be taken into consideration in determining the amount of such rents or benefits which such landlord is entitled to receive". The House District Committee Report (House Report 93-259) accompanying passage of the Act elaborates on these "discretionary powers" given the Council "to determine levels for setting maximum rent regulations" as follows: "The Committee is of the view that latitude should be given to local authorities, in this instance, the District of Columbia Council. Whether the Council chooses to establish a cost justification formula, or to allow increases within a fixed percentage, is a public policy determination best reached at the local level." * The exact statement is repeated in the Senate D. C. Committee Report (Senate Report 93-84). It was clearly the intent of both the Committees to let the Council determine the best method or formula for rent stabilization, provided such method or formula makes allowance for consideration in the rent ceiling of the increased costs incurred by the landlord.

It is the determination of the Committee that the fixed percentage method, coupled with the hardship mechanism and the other provisions described above, is the optimum vehicle available to the Council to regulate rents and allow for increased costs incurred by the landlord. The automatic percentage formula, coupled with the hardship provision, is in the opinion of the Committee, the most equitable and administratively feasible method to regulate rents and allow for increased costs in view of the short life span of this regulation (ten months) and the limited resources authorized for the Rent Control Commission.

It is the further determination of the Committee, based on the Record, the deliberations of the drafting Panel, and the data collected by the Committee, that the rent control formula provided in this regulation, coupled with the hardship provision, fully complies with the provisions of the Act and the intent of Congress and makes adequate and reasonable provision for the stabilization of rents while allowing for inclusion in rents of the increased costs incurred by the landlord.

^{*} Emphasis added.

The following factors were considered in arriving at the rent increase methodology and formula and in the determination of its reasonableness:

- (1) The "base rent" date of February 1, 1973. Rollback of rents to January or February 1973 has been common in many rent control jurisdictions (including Montgomery and Prince George's County) because of the end of Phase II federal rent controls on January 11, 1974. Accordingly, the Drafting Panel of the Rent Control Advisory Committee recommended "by a consensus vote the date of February 1, 1973" as the base rent date.*
- (2) The separate recommendations of tenant and land-lord representatives. The tenant representatives of the Drafting Panel recommended a 3.42% increase for 1973 and a 4.20% increase for 1974, or a total of approximately 8% for landlords supplying all utilities. In addition they proposed a maximum 4% automatic increase for capital improvements. The tenants arrived at the 8% formula through a step-by-step computation which applied the U.S. Labor Department Consumer Price Index (CPI) for 1973 and 1974 for that portion of gross rent (45 to 50%) which represents costs subject to change. Specifically, they used the CPI data for each relevant item in landlord operating costs (maintenance, taxes, fuel, administrative costs, utilities, and insurance) and applied it to the appropriate percentage of gross rental income.

The landlord representatives proposed for 1973, a 4% increase to allow for "actual increased costs in maintenance and operation", plus 2% to allow for the "additional cost of implementing the Act" and "for the loss of the use of the landlord's money for advance payment of taxes and inability to collect pass-thrus" immediately. For 1974, the landlords proposed a 6% increase plus pass throughs or 3% in lieu of pass throughs, a total increase for the two years, of 12% plus pass throughs, or 15%.

The landlords supported their proposal by provision of data documenting increased costs of specific items such as fuel, utilities, solid waste disposal, etc. In addition they illustrated the impact of these increased costs by applying them to several "typical" rental units. No comprehensive formula or computations based on sampling of rental units were offered or presented.

 ^{*} Minute of the Drafting Panel Meeting, January 11, 1974.

It should be noted that, while the proposed rent ceiling of tenants and landlords were widely divergent, they agreed on the application of a two-step formula which compounds increases separately for 1973 and 1974. It should also be noted that the two groups were surprisingly close in their proposed increases for operating costs in 1973.

It is the conclusion of the Committee after careful study of the two proposals in light of other available data that neither is reasonable and defensible in view of the intent of the Act and this regulation. The tenant proposal, while defensible in its methodology, is open to dispute with regard to the percentages of gross rent alloted to various operating costs, such as fuel and utility costs. The landlord proposal is lacking in specific computations to justify the requested increase.

The Committee concludes that the proposed 4% plus 8% in rent increase formula is reasonable and equitable on the following basis:

(1) The fact that, as documented in the Record, (John Murray, p. 367 of the transcript), only approximately 50% of gross rent is spent for maintenance and operating costs - i. e., non-fixed costs subject to inflation. Hence (as an example) if operating and maintenance costs rise 20% in a given year, rents should be increased 10% to allow for these increased costs. Conversely, a rent increase of 15% for 1973 and 1974 (as proposed by the landlords), presumes an increase of 30% in the non-fixed costs covered by gross rents during the two years.

In 1973, the overall increase in the Cost of Living was 8.8% according to the U.S. Labor Department. The projected increase for 1974, based on the first five months of the year, is 10.7% - a total of 19.5% increase in the CPI for 1973 and 1974. Using the CPI, a total rent increase of approximately 10% for 1973 and 1974 would cover the increased maintenance and operating costs included in gross rents. We know, however, that the cost of fuel and utilities have increased considerably more than other costs. The proposed rent increase formula allows for the increased costs of the landlord while keeping the increase in rents substantially in line with the overall inflationary spiral.

In spite of much landlord opposition to the proposed formula since the Public Hearing, the record indicates that it is not necessarily out of line with the increases projected by landlords early in 1974, when

fuel costs were at the highest levels. For example, R. Lide Glenn, General Manager of Van Ness Properties, testified that their average rent increase for 1973 was 5.5% and then added: "The rent schedules which we have prepared for the calendar year 1974 and which we have already begun to put into effect suggest an average rent increase of less than 4.8%" . . . a total average increase of less than 11% for 1973 and 1974 for the Van Ness Properties.

(2) The hidden benefit factor for landlords, a repeated subject of questioning and testimony at the public hearing. Tenants repeatedly called for consideration of tax benefits, such as depreciation, past profits, and increased value of property in computing rent ceilings. It is the consideration of the Committee, however, that, except in hardship applications, equitable consideration of such hidden benefits would be impractical if not impossible in setting a general rent increase formula. Nevertheless, it is the intent of the Committee that such factors be weighed in considering hardship petitions.

Against the above background, it is the determination of the Committee that the proposed rent increase formula, coupled with the hardship provision, adequately protects tenants from undue rent increases while making ample allowance for the increased costs of the landlords.

<u>Hardship</u>

The Committee recognizes that certain unusual circumstances may result in hardship for landlords or tenants and specifically makes provisions for petition to the Commission or action on its own initiative to rectify any resulting inequities. While supporting the principle of maintaining maximum rents for housing accommodations at levels which will yield to the landlords a reasonable return from such housing accommodations.

Under hardship provisions, the Commission will consider changes in property taxes, or under or overevaluation through error, unavoidable increases in operating costs and maintenance, increase or reduction of related services and living space, physical condition of the property and capital improvements made on the properties.

The regulation also describes in detail the procedure for filing the petition, for notification of affected parties, documents required,

^{*}U. S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, C.P.I.

including itemization of income and expenses, and statements. Examination and copy of documents reports and written material is also made possible for review by the opposing party.

Under the hardship provisions, the Commission, if it has previously conducted a hearing on the given accommodation within a six month period, may refuse to adjust the rent ceiling or to hold further hearings on that particular hardship case.

A section of the regulations also provides for an advance ruling for rent increases for landlords who plan capital improvements or to increase or decrease services or space, approval is conditioned upon submission of valid contracts or plans and specifications approved by the appropriate licensing authority of the District Government and subsequent and final approval of the Commission.

The Rent Control Commission

As provided in the authorizing Act (P. L. 93-157), a Commission of nine members, (including at least four landlords and four tenants), will be appointed by the Mayor, with the consent of the Council, to enforce the regulation. The Commission is authorized to make its own rules and regulations, consider or initiate hardship actions, receive tenant complaints relating to any provision of the regulation and mediate disputes and complaints. In the quorum of five, at least two must be representative of landlord and two of tenant interests.

Lease and Registration Requirements

With respect to lease and registration, no later than sixty days after establishment of the Commission, landlords must register every housing accommodation with the Commission and supply detailed information about the accommodation, utilities and services supplied, base rent and current rent, and nature and costs of capital improvements or substantial rehabilitation which are factors in the allowable rent. Failure to comply with this provision on its effective date disqualifies the landlord from charging any rent above the base rent after such effective date. Registration forms must be available for public inspection by the appropriate tenant.

In addition, this section of the regulation requires that each new tenant occupying a housing unit after effective date of the regulation must receive a lease therefor. The Corporation Counsel opinion holding that the allowable rent ceilings provided therein shall supersede, when applicable, the provisions of existing leases relating to amount of rent is attached.

Eviction

The prohibition of retaliatory acts are several in consonance with the enabling Act. Specifically, the regulation prohibits retaliatory action against the tenant, including harassment, reduction of services, threat or coercion, or retaliatory eviction. The latter, obviously, is the most frequently used retaliatory act. Its prevention requires the exact stipulation of the "just causes", other than non-payment of rent, for which the landlord may evict a tenant. Accordingly, the regulation provides that a tenant may be evicted only for non-payment of rent or one of the following "just causes".

- (1) Violation of an obligation of the tenancy by such acts as Commission of a nuisance, destruction of property, etc. and failure to cease such violation after receiving notice there of from the landlord.
- (2) Use of the accommodation for illegal activity, pursuant to adjudication by a competent court of jurisdiction.
- (3) Recovery by the landlord to enable him to move into the premises. In this event, the accommodation cannot be rented for six months following recovery.
- (4) Sale of the property to a purchaser who plans to move into the accommodation provided tenant has been notified of the intention to sell.
- (5) Recovery of the accommodation to make alterations or renovations which cannot be accomplsihed while the premises are occupied, or for demolition and replacement with new construction. In either case, proper building permits must have been issued to the landlord. If the landlord seeks recovery for substantial rehabilitation, as defined in the regulation, the tenant must be given 120 day notification of such projected rehabilitation and cannot be served with an eviction notice until ninety days after such notification.
- (6) Repossession for the immediate purpose of discontinuing housing use of the premises. In this event, the accommodation cannot be rented for six months after recovery.

In addition to stipulating the above "just causes", the regulation provides that certain factors shall be considered by the Commission in determining whether there has been retaliatory action such as tenant complaint to the housing inspectors, tenant participation in a tenant

organization, action by the tenant to enforce his rights under his lease, or withholding of rent pursuant to landlord's failure to correct outstanding code violations.

Protections Relating to Condominium Conversion

To provide greater opportunity for orderly decision making a tenant of an accommodation to be converted into condominiums must be notified in writing six months prior to such conversion and must be given an immediate bona fide offer to purchase his unit by the converter or seller.

