



RESOLUTION NO. 71-45

June 29, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE Resolution ordering the closing of part of 4th Street, Northwest, and public alley in Square 522, at New Jersey Avenue and N Street, Northwest.

Reverend Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on June 4, 1971, concerning the proposed
2 closing of part of 4th Street, N. W., and public alley in Square 522, as shown on a plat
3 on file in the Office of the Surveyor of the District of Columbia (S. O. 71-4); and
4

5 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council having considered the proposed
6 closing is of the opinion that the part of said street and the alley should be closed.
7

8 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:
9

10 Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 7-401 through 7-410 of
11 the District of Columbia Code and Section 402 (168) of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of
12 1967, the street and alley areas as shown on the plat filed in the Office of the Surveyor
13 of the District of Columbia (S. O. 71-4) are hereby ordered closed.
14

15 Section 2. The Surveyor shall cause public notice of the order to be given
16 by advertisement and shall serve a copy of such order to each property owner abutting
17 the part of said street and alley to be closed in accordance with the provisions of
18 Section 7-404 of the D. C. Code, 1967 edition.
19

20 Section 3. If no objection in writing is made by any party interested within
21 thirty (30) days after the service of such order the Surveyor shall record in his office the
22 said order and appropriate plat or plats.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				Willard	X				ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER				X	HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY	X			
ANDERSON				X	MOORE	X				Yeldell	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Plawetz
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-46

July 6, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL APPROVING THE SUMMER EARN AND LEARN PROGRAM OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MODEL CITIES PROGRAM FIRST YEAR PLAN

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, pursuant to the approval of the City Council and the Commissioner,
2 the District of Columbia has undertaken a comprehensive city demonstration program
3 with federal financial assistance under Title I of the Demonstration Cities and Metro-
4 politan Development Act of 1966, made pursuant to a grant agreement entered into
5 between the District of Columbia and the United States; and

6
7 WHEREAS, the Department of Housing and Urban Development has approved a
8 first year Model Cities Action Plan for the District of Columbia and from time to time
9 approves amendments to that Plan to allow for program substitutions and changes
10 made necessary by changing circumstances; and

11
12 WHEREAS, the purpose of the Model Cities Program is to undertake innovative
13 programs to alleviate the social, educational, physical and environmental ills of a
14 long ignored section of our inner city; and

15
16 WHEREAS, the Model Cities Commission, the City Demonstration Agency, and
17 the School System of the District of Columbia have proposed the Summer Earn and
18 Learn Program, an experimental educational program for Model Neighborhood young
19 people for the summer of 1971, using unexpended first year Model Cities funds; and

20
21 WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed the Summer Earn and Learn Program and
22 finds that the program fully meets the goals of the Model Cities Program to provide
23 innovative solutions to community problems; and

24
25 WHEREAS, the Council desires that the District of Columbia be authorized to
26 expend Model Cities First Year Action Plan funds to implement the Summer Earn and
27 Learn Program as quickly as possible.

28
29 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

30
31 Section 1. The Council approves and endorses the Summer Earn and Learn Program
32 of the District of Columbia Model Cities First Year Action Plan and authority is given to
33 the Mayor-Commissioner of the District of Columbia, subject to his approval of the

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				Willard	X				ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
ANDERSON	X				MOORE	X				Yeldell				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David K. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-46

2 of 2

1 Program as a Budget Revision, to submit the Program as a Budget Revision to the
2 U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and to receive and expend
3 funds under the Revision.