Prohibited Acts and Penalties for Violation of the Regulation

Among the prohibited acts, the regulation stipulates specific prohibited acts such as overcharging of rents above allowable ceilings, making false statements on documents filed with the Commission, increase of rent while operating without a license, or withholding of rent by the tenant without provision for an escrow account. Pursuant to the enabling Act, the regulation provides for maximum fines of \$5,000 for each violation of the Act.

These regulations represent the work of the Advisory Committee of Landlords and Tenants, the diligent efforts of the Housing and Urban Development Committee staff and numerous specialists from government and special interest groups who have been working with the Committee since November, 1973.

The original draft has been through extensive revision in an effort to reflect the principal opinions and concerns of landlords and tenants, and other interested groups. It has been reviewed by the Corporation Counsel's Office, and their recommendations for legal sufficiency and appropriateness have been incorporated.

Staff briefings have been held to familiarize Council members and staff with the contents of the regulations and to sharpen their focus on the basic contents and related issues of this complex piece of legislation.

Inputs from all sources have been considered and while an intensive effort has been made to incorporate these inputs incorporation of all of their desires is an impossible task. There are those who advocate that there should be no rent controls, and conversely there are those who advocate that landlords should absorb

- 14 -

completely the effects of increased operational costs.

These regulations represent what the Committee believes is a position of equitability and practicality; that fulfills the interest of the enabling legislation; the spirit and purpose of the Councilimposed moratorium to provide the time to assess the rental housing market and the act to stabilize and preserve the best of what is available; to stimulate rehabilitation of properties; to provide incentives to developers and entrepreneurs without reducing the number of units on the rental market.

It is clear that rent control will not solve the housing problems of the District of Columbia and it was not intended to do so. It is but a part of the larger and more comprehensive effort that must be exerted to begin to solve the city's housing and urban development problems.

The regulation will expire in mid June 1975, as mandated by Congress, the provisions of the regulation will be carefully administered and enforced by the Executive Branch of Government. In December 1974, the Council is required by the Congressional Authority, to conduct a hearing with a view to determining whether such regulations, which are being proposed today, should be modified or terminated by reason of a change in the situation which exists now.

The last two months have given us legislative experience which will be valuable as these regulations expire and as the new Council comes into being. Hardly has there been presented before this Council a piece of legislation that has attracted more public interest and concentrated attention in serving the various interests of our city. I believe it to be a substantive legislative effort. As Chairman of the Housing and Urban Development Committee, I transmit the proposed regulation to control rents in the District of Columbia and recommend its adoption.

Attachments: 2

7/9/24

Memorandum @ Government of the District of Columbia

Department, Corporation Counsel, D.C.

Agency, Office: L&O:TDK:baa

TO: Sterling Tucker, Vice-Chairman,

D.C. Council

FROM: C. Francis Murphy

Corporation Counsely D.C.

Date: July 9, 1974

SUBJECT:

Clarification of certain provisions of the proposed rent control regulation.

In your memorandum of July 3, 1974, you asked whether that section of the proposed rent control regulation which implements the roll back of rents is legally objectionable as an interference with the contractual right of a landlord and tenant to bargain on the amount of rent to be paid. This provision is legally unobjectionable as the Supreme Court, in <u>Block</u> v. <u>Hirsh</u>, 256 U.S. 135 (1921), upheld the validity of such provisions.

You further asked whether subsection (a) of section 10, is legally objectionable. That subsection as amended reads as follows:

"(a) No tenant shall be evicted from his housing accommodation for cause other than for nonpayment of rent, unless he has been served with a notice to vacate, specifying therein the reason for his eviction, and a copy of such notice has been sent to the Commission."

I find this subsection to be legally unobjectionable.

7/9/24

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

-18 19

20

21 22

23 24



1974 DCSTAT REG 286 August 1, 1974 Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE REGULATION ESTABLISHING INTEREST RATES FOR CERTAIN LOANS

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, Public Law 93-229 authorized the District of Columbia Council to exempt any loan from the provisions of Chapter 33, Title 28, D. C. Code and change any interest rate specified therein; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of Chapter 33 of Title 28 of the District of Columbia Code with respect to the maximum lawful rate of interest and to the transactions encompassed therein have placed the citizens of the District of Columbia in a competitive disadvantage with the citizens of other jurisdictions in the Metropolitan Washington, D. C. area; and

WHEREAS, at the same time as it is amending the usury laws in the manner herein contained, the Council has taken action to insure that residential mortgage loans will be made available in a fair and equitable manner to residents throughout the city.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. The District of Columbia Council hereby adopts the Regulation Establishing Interest Rates for Certain Loans, as follows:

"Regulation Establishing Interest Rates for Certain Loans"

			ORD OF (CONCI	r A	OIE			
COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V	. A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V.	A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYEN	N.V.	ARIB
NEVIUS	X		FOSTER	X		PARKER	X		
TUCKER	X	July Lill	MEYERS	X		ROBINSON	X		_
FORD	X	La chilan	MOORE	X	diad	SELDEN	X		
	X-Inc	licates Vot	e A. B.—Absent	N. V. Not Vot	ting R.	A.—Readopted			

	.A. COUNCILIMAN	AYE NAY N.V. A.B. R	A. COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V. A.B. R.A.
NEVIUS	FOSTER	X	PARKER	X
TUCKER	MEYERS	X	ROBINSON	X
FORD X	MOORE	X	SELDEN	X
X—Indicates		N. V. Not Voting	R. A.—Readopted	16/
Submitted on first reading at a mee				3, 1974
Adopted on second and final reading				
Presented to the Mayor Commission				Jehb.
11/20 1	// Pate-	Marc.	Secretary of the City	y Council
Approved/\lambda \lambda	MSKinStoru		1000	1. AUG 1974
Mayor-0	commissioner		0 0 0 1 1 1	Date
Enacted W/O signature of the Ma	vor according to ten	day limitation r	ule	
	, as the same to tell	day mintation i	uic	Date
D: 1 1 1 1 C:	0 "1			Date
Disapproved and returned to the Cit				
	wayor	r-Commissioner		Date
Readopted				
Date	THE WAS NOT THE BOX ONE FOR USE BUT ONE BOX ONE BOX			
			(.	
I hereby certify that this regulation is	true and adopted (or	readopted) as sta	ted therein.	(·
		(LY VIII	MA .

Certified copies are available.

Secretary of the City Council

P-251

2 of 4

Title I - Certain Loans to be Exempt From the Eight Percent Interest Rate

Section 101. Any loan which is secured by a first mortgage or first deed of trust on residential real property and which, except for the provisions of this Section 101, would be subject to the eight percent maximum rate of interest provided for under Title 28-3301 and Title 28-3303 of the District of Columbia Code, shall be exempt therefrom, provided that:

(a) the rate of interest thereon pursuant to an agreement in writing between the borrower and lender does not exceed simple interest on the unpaid principal balance of the loan at the rate of ten percent per annum;

 (b) the loan is both contracted for and consummated after the effective date of this regulation, and no written commitment to make the loan at a lower rate of interest than the maximum rate permitted hereunder was issued by the lender to the borrower prior to the effective date of this regulation;

(c) the loan may be prepaid by the borrower at no penalty at any time following the expiration of three years from the execution of the mortgage or deed of trust; and

(d) any borrower who has made a downpayment equalling twenty percent or more of the total purchase price of the property is not required by the lender to make advance payments of real estate taxes or casualty insurance premiums to enable the lender to have funds on hand for disbursement for payment of such taxes or insurance premiums and such borrower is informed in writing of his right to pay such taxes and insurance premiums directly.

Section 102. Any loan in writing which, except for the provisions of this Section 101, would be governed by the eight percent maximum interest rate provisions of Title 28-3301 and Title 28-3303 of the District of Columbia Code, shall be governed thereby and shall be subject to the penalties imposed by Chapter 33 of Title 28 for violation of such eight percent maximum interest rate limitation if all of the requirements of this Section 101 are not complied with.

Section 103. The provisions of this Title shall terminate two years after effective date of this regulation.

 Title II - Certain Loans Subject to Additional Exemption

 Section 201. Any loan which is secured directly or indirectly by a mortgage or deed of trust other than a first mortgage or deed of trust on residential real property and which, except for the provisions of this Section 201, would be subject to the eight percent maximum rate of interest provided for under Title 28-3301 and Title 28-3303 of the District of Columbia Code, shall be exempt therefrom, provided that:

(a) the rate of interest thereon pursuant to an agreement in writing between the borrower and the lender does not exceed simple interest on the unpaid principal balance of the loan at the rate of eleven and one-half percent per annum;

(b) the loan is both contracted for and consummated after the effective date of this regulation, and no written commitment to make the loan at the lower rate of interest than is permitted hereunder was issued by the lender to the borrower prior to the effective date of this regulation;

(c) the loan may be prepaid by the borrower at no penalty at any time following the expiration of three years from the execution of the mortgage or deed of trust;

(d) the loan shall contain a schedule of payments under which each payment

3 of 4

shall be equal to, or substantially equal to, the other payments, and the intervals between payments shall be substantially equal; and

(e) the promissory note evidencing the debt shall be, and shall state on its face that it is, not negotiable.

Section 202. Any loan in writing which, except for the provisions of this Section 201, would be governed by the eight percent maximum interest rate provisions of Title 28-3301 and Title 28-3303 of the District of Columbia Code, shall be governed thereby and shall be subject to the penalties imposed by Chapter 33 of Title 28 for violation of such eight percent maximum interest rate limitation if all of the requirements of this Section 201 are not complied with.

Title III - Additional Exemptions

Section 301. Notwithstanding any other provision of this regulation, any loan having an original principal amount in excess of \$5,000.00 shall not be subject to the provisions of Title 28, Chapter 33 of the District of Columbia Code, and it shall be lawful to contract for, or receive, any rate of interest thereon, if any of the following conditions are satisfied:

 (a) the borrower is a not for profit corporation, whether organized under the laws of the United States, the District of Columbia or any other jurisdiction; or

(b) the borrower is an individual, group of individuals, corporation, unincorporated association, partnership, or any other entity, and the loan is made for the purpose of acquiring or carrying on a business, professional, or commercial activity; or

(c) the borrower is an individual, group of individuals, corporation, unincorporated association, partnership, or any other entity, and the loan is made for the purpose of acquiring any real or personal property as an investment or for carrying on an investment activity; or

(d) the borrower is a religious society, as provided in Chapter 5 of Title 29 of the District of Columbia Code, and the loan is made for the purpose of acquiring or making an improvement on any real or personal property for purposes other than commercial or investment activities.

Section 302. Nothing in this Title III shall be construed to limit or restrict in any way the operation of Section 29-904(h), D. C. Code, but rather the purpose of this Title III is to extend to the types of loans identified in Section 301 the prohibition contained in Section 29-904(h) against pleading any statutes against usury in any action.

Title IV - Miscellaneous Provisions

Section 401. If any provision of this regulation is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such provision shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining provisions.

Section 402. No later than one year after effective date of this regulation the District of Columbia Council, in consultation with the Commission on Residential Mortgage Investment established through Resolution Number 74-55 shall review the impact of this regulation upon mortgage availability, economic development and consumer protection in the District of Columbia and make appropriate recommendations to the Council for continuation, termination, or amendment of any of the provisions of this regulation.

$_{-4}$ of $_{-4}$

 $\underline{\text{Section 2}}.$ This Regulation shall become effective immediately upon enactment.

D 110

Regulation No. _____

7

2

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

P-251



August 30, 1974
Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE MINORS HEALTH CONSENT REGULATION

__Dr._Henry S._Robinson, Jr.____ Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia is authorized to make all reasonable and usual regulations for the protection of the health of the citizens; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia is authorized pursuant to D.C. Code, Section 1-226, 1973 ed. to make reasonable and usual police regulations for the protection of lives, limbs, health, comfort and quiet of all persons and the protection of all property within the District of Columbia.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. Definitions.

A. For the purpose of this regulation: "Minor," means any person under the age of majority as defined by the District statute or under 18 years of age, whichever is lower.

B. "Emancipated Minor," means a minor who is or has been married, or who is serving or has served in the armed forces, or who is employed and contributing more than half of his own support if residing with his parents, or who is residing apart from his parents and managing his own affairs, or who is making the major decisions affecting his own life.

C. "Parent," means either natural parent or legal guardian.

			RE	EC	ORD OF C	0	UN	CI	L	V	OTE	TIES.	100	N. I		
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY N.V.	A.B.	R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	R.A.
NEVIUS		10.4	×		FOSTER				X		PARKER	X				
TUCKER	X	onclars		M ()	MEYERS	×					ROBINSON	X		17.17		
FORD	X				MOORE	X					SELDEN	X				
J.	1150	X—Ind	icate	s Voi	e A. B.—Absent	N.	V. No	t Vo	ting	R.	A.—Readopted					

X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V. Not Voting R. A.—Readop	
Submitted on first reading at a meeting of the District of Columbia City Council on _A	30113
Adopted on second and final reading August 20, 1974	A
Presented to the Mayor-Commissioner, August 20, 1974	echo
Approved Multiplication Date Acting Secretary of t	3 0 AUG 1974
Mayor-Compulssioner	Date
Enacted W/O signature of the Mayor according to ten day limitation rule:	Date
Disapproved and returned to the City Council	
Mayor-Commissioner	Date
ReadoptedDate	
I hereby certify that this regulation is true and adopted (or readopted) as seated therein	

Certified copies are available.

2_of_4

- "Health Professional," means state licensed physician, psychologist, dentist, osteopathic physician, nurse, and other licensed health practitioner.
 - "Health Services," means health services specified by the state, appropriately delivered by different health professionals including examination, preventive and curative treatment, operation, hospitalization (admission or discharge) giving or receiving blood and blood derivatives, receiving organ transplantation, pledging donation of organs after death, the use of anesthetics, and receiving contraceptive advice and devices.
 - "Consent," For the purpose of this regulation consent means informed consent, to give information, to make known, to impart knowledge of a fact or circumstance of treatment or procedure.
 - The masculine shall include the feminine.

Section 2. Conditions for Consent.

- A. Any person who is 18 years of age or more may consent to the provision of health services for himself, or for his child, or for his spouse.
- B. Any person who is 18 years of age or more may consent to donate blood or other aspects of health care and for the purpose of this regulation, shall be regarded as having achieved his majority.
- C. "Children of minor parents," A minor parent may consent to the provision of health services to his or her child.
- D. "Emergencies," Health services may be provided to a minor of any age without parental consent when, in the judgment of the treating physician, surgeon or dentist, the delay which would result from attempting to obtain parental consent would substantially increase the risk to the minors life, health, mental health or welfare or unduly prolong suffering.
- E. A health professional may render or attempt to render emergency service or first aid, medical, surgical, dental or psychiatric treatment without compensation to any injured person or any person regardless of age who is in need of immediate health care when, in good faith, the professional believes that the giving of aid is the only alternative to probable death or serious physical or mental damage. For major surgery or any dangerous procedures concurrence of another physician shall, if practical, be obtained.
- F. "Special Situation," A minor of any age may consent to medical services which he or she request for the prevention, diagnosis, and/or treatment of (1) pregnancy or its lawful termination (2) substance abuse, including drug and alcohol abuse, and (3) psychological disturbance.
- G. Self-consent of minors shall not apply to sterilization, such as tubal ligation or vasectomy.

Section 3. Financial Responsibility.

- A. A minor who consents to the provision of health services to himself or his child under the terms of this regulation is liable for the payment of such services rendered pursuant to this regulation.
- B. The spouse, parent, parents or legal guardian shall not be liable for payment for such services unless the spouse, parent, parents or legal quardian have expressly agreed to pay for such care. The minor so consenting for such health services shall thereby assume financial responsibility for

1

2

$_{\rm of} _{\rm of} _{\rm 4}$

the cost of said services except those who are proven unable to pay and who receive the services in public institutions, or who qualify for medicaid or other subsidized forms of relief.

<u>Section 4</u>. <u>The Health Professional's Liability</u>.

- A. No physician, surgeon, dentist, health or mental care facility may be compelled against his or its best judgment to treat a minor on his own consent. However, such persons do bear an obligation to refer such a minor to another facility.
- B. If such person having acted in good faith, no physician, surgeon, dentist, health or mental health care facility may be held liable on the basis of a minor's misrepresentations.
- C. Any minor who is examined, treated, hospitalized, or receives health services under this regulation may give legal consent, and no person who administers such health services shall be liable civilly or criminally for assault, battery, or assault and battery; or any other civil legal charge, except for negligence or intentional harm in the diagnosis and treatment rendered such minor.
- D. The health professional may, but shall not be obliged to inform the parent, parents, or legal guardian of the minor of any treatment given or needed when:
 - In the judgment of the health professional;
 - (a) Severe complications are present or anticipated; or
 - (b) Major surgery or prolonged hospitalization is needed; or
 - (c) Failure to inform the parent, parents or legal guardian would seriously jeopardize the safety and health of the minor patient; or
 - (d) To inform them would benefit the minor's physical and mental health and family harmony.
- 2. Such information shall be given to the minor's parent, parents, or legal guardian only when the minor consents or when because of the minor's age or condition the attending health professional can reasonably presume such consent.
- 3. Except by specific legal requirement, no information in regard to venereal disease, drug and substance abuse, pregnancy, and emotional illness shall be given by the health professional to another professional, school, law enforcement official, court authority, government agent, spouse, future spouse, employer, or any other person without the consent of the minor, unless giving the information is necessary to the health of the minor, and the public, and only when the minors identity is kept confidential.
- 4. Notification or disclosure to the spouse, parent, parents, or legal guardian by the health profession shall not constitute libel or slander, a violation of the right of privacy, a violation of the rule of privileged communication or any other legal basis of liability. When the minor is found not suffering from a drug or substance abuse, including alcohol and nicotine, then, no information with respect to any appointment, examination, test, or other health procedure shall be given to the parent, parents, or legal guardian, if they have not been already informed as permitted in regulation, without

4_of_4

the consent of the minor.

 $\underline{\text{Section 5}}$. This regulation shall take effect immediately upon enactment.

0.110

Regulation No. __74-23_

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23 24

P-251



August 29, 1974 Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE	REGULATION TO	MODIFY PAYM	ENT FOR DAY	CARE (Am	endment to R	egulation 6	59-9)
-------	---------------	-------------	-------------	----------	--------------	-------------	-------

Dr. Henry S. Robinson, Jr. Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, pursuant to paragraphs 82 and 83, Section 402, Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967, the District of Columbia Council is authorized to establish rules and regulations regarding the adoption of rules for the receiving and temporary care of children; and

WHEREAS, the payment rate to facilities providing day care has not been changed since it was established in January 1969, even though the increased cost of living has caused a sharp increase in the expenses for the facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Human Resources has recommended the increase in rates payable per child for the provision of day care.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. Section 9, Regulation 69-9, is hereby amended to read as follows:

"Section 9. Payments to Day Care Centers (slot funded) where contracts call for payment per child placed to Family Day Care Homes or to In-Home Caretakers shall be made according to the following rates:

(a) Full Day Care

(1) Family Day Care Homes - \$4.00 per day per child.

			RE	C	ORD OF C	0	UN	CI	L	V	OTE					
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	V.V. A.B.	R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	R.A.
NEVIUS			X		FOSTER				X		PARKER	\times				
TUCKER	X				MEYERS	X					ROBINSON	\times				
FORD			×		MOORE	X					SELDEN				×	
		ж	Indicate	s Vo	te A. B.—Absent	N. V	7. N	oż Vo	ting	R.	A.—Readopted					

FORD 1VIOORII	-
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V. Not Voting R. A.—Readopted	
Submitted on first reading at a meeting of the District of Columbia City Council onAugust_201974	
Adopted on second and final reading August 27, 1974	
Presented to the Mayor-Commissioner, August 27, 1974	
Approved Meller Mayor Committee Secretary of the City Council Secretary of the City Council	
Mayor-Commissioner Date	
Enacted W/O signature of the Mayor according to ten day limitation rule:	
Date	
Disapproved and returned to the City Council	
wayor-commissioner Date	
ReadoptedDate	
hereby certify that this regulation is true and adopted (or readopted) as stated therein.	

Certified copies are available.

$_{\rm of}$ $_{\rm of}$ $_{\rm 2}$

1 2 3 4 5	
7 8	
9	
10	(
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	S
24	fiscaĪ
25	
26	
27 28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

- (2) Day Care Centers \$6.00 per day per child, with \$1.00 added when transportation is provided.
- (3) In-Home Care
 - (A) For care during the day, \$2.50 per child per day.
 - (B) For care during night hours, \$3.25 per child per night.

(b) Part-time Care

- (1) Family Day Care Homes \$2.50 per day per child for before and after school care.
- (2) Day Care Centers \$3.00 per day per child for before and after school care.
- (3) In-Home Care
 - (A) Before and after school care, \$1.50 per child per day.
 - (B) For night care of less than six hours, \$1.75 per child per night."
- Section 2. This regulation shall be applicable for contracts entered for the 1975 fiscal year.