4
5 Section 2. This resolution is to take effect immediately.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESOLUTION NO. 71-47



July 6, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE DIVISION OF THE SIXTH POLICE DISTRICT OF THE PATROL DIVISION INTO THE SIXTH AND SEVENTH POLICE DISTRICTS

Councilman Carlton W. Veazey Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, pursuant to paragraph 89 of Section 402 of Reorganization Plan
 2 No. 3 of 1967, the Council is vested with the responsibility of subdividing the
 3 Metropolitan Police Districts into police districts; and
 4
 5 WHEREAS, the Council adopted Resolution 69-92, dated July 15, 1969,
 6 which authorized the reorganization of fourteen police precincts into six police
 7 districts; and
 8
 9 WHEREAS, the Chief of the Metropolitan Police Department has recommen-
 10 ded that the Sixth Police District be divided by establishing a new Seventh District
 11 so that the public safety needs in this area will be better met; and
 12
 13 WHEREAS, the Council agrees that a new district should be established;
 14
 15 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
 16 that:
 17
 18 Section 1. Section 1. of Resolution 69-62, dated July 15, 1969, is amen-
 19 ded by deleting the words "six districts" in the first paragraph thereof and insert-
 20 ing in lieu thereof the words "seven districts."
 21
 22 Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				Willard	X				ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
ANDERSON	X				MOORE	X				YELDELL				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-48

July 20, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL REFERRING THE WORKABLE PROGRAM FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT BACK TO THE OFFICE OF HOUSING PROGRAMS FOR REDRAFTING

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

- 1 WHEREAS, the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, requires all communities
- 2 receiving federal funds for various renewal and housing programs to present a
- 3 Workable Program for Community Improvement for approval every two years to the
- 4 U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and
- 5
- 6 WHEREAS, the certification of the District of Columbia's Workable Program
- 7 is a requirement of law as a condition of approval by the Department of Housing and
- 8 Urban Development for various federally-funded renewal and housing programs; and
- 9
- 10 WHEREAS, the current certification of the District of Columbia under the
- 11 Workable Program requirement expired on June 30, 1971; and
- 12
- 13 WHEREAS, the Council is required by law to approve a Workable Program
- 14 submission prior to submission to HUD; and
- 15
- 16 WHEREAS, the Office of Housing Programs delivered to the Council for approval
- 17 a draft workable program prior to submission to HUD; and
- 18
- 19 WHEREAS, the Council held a public hearing on the draft program on July 9,
- 20 1971; and
- 21
- 22 WHEREAS, the Council has considered the draft workable program and the
- 23 testimony of witnesses at the hearing and has determined that the draft program is
- 24 deficient and must be revised in certain respects to meet HUD guidelines and other
- 25 concerns of the Council; and
- 26
- 27 WHEREAS, the Council has determined that a delay in submission of a workable
- 28 program to HUD by September 1, 1971, would not adversely affect receipt of certain
- 29 federal renewal and housing funds by the District of Columbia.
- 30
- 31 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the District of Columbia City Council
- 32 that:
- 33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				Willard				X	ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
ANDERSON				X	MOORE	X				Yeldell	X			

X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. ...
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-48--2 of 2--

1 Section 1. The draft District of Columbia Workable Program for Community
2 Improvement, 1971 - 1973 be and hereby is referred back to the Office of Housing
3 Programs for redrafting in light of the Report attached hereto.

4
5 Section 2. The attached Report is a part of this Resolution.

6
7 Section 3. A revised workable program shall be submitted to the Council by
8 August 23, 1971 for Council action thereon by August 31, 1971.

9
10 Section 4. The Housing and Urban Development Committee of the Council
11 will join with and assist the Office of Housing Programs and interested citizen groups
12 in the process of revising the Workable Program.

13
14 Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESOLUTION NO. 71-49



July 20, 1971

Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION HONORING THE ONE HUNDRED FIFTIETH YEAR OF THE INDEPENDENCE OF PERU

Chairman Gilbert Hahn, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

WHEREAS, the nation of Peru is celebrating the one hundred fiftieth anniversary of its independence on July 28, 1971; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council wishes to honor Peru on this most important occasion.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. The Council hereby extends its congratulations and best wishes to the government and the people of Peru on the one hundred fiftieth anniversary of Peru's independence.

Section 2. The Mayor of the District of Columbia is hereby authorized to proclaim July 28, 1971, as "Peruvian Day."