Regulation No. -----



August 30, 1974
Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE REGULATION IMPOSING TEMPORARY MORATORIUM ON THE CONVERSION OF RENTAL UNIT PROPERTIES INTO HORIZONTAL PROPERTY REGIMES

Acting Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized, pursuant to Section 2 of the Act approved August 29, 1974, P. L. 93-395 to prohibit the establishment of any horizontal property regime, real estate condominium project, or other conversion of units in a multiunit structure into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council finds that the rate of condominium conversion is constantly increasing, and if conversions continue at this rate their effect upon the rental inventory will become even more substantial and will lead to further depletion of the shrinking rental housing supply in the District; and

WHEREAS, unless there is an immediate curtailment of such conversion to condominium status the rental housing supply in the District of Columbia will not meet available demands; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council finds that the rapid increase in the rate of condominium conversions has created an emergency in the rental housing supply and that a regulation imposing a temporary moratorium in the conversion of rental properties to condominium status is necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health, safety, welfare and morals, and to preserve the status quo in the rental housing industry within the District of Columbia.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE OWNER, WHEN	the same of the same of	property and the second	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR							
d skerke			RECO	ORD OF	COU	NCIL	. V	OTE			
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY N.V.	A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE NA	Y N.V. A.I	3. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE N	AY N.V	. A.B. R.A.
NEVIUS			X	FOSTER	X		gar sand year	PARKER			X
TUCKER	X			MEYERS	X			ROBINSON	X		
FORD	I Land		X	MOORE	X			SELDEN			X
		X—Indi	icates Vot	e A. B.—Absent	N. V.	Not Votin	ng R	A.—Readopted			
Submitted on	readi	ing at a	meeting	of the District	of Colu	mbia Cit	y Cou	ncil on Augus	t 30,	1974	1
Adopted on second								£	111		
			_	Audust 30 1	974			. 16 S. M	1		
Presented to the	Mayor	r-Comm	ussioner	Dale				ecretary of the Cit	v Conn	cil	
	12	10)	1	MADE -	X				AUG V3	0 197	74
Approved		00	20	Tun X	My						
		IV.	layor-Con	nmissioner					D.	ate	
Enacted W/O sig	natur	e of th	e Mayor	according to te	n day	limitatio	n rule	e:			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,								D	ate	
Disapproved and		ad to t	he City (Council							
Disapproved and	return	ied to t	ile City	Ma	yor-Com	missione	r		D	ate	
Readopted			ate								
		1						(A	1	M.	
I hereby certify the	at this	regula	tion is tr	ue and adopted (or read	opted) a	s state	d herein.			
, 552523) 552		0					9	土	. Wa		
P-251								Secretary of the Cir	v Coun	7/6-	, era era era era era era era

Certified copies are available.

1974 DCSTAT REG 297

$_{\rm of}^2$

Section 1. After the effective date of this regulation:

- (a) Neither the District Government, or any officer or employee thereof, shall accept applications, plats or any other documents relating to the conversion of residential units into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia;
- (b) Neither the District Government, nor any officer or employee thereof, shall perform any services in connection with the conversion of residential units into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia, and this subsection shall apply, without limitation, to proposed conversions for which applications, plats or other documents have been filed heretofore.
- <u>Section 2</u>. Any notice to quit that has heretofore been served for the purpose of converting a rental unit to a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia shall be tolled for the duration of this regulation.
- Section 3. Notwithstanding any other provision of this regulation, any owner of residential rental property who is aggrieved by this regulation may apply to the Commissioner or his designated agent for relief, including, but not limited to, exemption from the provisions hereof. Such application shall state, under oath, specifically and in detail, the exact status of the property in question, the hardship imposed by this regulation and the relief sought. The Commissioner, or his designated agent, shall act promptly on such application, taking into consideration, without limitation, the particular circumstances of the owner including the level and stage of the owner's capital outlays and of the affected tenants, and the stage of development of the proposed conversion, and shall thereupon take such actions as in his judgment are right and proper in the circumstances.
- Section 4. If any provision of these regulations, or any section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word or the application thereof, in any circumstances is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of these regulations, and of the application of any such provision, section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word in any other circumstances shall not be affected thereby and to this end, the provisions of these regulations are declared severable.
- $\underline{\text{Section 5}}$. This regulation shall terminate sixty (60) days after the effective date.
 - Section 6. This regulation shall take effect upon enactment.

74-25 Regulation No. -----

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24



October 12, 1974

Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE AMENDMENT TO HIGHWAYS AND TRAFFIC REGULATIONS AUTHORIZING RESIDENTIAL PERMIT PARKING IN CERTAIN AREAS

Councilman Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized under paragraph (297) of section 402 of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967 to make, modify, and repeal reasonable regulations regarding the movement of traffic and the parking thereof, under D. C. Code, section 40-603(e); and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized under paragraph (1) of section 402 of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967 to make, modify, and repeal reasonable police regulations under D. C. Code, section 1-224; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized to enact necessary regulations to protect and improve air quality in the District of Columbia under the District of Columbia Air Pollution Control Act (D. C. Code, sections 6-811 to 6-813); and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council finds that it is in the best interests of the District of Columbia to reduce vehicular congestion on residential streets and to facilitate the efficient movement of traffic by providing for parking preference during certain hours of the day and days of the week; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council finds that a residential permit parking regulation is necessary to promote the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of the District by providing adequate parking spaces for residents adjacent to or close by their places of residence; and

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE										
COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY	N.V. A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V.	A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY N.V	. A.B. F	R.A.
NEVIUS	X		FOSTER	X		PARKER	X			
TUCKER		X	MEYERS	X	441 19.2	ROBINSON.	X			
FORD		X	MOORE		X	SELDEN	X	i,		
	Ж-	-Indicates Vot	e A. B.—Absent	N. V. Not V	oting R.	A.—Readopted				

TUCKER		MEYERS			KORINZON	. 0	
FORD	I X	MOORE		X	SELDEN	X	
A	X—Indicates Vo	te A. B.—Absent	N. V. Not Vo	ting R.	A.—Readopted		
Submitted on first r	eading at a meetin	g of the District o	of Columbia (City Cou	ncil on <u>Septe</u>	ember 17,	1974
Adopted on second a	and final reading	October 1, 1	974		7		
Presented to the M	ayor-Commissioner	October 3, 1	974	9	was s.	Will.	
-71	11/1/1/1/1	Date	The state of the s	Se	ecretary of the		
Approved	14/W//W	Mi Va	7		NOTING THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	OCT 1 2 1	1974
	Mayor-Con	nmissioner	endill the sh			Date	
Enacted W/O sign:	ature of the Mayo	r according to ter	n day limita	tion rule	: " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "		
, ,	LUBIOWARD SW					Date	
Disapproved and ret	turned to the City	Council					
Tr.		May	or-Commission	ner		Date	
Readopted	a in the first	end Alterancemen					
readopted	Date						A
I hereby certify that	this regulation is tr	me and adopted (o	r readonted)	ac state	d therein	1 1 1	
i nereby certify that	this regulation is the	ue and adopted (o	1 reacopted)	C	d dietem.	1.)	
P-251				-50	wands	· Why	LF
A - 201				13	ecretary of the	City Council	1

2_{of} 5

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council finds that residential permit parking will reduce motor vehicle miles travelled in the District of Columbia by requiring commuters to carpool or to utilize forms of transportation which are less polluting per person than private passenger motor vehicles, and thereby assist in the attainment of national ambient air quality standards as required by the District of Columbia Air Implementation Plan approved by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

- Section 1. Article XIII of the Highways and Traffic Regulations of the District of Columbia is hereby amended as follows:
 - (1) by redesignating subsections (a) and (b) of Section 82 as subsection "(b)" of Section 80; and
 - (2) by adding a new Section 82 titled "Residential Parking Areas", to read as follows:
 - "Section 82. Residential Permit Parking Areas
 - "(a) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to designate by order, subject to approval by the Council as hereinafter provided, public highways and other areas within the District on which the parking of vehicles may be restricted, beyond a consecutive two (2) hour period between the hours of 7 A.M. and 6:30 P.M. on weekdays, excepting holidays, in whole or in part to vehicles bearing a valid parking permit issued pursuant to this section. This authority shall be in addition to and may be exercised in conjunction with any other authority the Commissioner may have to regulate the times and conditions of motor vehicle parking.
 - "(b) As used in this section --
 - "(1) 'Residential area' shall mean a contiguous or nearly contiguous area containing public highways or parts thereof primarily abutted by residential property or residential and non business property (such as schools, parks, churches, hospitals, and nursing homes), and designated as such by the Commissioner.
 - "(2) 'Commuter vehicle' shall mean a motor vehicle parked in a residential area by a person not a resident thereof.
 - "(c) A residential area shall be deemed eligible for residential permit parking if, based on objective criteria established by the Commissioner, parking therein is impacted by commuter vehicles between 7 A.M. and 6:30 P.M. weekdays, except holidays.
 - "(d) In determining whether an area identified as eligible for residential permit parking shall be designated as a residential permit parking area, the Commissioner shall take into consideration the following factors:
 - "(1) The local and metropolitan needs with respect to clean air and the requirements of Federal and District air quality plans, rules and regulations;
 - "(2) The possibility of a reduction in total vehicle miles driven in the District of Columbia;

REGULATION 74-25

3_{of} 5_{of}

- "(3) The likelihood of alleviating traffic congestion, illegal parking, and related health and safety hazards;
- "(4) The proximity of public transportation to the residential area;
- "(5) The desire and need of the residents for residential permit parking and their willingness to bear the administrative costs in connection therewith; and
- "(6) The need for parking in excess of two (2) hours in proximity to establishments located therein and used by the general public for religious, health, or educational purposes.
- "(e) In order to determine whether a particular street, avenue, or other location shall be designated as a residential permit parking area, the Commissioner or his designee may conduct, upon his own initiative or upon a petition of a majority of the households in such area, addressed to the Commissioner or the Council, a public forum, prior to the designation of a parking permit area, or prior to the withdrawal of such designation once it is established. Such forum shall be held only after due notice has been published in a newspaper of general circulation throughout the District and in the D. C. Register. The notice shall clearly state the purpose of the forum, the exact location and boundaries of the residential permit parking area under consideration, the reasons why such area is being proposed for designation as a residential permit parking area, and, if applicable, the proposed permit parking fee that would be charged. In addition to the published notice a similar notification shall be mailed to every household, the identity of which can reasonably be established, within the area under consideration. During such forum, any interested person shall be entitled to appear and be heard. No forum shall be held and no area designated if it is not found to be an impacted area under subsection (c) of this section.
- "(f) Within 30 days following the close of the public forum, the Commissioner shall recommend by report to the Council, based on the record of such forum, whether to designate the area under consideration as a residential permit parking area or to remove the designation in the case of an established residential permit parking area. Within 45 days following the receipt of the report, the Council shall approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Commissioner.
- "(g) Following Council approval of the designation of a residential permit parking area, the Commissioner or his designee shall issue appropriate permits and shall cause parking signs to be erected in the area, indicating the times, locations, and conditions under which parking shall be by permit only. A permit shall be issued upon application and payment of the applicable fee, only to the owner or the operator of a motor vehicle who resides on property immediately adjacent to a street, avenue, or other location within the residential permit parking area.
- "(h) The application for a permit shall contain the name of the owner or operator of the motor vehicle, residential address, the motor vehicle's make, model, registration number, and the number of the applicant's operator's permit. The motor vehicle's registration and operator's license may, in the discretion of the Commissioner, be required to be presented at the time of making said application in order to verify the contents thereof. The owner or operator of any motor vehicle applying for a residential parking permit shall have valid District of Columbia motor

$_{\rm of}$ $_{\rm of}$

vehicle license tags unless not legally required to have them. The permit shall be renewed annually upon such conditions and procedures as the Commissioner shall specify. The permit shall display the motor vehicle's serial, license and zone numbers and expiration date.

- "(i) Notwithstanding any provision of this Article to the contrary, the holder of a residential parking permit shall be permitted to stand or park a motor vehicle operated by him in any designated residential parking area during such times as the parking of motor vehicles therein is permitted. While a vehicle for which a residential parking permit has been issued is so parked, such permit shall be displayed so as to be clearly visible through the windshield of the vehicle. A residential parking permit shall not guarantee or reserve to the holder a parking space within a designated residential permit parking area. A residential parking permit shall not authorize the holder thereof to stand or park a motor vehicle in such places or during such times as the stopping, standing, or parking of motor vehicles is prohibited or set aside for specified types of vehicles, nor exempt the holder from the observance of any traffic regulation other than two-hour parking limit.
- "(j) No person other than the permittee named thereon shall use a residential parking permit or display it on a vehicle operated or parked, and any such use or display by a person other than the permittee shall constitute a violation of this regulation by the permittee and by the person who so used or displayed such parking permit.
 - "(1) It shall constitute a violation of this regulation for any person to falsely represent himself as eligible for a residential parking permit or to furnish any false information in an application to the Commissioner in order to obtain a residential parking permit.
 - "(2) The Commissioner is authorized to revoke the residential parking permit of any permittee found to be in violation of this regulation and, upon written notification thereof, the permittee shall surrender such permit to the Commissioner. Failure, when so requested, to surrender a residential parking permit so revoked shall constitute a violation of this regulation.
- "(k) The Commissioner is authorized to establish by order an annual residential permit parking fee to cover the administrative costs of permits issued pursuant to this section.
- "(1) The Commissioner is authorized to make provisions for: (i) the issuance of temporary parking permits to bona fide visitors of residents of a designated residential parking area; and (ii) the issuance of exemption parking permits to handicapped persons in keeping with the requirements of Regulation No. 73-12, (Regulation Providing Special Parking Privileges for Handicapped Drivers).
- "(m) Any person who shall violate any provision of this regulation shall, upon conviction, be subject to punishment by a fine of not more than \$300 or imprisonment of not more than 10 days, or both."

Section 2. Severability.

The provisions of this regulation are severable and if any provision, clause, sentence, subsection, word or part thereof is held illegal, invalid or unconstitutional, or inapplicable to any person or circumstances, such illegality, invalidity or unconstitutionality, or inapplicability shall not affect or impair any of the remaining

_5_of_5_

provisions, clauses, sentences, subsections, words, or parts of the regulation or their application to other persons or circumstances. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent that this regulation would have been adopted if such illegal, invalid, or unconstitutional provision, clause, sentence, subsection, word or part had not been included therein, and if such person or circumstances, to which the regulation or part thereof is held inapplicable, had been specifically exempted therefrom.

Section 3. This regulation shall take effect sixty (60) days after enactment.

. ...

Regulation No. _



October 18, 1974 Enactment Date

Regulation

of the

District of Columbia

TITLE

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

22 23 24

"HORIZONTAL PROPERTY REGIME (CONDOMINIUM) REGULATIONS WITH PROVISION FOR IMPOSITION OF A MORATORIUM ON THE CONVERSION OF RENTAL UNIT PROPERTIES INTO HORIZONTAL PROPERTY REGIMES"

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following regulation:

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized to make rules and regulations with respect to Horizontal Property Regimes pursuant to the provisions of Section 5-928 and to make regulations for the protection of lives. limbs, health, comfort and quiet of all persons and the protection of all property within the District of Columbia under Section 1-226, D. C. Code, 1973 edition. as amended; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council is authorized, pursuant to Section 2 of the Act, approved August 29, 1974, P. L. 93-395 to prohibit the establishment of any horizontal property regime, real estate condominium project, or other conversion of units in a multi-unit structure into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Regime Act of the District of Columbia; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council has previously enacted such a regulation (Regulation No. 74-24) imposing a temporary 60-day moratorium and due to the following reasons deems it necessary to extend the moratorium for an additional period, terminating at the same time as the rent control regulations; and

WHEREAS, there is a grave housing crisis in the District of Columbia, evidenced partially by increasing rents and decreasing supply of rental units available to persons of low-moderate-and middle-income, and in particular to elderly persons; and

COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY	N.V. A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V. A.B. R.A.	COUNCILMAN	AYE NAY N.V. A.	B. R.
NEVIUS	X		FOSTER	X	PARKER	X	
TUCKER	X		MEYERS	X	ROBINSON.	X	
FORD			MOORE	X	SELDEN	X	
	X -	-Indicates Vot	e A. B.—Absent	N. V. Not Voting R.	A.—Readopted		

FORD		MOORE	X		SELDEN	X	
	X—Indicates Vote	A. B.—Absent	N. V. Not Vo	ting R.	A.—Readopted		71.10.10
Submitted on first read				City Coun	cil on October	1, 1974	
Adopted on second and	d final reading	October 15,]	1974				
Presented to the Mayo		October 15,		Edu	land B. h	46,1	
	1 1	Date of	and the state of t	Sec	cretary of the Cit	y Comcil	
Approved	acus C/	LOSPIK	7		17 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	1 8 OCT 19	174
approved and	Mayor-Comr	missioner /			and the same	Date	
Enacted W/O signatu	ire of the Mayor	according to ter	n day limitat	ion rule:			
	Land Specific Gray Specific		en encourage			Date	
Disapproved and retur	ned to the City C	ouncil					
Disapproved and adda.		May	or-Commission	ier		Date	n one one and only the one one one
Readopted							
readopted	Date						
hereby certify that thi	is regulation is true	e and adopted (o	r readopted)	as stated	herein.	1111	

Certified copies are available.

Secretary of the City Council

. ...

REGULATION 74-26

__2_of__<u>15</u>

WHEREAS, one of the ways in which this decrease in housing supply has been affected has been the conversion of rental units to condominiums, which conversion, if permitted to continue at the present rate, will have a substantial effect upon the rental inventory which will lead to the further depletion of the shrinking housing supply in the District; and

WHEREAS, such conversion will for the most part be irreversible once completed, and the situation has now become a genuine emergency threatening the public peace, health, safety, welfare and morals of District residents by decreasing the housing supply, increasing the cost of housing, increasing the extent and degree of overcrowding of the residents and fostering instability amongst the communities threatened by such conversion; and

WHEREAS, unless there is a further curtailment of such conversion to condominium status, the rental housing supply in the District of Columbia will not meet available demands.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED by the District of Columbia Council that:

PART I DEFINITIONS AND APPLICATION

Section 1.1 - Definitions

- (a) <u>City Council</u> shall mean the District of Columbia Council as established pursuant to the provisions of Section 201, <u>et seq.</u>, of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967.
- (b) <u>Commissioner</u> shall mean the Commissioner of the District of Columbia as appointed pursuant to the provisions of Section 301, <u>et seq.</u>, of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967.
 - (c) Common Expenses* means and includes -
 - (1) all sums lawfully assessed against the unit owners by the council of co-owners;
 - (2) expenses of administration, maintenance, repair, or replacement of the common areas and facilities, including repair and replacement funds as may be established;
 - (3) expenses agreed upon as common expenses by the council of co-owners;
 - (4) expenses declared common expenses pursuant to the provisions of Section 5-901, et. seq., D. C. Code, 1973, or by the bylaws.
- (d) $\underline{\text{Common Profits}}^*$ means the balance of all income, rents, profits, and revenues from the common areas and facilities remaining after deductions of the common expenses.
- (e) $\underline{\text{Condominium}}^*$ means the ownership of single units in a multi-unit structure with common elements.
- (f) <u>Condominium project*</u> means a real estate condominium project; a plan or project whereby five or more apartments, rooms, office spaces, or other units in existing or proposed buildings or structures are offered or proposed to be offered for sale.

__3_of_15_

- (g) <u>Co-owner</u>* means a person(s), corporation, trust or other legal entity, or any combination thereof, that owns a condominium unit within the building.
- (h) <u>Council of Co-owners</u>* means the co-owners acting as a group in accordance with the provisions of Section 5-901, <u>et seq.</u>, D. C. Code, 1973 ed. and the bylaws and declaration established thereunder; and a majority, as defined (infra) shall, except as otherwise provided in Section 5-901, <u>et seq.</u> constitute a quorum for the adoption of decisions.
- (i) $\underline{\text{Developer*}}$ means a person that undertakes to develop a real estate condominium project.
- (j) <u>General common elements</u>* except as otherwise provided in the plat of condominium subdivision, means and includes -
 - (1) the land on which the building stands in fee simple or leased provided that the leasehold interest of each unit is separable from the leasehold interests of the other units;
 - (2) the foundations, main walls, roofs, halls, columns, girders, beams, supports, corridors, fire escapes, lobbies, stairways, and entrance and exit or communication ways;
 - (3) the basements, flat roofs, yards, and gardens except as otherwise provided or stipulated;
 - (4) the premises for lodging of janitors or persons in charge of the building, except as otherwise provided or stipulated;
 - (5) the compartments or installations of central services such as power, light, gas, cold or hot water, heating, central air-conditioning or central refrigeration, swimming pools, reservoirs, water tanks and pumps, and the like;
 - (6) the elevators, garbage and trash incinerators and, in general, all devices or installations existing for common use; and
 - (7) all other elements of the building rationally of common use or necessary to its existence, upkeep, and safety.
- (k) <u>Horizontal Property Act</u> means the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia, 77 Stat. 449, as amended, Secs. 5-901, et seq., D. C. Code, 1973 ed., as the same may be amended from time to time.
- (1) <u>Limited common elements</u>* means and includes those common elements to be reserved for the use of a certain number of condominium units, such as special corridors, stairways, and elevators, sanitary services common to the apartments of a particular floor, and the like.
- (m) $\underline{\text{Lot of Record}}$ a lot platted and recorded on the records of the Surveyor.
- (n) Majority of Co-owners* "two-thirds of the co-owners," and "three-fourths of the co-owners" mean, respectively, 51, 66-2/3, and 75 per centum or more of the votes of the co-owners computed in accordance with their percentage interests as established under Section 5-906, D. C. Code, 1973 ed.
- (o) <u>Person*</u> means a natural individual, corporation, trustee, or other legal entity or any combination thereof.

_4_of_15_

- (p) Plat of Condominium Subdivision* means the plat of the Surveyor of the District of Columbia establishing the condominium units, accessory units, general common elements, and limited common elements.
- (q) Property* means and includes the lands whether leasehold, if separable as defined in (j)(1) of this section, or in fee simple, the building, all improvements and structures thereon, and all easements, rights, and appurtenances thereunto belonging.
- (r) Record Plat a plat showing lots, streets, public alleys, etc. recorded in the office of the Surveyor.
- (s) <u>Subdivision</u> is the division or assembly of improvements into one or more units of record.
- (t) To Record* means to record in accordance with the provisions of either Sections 45-501 or 5-901, et. seq., D. C. Code, 1973 ed.
- (u) Unit or condominium unit* means an enclosed space, consisting of one or more rooms, occupying all or part of one or more floors in buildings of one or more floors or stories regardless of whether it be designed for residence, for office, for the operation of any industry or business, or for any other type of independent use, and shall include such accessory units as may be appended thereto, such as garage space, storage space, balcony, terrace or patio: Provided, that said unit has a direct exit to a thoroughfare or to a given common space leading to a thoroughfare.

*As defined in Section 5-902, D. C. Code, 1973 ed., as amended.

Section 1.2 - Interpretation of Regulations

Whenever these regulations shall require restrictions or conditions of higher standards than required in or under any statute or any other municipal regulations, the provisions of these regulations shall govern. Wherever the provisions of any statute or of any municipal regulations shall require restrictions or conditions of higher standards than are required in or under these regulations, the provisions of such statute or other municipal regulations shall govern.

Section 1.3 - Application of Regulations

- (a) No multi-unit structures, land, or buildings in the District of Columbia shall be subdivided for purposes of establishing a condominium project and no condominium units shall be created, except in conformity with these regulations and other applicable laws and regulations of the District of Columbia.
- (b) No part of a building or buildings shall be offered for sale or conveyed as condominium units except in conformity with these regulations and unless. prior thereto, such building or buildings shall have been resubdivided in conformity with all applicable laws and regulations of the District of Columbia.
- (c) No notice to quit shall be served on any tenant of a building for reason of intended conversion to condominium unit or project except in conformity with these regulations and other applicable laws and regulations of the District of Columbia.

PART II

PROCEDURE TO SUBDIVIDE FOR A CONDOMINIUM PROJECT

Section 2.1 - Application for a Condominium Project

(a) Application for a condominium project shall be submitted on a form

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

46 47

50 51

53 54

__5_of_<u>15</u>_

provided by the Surveyor who shall make or cause to be made a plat or plats in conformity with the requirements of Section 5-909, D. C. Code, 1973 ed.

- (b) The applicant for a condominium project shall furnish such information or documentation as the Surveyor shall deem necessary in order to prepare the plat or plats. Such documentation may include, but not necessarily be limited to, the building plans, declaration, and bylaws.
- (c) A condominium project must be located on a lot of record. If such lot of record is not in existence at the time of application, the applicant shall make a request for such subdivision on a form provided by the Surveyor. Such lot of record will be prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Subdivision Regulations. (C.O. 67-65la).
- (d) After the certification and recording of the condominium plats by the Surveyor, the developer shall file with the Surveyor a certified copy of the recorded declaration and bylaws, and any amendments thereto.

Section 2.2 - The Plats of Condominium Subdivision

- (a) The plats for a condominium subdivision shall consist of, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:
 - (1) Title and Signature Sheet, hereinafter "sheet 1."
 - (2) Building(s) and Lot(s) Relationship sheet, hereinafter "sheet 2."
 - (3) Condominium Unit Location Sheets, hereinafter "sheet 3."
 - (b) Sheets 1 and 2 shall be prepared by the office of the Surveyor.
- (c) At the discretion of the Surveyor, sheet 3 may be prepared by a civil engineer registered in the District of Columbia provided, however, that said sheet is prepared to the satisfaction of the Surveyor and in accordance with his specifications.
- (d) The Surveyor shall not initiate any survey of the condominium project until the required fee (see C.O. 64-357, approved March 10, 1964, as amended) has been paid to the D. C. Treasurer and a receipt therefor has been filed in the office of the Surveyor.
- (e) When the Surveyor is satisfied that all plats and sheets contain the requisite information thereon, he shall then certify, approve, label, index and preserve the same for record.
 - (f) All plats of condominium subdivisions shall comply with the following:
 - (1) All sheets shall be made on linen approved by the Surveyor.
 - (2) All sheets shall be of standard of seventeen (17) by twenty-one (21) inches with a half (1/2) inch inked border; however, if necessary to accommodate the project, the seventeen (17) inch side may be extended.
 - (3) The true bearings of the boundary lines shall be given in degrees, minutes and seconds with their lengths in feet and decimal parts of a foot.
 - (4) All building or buildings to be subdivided shall be located by exterior dimension to a point of record.

. ...

REGULATION 74-26

	6_of_15_
1	(5) The square and lot(s) shall be num
2 3	(6) All streets shall be identified.
4 5 6	(7) All building restriction lines shall
7 8 9 10 11	(8) Each condominium unit shall be nu of the finished interior surfaces of the condom the elevations, from a fixed known point of the shall be shown. The identifying number of each the plat(s) shall conform to and be similar in a sequence on the declaration.
13 14	(9) All limited common elements shall
15 16 17 18 19 20	(10) Any other data necessary for the i condominium units and the general and limited shown. Additionally, parking spaces shall, it and appurtenant to a unit, be numbered and relative to the shown and appurtenant to a unit, be numbered and relative to the shown as a specific to the shown as a shall be shown as a shown as a shown as a shall be shown as a shown as a shown as a shall be shown as a shown as a shall be shall b
21 22 23 24	(g) If a condominium unit contains an undevel as accessory and appurtenant to the unit, such will be physical points or pipes around patio area and further
25 26	Section 2.3 - Signators
27 28 29	(a) Upon completion of the plat of condominiu to recording thereof, the plat shall be forwarded to the certification and signature.
30 31 32 33	(b) The plat of condominium subdivision shall developer in the presence of two witnesses and acknopublic.
34 35 36 37	(c) The plat of condominium subdivision shall the developer executing the plat of subdivision to the
38 39	(1) He is the owner in fee simple of the to be subdivided.
40 41 42 43	(2) He is in peaceful occupation there existing tenancies, if any.
44 45 46	(3) There are no suits or actions pendi to said property; however, if such exist, they affidavit.
47 48 49 50	(4) The uses permitted for individual u and bylaws conform to the applicable laws and District of Columba.
51 52 53 54	(d) In the event the property is encumbered, the or parties secured must be shown on the plat, signed in
55	Section 2.4 - Certification

56

57

58 59 60

- bered.
- be shown on all sheets.
- mbered and the dimension inium unit, together with e finished floor and ceiling, ch condominium unit on all respects to the numbering
 - be shown where applicable.
- dentification of the individual common elements shall be f a limited common element lated to such unit.
- oped or unpaved patio area e set forth by means of defined on the plat.
- m subdivision and prior e developer for his
- be signed in ink by the wledged before a notary
- contain an affidavit by effect that:
 - e property proposed
 - of, subject to then
 - ng affecting the title will be set forth in the
 - nits by the declaration regulations of the
- he assent of the trustee(s) in ink.

(a) No plat of condominium subdivision may be recorded unless it contains a certification by the Department of Finance and Revenue that:

_7_of_15_

- (1) The developer whose name appears on the plat of subdivision is the owner of record of the property to be subdivided.
- (2) Taxes, special assessments, and other public charges currently due against the property have been paid.
- (b) When a subdivision is to be recorded during the first half of the fiscal year, the tax shall be paid for the half before allowing the subdivision to be recorded.
- (c) When a subdivision is to be recorded during the second half of the fiscal year, the tax shall be paid for the entire fiscal year before allowing the subdivision to be recorded.
- (d) No plat of condominium may be recorded unless it contains a certification by the Zoning Administrator that the uses permitted for individual units by the declaration and bylaws comply with the District of Columbia Zoning Regulations.

Section 2.5 - Recording of Plat

- (a) Upon compliance with all provisions of this Part, the Surveyor shall approve for record all plats of condominium subdivision, which plats shall thereafter become the property of the District of Columbia.
- (b) The plat shall be recorded and filed in the office of the Surveyor together with a certified copy of the declaration and bylaws.

PART III

Section 3.1 - Property Report: Required: Contents

Prior to offering for sale any condominium units in a condominium project, a developer must prepare a property report and shall certify by affidavit that all disclosures are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. The property report shall contain the following information about the condominium project:

- (a) Name and address of the developer including, but not limited to, all partners of a general and/or limited partnership, all venturers of a joint venture, all officers and directors of a corporation and all stockholders of a corporation owning 10% or more of the stock of such corporation. (It is the intent of these regulations that all financial interests in the developer be disclosed to the extent practicable.)
- (b) Names and addresses of the developer's attorney responsible for preparation of the condominium documents, the general contractor(s) responsible for the construction or renovation of the project, and the architects and engineers engaged by the developer for the preparation of the condominium documents and construction or renovation of the project.
- (c) Complete description of the condominium project, including, but not limited to:
 - (1) Legal description of the condominium project real property.
 - (2) Map or plat showing size and dimensions of the condominium project together with all improvements, including recreational facilities, proposed future construction and location of streets and roads.
 - (3) Description of the condominium units offered and of all common

1 2

REGULATION 74-26

_8_of_15_

elements, general and limited.

- (4) Description of all recreational facilities and other proposed facilities within the condominium project and ownership status of all such facilities.
- (5) Location and ownership status of streets and roads in the development.
- (6) Projected completion dates for proposed construction, renovation or conversion.
- (7) Uses permitted for individual units by the declaration, bylaws and applicable laws and regulations.
- (8) Title report listing all restrictions of record and the condition of title.
- (d) A statement of all financial aspects of the condominium project, including, but not limited to:
 - (1) Statement of financial plan for completion of project.
 - (2) Name of construction lender or the interim lender.
 - (3) Institution offering long term mortgage financing, if available, and terms and conditions of such mortgage or deed of trust.
 - (4) Nature and extent of any protection of purchaser's deposits in escrow accounts.
 - (5) Statement of sales prices, terms and conditions of sale including estimated closing and settlement costs, taxes and expected special assessments.
 - (6) Anticipated monthly payments for principal, interest, assessments and taxes for a period of one year from the expected date of settlement, as such payments relate to individual condominium units within the regime.
 - (e) Copies of all required legal documents including:
 - (1) Copy of proposed sales contract which must provide that in the event that the improvements to be made by the developer are not completed and settlement scheduled prior to the expiration of two years after the date of execution of the sales contract, the purchaser shall have the right, at his option, to terminate the sales contract and recover his deposit thereunder, in which event the developer and the purchaser shall be relieved of all obligations thereunder, except that the developer may provide that the aforesaid two year period shall be subject to extension in the event of acts of God, strikes, shortage of materials, failure of a prior tenant to vacate the premises or other causes beyond the developer's control.
 - (2) Copies of sample forms of deeds of conveyance.
 - (3) Copy of the declaration.
 - (4) Copies of all organization documents of the council of co-owners.
 - (5) Copy of the bylaws and regulations of the council of co-owners.

REGULATION 74-26

__9_of_15_

- (6) Copy of any leases applicable to the condominium project.
- (7) Copy of any management or maintenance contract.
- (8) Schedule of ownership interest in the general and limited common areas.
- (f) Statement of management and expected operation of the condominium project. The developer may not enter into any exclusive contract for management or services of a condominium project or permit a clause in the sales contract between a developer and prospective purchaser of a condominium unit that would stipulate an exclusive management or services contract of three years after the first condominium unit is sold or of a period of one year after said condominium project is to be governed by the council of co-owners, whichever is longer. The following shall also be furnished:
 - (1) Name of management agent, if any, and the services the agent will perform.
 - (2) Length of term of management contract and charges and circumstances under which the charges may be increased.
 - (3) Copy of estimated operating budget for the condominium project projected for a period of one year from the expected date of the first conveyance and occupancy of any of the units, to include monthly payments to be prorated to each condominium unit for maintenance and/or management of condominium property and charges for use of recreational and other facilities. The developer will be held financially responsible for any intentional misrepresentations in his budget analysis and if the actual expenses exceed the estimated operating budget by 20% or more, it shall be presumed that there was intentional misrepresentation. In particular, the operating budget shall include but not be limited to, the following:

i) Operational Costs

Utilities
Heating Fuels
Janitorial Services
Trash and Garbage disposal
Ground and Building Maintenance
Maintenance and Operation of recreational and other facilities
Building Insurance
Elevator Maintenance
Sidewalks and Street Maintenance
Other fixed costs
Legal and Accounting services
Bookkeeping services
Management fees
Other management costs
Other operating costs

ii) Reserve Costs

Reserve for unexpected repair work, if any Reserve for replacement and upkeep of common area and facilities, if any Reserve for improvements, if any.

(4) Method and timing of transfer of control over the condominium project

10 of 15

to the resident council of co-owners, the council's relationship with the developer, and the nature and extent of any interest retained by the developer in the condominium project. The transfer of control to the resident council of co-owners shall take place within 180 days after the developer has conveyed 80% of the condominium units to bona fide purchasers.

- (5) Statement disclosing any relationship between the developer and the management firm and their respective corporate officers and controlling interests.
- (g) In the case of a condominium project containing structures which were wholly or partially occupied at any time before the recording of the declaration, a report from a qualified licensed engineer stating the condition and the rated life and expected useful life of the roof, foundation, external and supporting walls, mechanical, electrical, plumbing and structural elements and all other common facilities together with an estimate of repair and replacement costs. There shall also be a list of any outstanding building code or other municipal regulation or code violations issued by governmental authorities and the dates the premises were last inspected for code or regulations compliance.
 - (h) Copies of the sales brochures and floor plans.
 - i) Details of initial prices, terms and options.
 - ii) Identity of selling agent.
 - iii) Itemized list of total estimated settlement costs.
- (i) Each property report shall contain a detailed index to the contents and on the first page the following warning in capital letters and bold face type:

"WARNING: ORAL REPRESENTATIONS ARE NOT BINDING ON THE DEVELOPER. REFER TO THE PROPERTY REPORT FOR BINDING REPRESENTATIONS."

(j) The property report and all attachments shall be made available for inspection by the public in such place as may be designated by the Commissioner.

Section 3.2 - Filing; Registration; Warranty; Receipts

A developer or agent shall not enter into a binding contract or agreement for the sale of any condominium unit until:

- (a) A copy of the property report and any amendments and supplements thereto have been given to the prospective purchaser.
- (b) The prospective purchaser has been given an opportunity to read the property report.
- (c) A receipt signed by the prospective purchaser has been received by the developer or agent acknowledging that the prospective purchaser has received and has had an opportunity to review the property report. Receipts shall be kept on file by the developer for a period of three years from the date of signature by the prospective purchaser. Receipts shall be subject to inspection by the Commissioner.
- (d) A copy of the property report and any amendments and supplements thereto shall be filed promptly upon preparation with the Commissioner and shall be accompanied by a fee of \$

11 of 15

- (e) Upon receipt of the property report in proper form, the Commissioner shall determine whether all required information is contained therein. Upon a determination that all required information is contained in the property report, he shall register the condominium project and issue a certificate of registration. The Certificate of Registration shall contain a notice to the effect that the condominium project shall be subject to annual inspection for compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.
- (f) The developer shall not enter into a binding contract or agreement for the sale of any unit in a condominium project nor may he or his agent accept deposits until the condominium project has been registered and a true copy of the Certificate of Registration has been given to the prospective purchaser. The prospective purchaser shall sign a receipt acknowledging that he has been given and has read the Certificate and such Certificate shall be in possession of the developer subject to inspection at a reasonable time by the Commissioner for a period of three years from the date the receipt was taken.
- (g) The developer shall post a bond or warranty satisfactory to the Commissioner assuring that the roof, foundation, external and supporting walls, mechanical, electrical, plumbing and structural elements and all other common facilities, shall be repaired or replaced at the expense of the developer for a period of one year from the date of conveyancy of 80% of the condominium units to bona fide purchasers. A true copy of the warranty or bond shall be filed with the Commissioner. The developer's responsibility to repair or replace the aforementioned common facilities shall not include the cost of normal, routine maintenance, nor where the damage or destruction of such facilities are caused by the negligence of the condominium unit owners, nor where such damage or destruction of such facilities are caused by natural catastrophies.
- (h) It shall be unlawful for the developer after submitting the property report to the Commissioner, to materially change the terms of the property report without first notifying the Commissioner in writing of such intended change and notifying all purchasers and prospective purchasers of such change. This notice requirement of the developer shall extend to all purchasers and those prospective purchasers who have a continuing and immediate interest in the prospective change in the property report.

Section 3.4 - Cooling-Off Period

- (a) The developer shall include in the contract of sale for each condominium unit the following clause and its Spanish equivalent, which shall read:
 - "Seller hereby grants to purchaser a period of fifteen days within which to review the condominium documents made available to purchaser pursuant to District of Columbia law and applicable regulations. Notwithstanding any other provision of this agreement, the purchaser may, at his election, by written notice to the seller, send by registered mail (or personal delivery to the seller's office during business hours) at any time prior to midnight local time of the fifteenth day following the date the contract is signed by the purchaser, terminate this agreement and the purchaser's entire deposit shall be refunded and the parties hereto shall have no further rights or liabilities under the agreement."
- (b) The clause in the sales contract which provides for the fifteen day cooling-off period must be located:
 - (1) either immediately above the purchaser's signature, or
 - (2) under a conspicuous caption entitled "PURCHASER'S RIGHT TO CANCEL."

_12_of__15

Section 3.5 - Escrow of Deposit

1 2

(a) Any deposit made in regard to any disposition of a unit, including one upon a nonbinding reservation agreement, shall be held in escrow until delivered at settlement. Such escrow funds shall be deposited in a separate account designated for this purpose and any person receiving such escrow funds may deposit them in an interest bearing account. Any deposit held for longer than three (3) months shall, from that time, bear interest at the maximum passbook rate permitted by law to be paid by a Federally insured savings and loan association, which interest shall be credited to the purchaser at settlement, or, if settlement is not made and the purchaser is entitled under law to return of the deposit, the interest shall be paid over to purchaser with the deposit. If settlement is not made and the purchaser is not entitled under law to the return of the deposit, he shall not be entitled to the interest thereon.

Section 3.6 - Resale By Purchaser

- (a) In the event of any resale of a unit by an owner other than the original developer of the condominium project, such owner shall obtain from the council of co-owners or its duly designated agent and furnish to the prospective purchaser, prior to entering into a sales agreement the following:
 - (1) A statement as to whether the owner is current in his payment of all charges and assessments for repairs and maintenance of the condominium.
 - (2) A statement of any and all major repairs and replacement expenditures currently anticipated by the council of co-owners and by the management of the condominium project.
 - (3) A list of any outstanding building code or other municipal regulation or code violations issued by governmental authorities and the dates and premises were last inspected for code or regulations compliance.
 - (4) A statement on the status and amounts of any reserve fund and whether such are earmarked for any specified project by the council of co-owners.

The council of co-owners or its duly designated agent and management shall furnish this information upon written request of any unit owner within ten (10) days of such request. The provisions of this section shall be embodied in the bylaws.

Section 3.7 - Provisions Required in Bylaws

- (a) The bylaws of the council of co-owners shall include, but not be limited to, the following information:
 - (1) The legal right of the council to control the administration, maintenance and repair of common elements; to govern the conduct of owners of condominium units subject to the provisions of Section 5-914(a) (6), D. C. Code, 1973 ed.; and to levy common charges upon such owners to replace or alter portions of the condominium project.
 - (2) The manner of election of the governing groups and details relating to their number, terms, powers and duties, removal and the quorum required for meetings.
 - (3) Provisions for meetings of owners of condominium interests

_13_of__15

including the notices required for such meetings, and the rights and powers of such owners.

- (4) Provisions regarding the adoption and amendment of rules and regulations.
- (5) Percentages of votes required by the owners or the council for all actions.
- (6) Details concerning the operations and management of the condominium by the council.
- (7) Statement on the method and timing of transfer of control over the condominium project to the council of owners, the council's relationship with the developer, and the nature and extent of any interest retained by the developer.
 - (8) The provisions of Section 3.6 of these Regulations.
 - (9) Provisions regarding adoption and amendment of the bylaws.

Section 3.8 - Notice Requirement to Tenants of Apartment Buildings to be Converted

Every occupant of a housing accommodation which the landlord seeks to convert from a rental basis to a condominium shall be notified in writing 180 days prior to the conversion thereof. The landlord of such a housing accommodation shall make to each occupant a bona fide offer of sale of the unit which he occupies, and the tenant shall be afforded 60 days within which to accept. No occupant shall be served with a 30-day notice to vacate until 150 days after he first received notice of the landlord's intention to convert, nor shall the notice to vacate be served prior to the expiration of the aforesaid 60-day period of receipt of the tenant's written rejection of the bona fide offer of sale of the unit which he occupies, whichever occurs first. This condition on the service of notice to vacate applies only with respect to the attempt by the landlord to convert from a rental basis to condominium status and in no wise restricts the right of the landlord to issue such eviction notices as granted him by the Rent Control Regulations for the District of Columbia (Regulation No. 74-20).

PART IV

<u>Section 4.1</u> - Extention of Temporary Moratorium on the Conversion of Rental Unit Property into Horizontal Property Regimes

After the effective date of this subsection 4.1:

- (a) Neither the District Government, or any officer or employee thereof, shall accept applications, plats or any other documents relating to the conversion of residential units into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia.
- (b) Neither the District Government, nor any officer or employee thereof, shall perform any services in connection with the conversion of residential units into a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia, and this subsection shall apply, without limitation, to proposed conversions for which applications, plats or other documents have been filed beretofore.
- (c) Any notice to quit that has heretofore been served for the purpose of converting a rental unit to a condominium pursuant to the Horizontal Property Act of the District of Columbia shall be null and void as of the effective date of this regulation.

1 2

_14_of_15_

- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this regulation, any owner of residential rental property who is aggrieved by this regulation may apply to the Commissioner or his designated agent for relief, including but not limited to, exemption from the provisions hereof. Such application shall state, under oath, specifically and in detail, the exact status of the property in question, the hardship imposed by this regulation and the relief sought. The Commissioner, or his designated agent, shall act promptly on such application, taking into consideration, without limitation, the particular circumstances of the owner including the level and stage of the owner's capital outlays and of the affected tenants, and the stage of development of the proposed conversion, and shall thereupon take such actions as in his judgment are right and proper in the circumstances.
- (e) If any provision of these regulations, or any section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word or the application thereof, in any circumstances is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of these regulations, and of the application of any such provision, section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word in any other circumstances shall not be affected thereby and to this end, the provisions of these regulations are declared severable.
 - (f) The provisions of this subsection 4.1 shall terminate on May 31, 1975.
 - (g) This subsection 4.1 shall take effect immediately upon enactment.

PART V ENFORCEMENT AND VALIDITY

Section 5.1 - Severability Clause

(a) If any provision of these regulations, or any section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word or the application thereof, in any circumstances is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of these regulations, and of the application of any such provision, section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word in any other circumstances shall not be affected thereby and to this end, the provisions of these regulations are declared severable.

Section 5.2 - Prosecution and Penalty

- (a) Criminal prosecution for violation of any of these regulations shall be brought in the name of the District of Columbia in the Superior Court for the District of Columbia by the Corporation Counsel. Any person who willfully violates any provision of these regulations, or who willfully makes an untrue or misleading statement of material fact to be stated therein, shall upon conviction be punished by a fine of not more than \$300 or be imprisoned for not more than ten (10 days.
- (b) Failure by the developer to comply with these regulations shall, at the option of a purchaser or prospective purchaser of a condominium unit, render the contract of sale on such condominium unit null and void.

Section 5.3 - Effective Date

These regulations, except for subsection 4.1, shall become effective 30 days after enactment and shall apply to all condominium projects, irrespective of whether the declaration and condominium plats have been recorded, subject to the provisions of (a) and (b) hereafter.

(a) The Commissioner may, in his discretion, waive any requirement of these regulations or grant time extensions for full compliance to any condominium

_15_of__15

project that is in active sales. The developer of any such project requesting a waiver and/or extension shall file with the Commissioner a statement setting forth the status of the project and the reasons for the request.

(b) All condominium projects whose declarations and condominium plats were recorded prior to the effective date of these Regulations shall file with the Commissioner, in accordance with regulations to be promulgated by him, such information, and in such form as he shall direct, as he shall deem appropriate to carry out the purposes of these regulations.

. ...

May 1967

Memorandum • Government of the District of Columbia

TO:

Sterling Tucker, Chairman

Housing and Urban Development

Committee

FROM:

W. R. Compton W. Surveyor, D. C.

Department,

Office of the Surveyor

Agency, Office:

Date: October 1, 1974

Regulation Concerning Condominium Sales and Conversions in SUBJECT:

the District of Columbia - Log 769

I have just had an opportunity to read your report to the Council Members dated Spetember 24, 1974 regarding condominium conversions.

In reading the regulations we find in Section 2.3(d) an area which is of concern to this office in conflict with the procedure presently in operation. In our review of the drafts submitted to us in April and again in May of 1974 the wording reflected our procedure with respect to this section of the regulations. I discussed this matter with Mr. Feuer of the Corporation Counsel but he was unsure as to the reason for the additional wording. I also discussed this problem with Mr. Coleman of your staff who recommended that we bring this problem to your attention.

It is recommended that consideration be given to placing a period after the word "plat" and delete the rest of the sentence. The present procedure with regard to "trustees" or other "parties" has been that they sign the plat without witnesses or notary. All subdivision of lots and of condominium subdivisions have followed this procedures for many, many years. I am advised that if this section were not changed that it may be impossible to obtain the signatures as suggested in the regulations.

Mr. Coleman advised me that it would be possible, if you concur, that this minor change could be made before the final reading of the resolution.

Your consideration of this matter will be most appreciated and if I can be of any assistance or provide any information please let me know.

WRC:bp

District of Columbia City Council Report

City Hall, 14th and E Streets, N.W.

Room 507

638-2223 or Government Code 137-3806

To

COUNCIL MEMBERS

From

STERLING TUCKER, CHAIRMAN, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

October 1, 1974 Date

Subject Regulation Concerning Condominium Sales and Conversions in the

District of Columbia - LOG 769

Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, the Housing and Urban Development Committee presents for first reading a proposed regulation concerning condominium sales and conversions in the District of Columbia.

A comprehensive set of regulations regarding condominium conversions and sales was submitted to the Council by Mayor Washington and published in the D. C. Register on July 5, 1974. The proposed regulations were drafted with the intention of protecting all parties in condominium transactions, and for developing a logical and reasonable set of procedures for these transactions. Public review of the Mayor's proposal was conducted on July 18 and 19, 1974, when the HUD Committee and members of the Council heard testimony from thirty-six witnesses at public hearings. The proposed regulation before you today incorporates some aspects of the pertinent testimony presented at those hearings as well as some of the recommendations expressed in written communications received by the Council, subsequent to the hearings.

Up to now, experience with condominiums in the District has been relatively good. Abuses have occurred, as they do in all industries but not to the degree experienced in other states, or even in some other jurisdictions of this metropolitan area. Nonetheless, there are problems for consumers, developers and the District of Columbia Government. The absence of control-type regulations removes the responsibility from the developer and the government, leaving it with the consumer.

Because of the limitations on our present legislative authority. the Council and the Executive Branch sought Congressional authority to immediately deal with the "Condo Conversion Craze". Although the new Council would have broad authority to promulgate legislation to change the present Horizontal Property Regime provisions of the D. C. Code. we found that immediate action was required to help ease the depletion of our already shrinking rental housing supply. Congress concurred in our request and through the leadership of Congressmen Diggs and Gude. of the House District Committee, the Council was given the authority to institute a moratorium on conversions, through legislation signed into law on August 29, 1974, by President Ford. We immediately assumed this new authority and under our emergency powers, on August 30, 1974, we unanimously passed a regulation imposing a moratorium on the conversion of rental properties into condominiums. This regulation is for sixty (60) days and expires on October 29, 1974.

The legislation I am presenting today will address the condominium problem even more profoundly. I have said before, and I*m sure you agree, that the establishment of condominiums, whether through new construction or conversions, can be beneficial to the housing situation in our city, when done properly. The intent of this legislation is to provide protection to consumers from the undue hardships resulting from the shortage of housing in the city and the increased cost of such housing.

Because the whole matter of conversions is of such profound concern to our residents, and because this Council cannot enact more stringent controls on the conversions, the regulation presented today, includes a provision for a moratorium on conversions from the date of enactment to May 31, 1975. This date is logical in our view, because it is the expiration date of the Rent Control Regulation, No. 74-20. This is necessary to help maintain a "status quo" in the condominium conversion field until a more wide-ranged reform regulation regarding condominiums can be promulgated. There is, however, included in the proposal a provision for developers to seek relief from this moratorium, if they are aggrieved under certain conditions.

Even though the Council cannot dictate the course of legislative actions the new elected Council will pursue, the Committee is hopeful that work on a comprehensive condominium reform regulation will occur before the proposed approximate eight month moratorium expires. A study, currently being undertaken by the Department of Housing and Community Development, to give a clear picture of the condominium situation in the District is due the early part of next year. With this as an important base of information and from the numerous proposals offered by various sectors of the city as to how reform legislation for the control on conversions should take shape, I m positive the new Council will develop legislation which reforms the Horizontal Property Regime provisions in the D. C. Code to benefit both buyers and sellers.

The proposed regulations offer protections for both buyer and seller, and set forth detailed procedures for the offering of condominium units for sale and the registering of documents with District Government offices. Full disclosure would be required on all aspects of negotiations which affect both the prospective buyer and the seller. This regulation will help to ease the occasional deceptions and misrepresentations and encourage the consumer to come into the condominium market with confidence. The great complexity of the legal documents which describe the condominium regimes often has led to misunderstandings, deceptions and abuses of the buyer-seller relationship. The legislation before you today is a means of alleviating this situation. In addition to the condominium conversion moratorium, the proposed legislation also includes provisions for:

- . Full disclosure of all aspects of the transactions which affect the buyer and seller.
- . A warranty from the developer to the buyer assuring that roof, foundation, mechanical, electrical, plumbing structural elements and all other common elements shall be repaired or replaced at developer expense for a period of one year from date of conveyance of unit.
- . A 180 day written notice period of intent to convert to condominium, with a 60-day period afforded to tenants to accept offer to purchase.
- . A 15 day "Cooling-Off" period in which a prospective purchaser can review documents.
- . Resale of individual units, once conversion has been completed and individual owners are in possession.
- . Enforcement and criminal penalties for willful violation of the provisions of the regulation.
- Mr. Chairman, the proposed legislation I am presenting today is vital in this governments development of a comprehensive housing strategy for the city, and therefore, I move adoption of this regulation on first reading.

Attachment