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD				X
ANDERSON				X	ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION NO. 71-50



July 30, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE APPROVAL OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MODEL CITIES PROGRAM SECOND YEAR ACTION PLAN WITH MODIFICATIONS

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of
 2 1966 and guidelines of the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
 3 require the City Council to approve all Model Cities Action Plans and Programs;
 4 and
 5
 6 WHEREAS, on June 22, 1969, the City Council and the Commissioner approved
 7 submission of a Model Cities First Year Action Plan pursuant to which the District
 8 of Columbia has undertaken a comprehensive city demonstration program with
 9 federal financial assistance under a grant agreement with the U. S. Department of
 10 Housing and Urban Development; and
 11
 12 WHEREAS, the Model Cities Second Year Action Plan has been presented to
 13 the City Council for approval; and
 14
 15 WHEREAS, the City Council held a public hearing on the Model Cities Second
 16 Year Action Plan and has considered the Plan in detail and has weighed the consid-
 17 erable testimony and public comments concerning the Plan; and
 18
 19 WHEREAS, the City Council finds that execution of the Second Year Action
 20 Plan is necessary and desirable in order to improve the living conditions and welfare
 21 of people living in the model neighborhood; and
 22
 23 WHEREAS, the City Council desires that the District of Columbia be authorized
 24 to receive and expend federal funds under the Second Year Action Plan.
 25
 26 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia City Council
 27 that:
 28
 29 Section 1. The Council approves the Model Cities Second Year Action Plan
 30 with the modifications detailed in the attached Report and authority is hereby given
 31 to the Mayor-Commissioner of the District of Columbia, subject to his approval of
 32 the Plan as modified, to submit the Model Cities Second Year Action Plan as modified
 33 to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for approval and to
 receive and expend funds under the Plan.

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

Robert L. Moore
Acting Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-50

2 of 2

Section 2. The attached Report on the Model Cities Second Year Action Plan is hereby incorporated by reference and shall be considered as a part of this resolution.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Resolution No. 71-51

Passed by the District of Columbia Council _____

August 3, 19 71

Attest: Robert S. Moore Acting Secretary, D. C. Council

Attest: _____ (Not Applicable) _____ Chairman, D. C. Council

Presented by me to the Mayor of the District of Columbia _____

August 3, 19 71

Robert S. Moore Acting Secretary, D. C. Council

Approved and signed by me August 6, 19 71

Walter Washington Mayor



RESOLUTION NO. 71-51

August 3, 1971

Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION FIXING THE REAL PROPERTY AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX RATES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1972

Chairman Gilbert Hahn, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. Under authority vested in the District of Columbia Council by paragraph numbered 363 of Section 402 of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967, and pursuant to Section 47-501 of the District of Columbia Code, the rate of taxation on taxable real property in the District of Columbia for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1971, and ending June 30, 1972, is hereby ascertained, determined and fixed at three dollars and twenty cents (\$3.20) on each one hundred dollars (\$100.00) of assessed value, and the rate of taxation on taxable tangible personal property in the District of Columbia for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1971, and ending June 30, 1972, is hereby ascertained, determined and fixed at two dollars and forty cents (\$2.40) on each one hundred dollars (\$100.00) of assessed value.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY		X		
TUCKER		X			MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON		X			YELDELL		X		
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

Robert S. Moore
Acting Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION NO. 71 - 52



August 17, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE Non-Resident Tuition Rates for the 1971-72 School Year and Summer Schools

Mr. Stanley J. Anderson Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, Section 31-307, D. C. Code, provides in part as follows:

2
3 "(a) In the case of (1) each adult who attends a public
4 school of the District of Columbia and does not reside in
5 the District of Columbia, and (2) each child who attends
6 such a public school and does not have a parent or
7 guardian who resides in the District of Columbia, or is
8 not an orphan, there shall be paid to the Board of Education
9 the amount fixed by the Board of Education pursuant to
10 subsection (b) of this section.

11 (b) The amount which shall be paid with respect to each
12 person subject to subsection (a) of this section shall be
13 fixed by the Board of Education with the approval of the
14 Board of Commissioners of the District of Columbia as the
15 amount necessary to cover the expense of tuition and cost
16 of textbooks and school supplies used by such person."

17 WHEREAS, Section 402, Subdivision (236) of Reorganization Plan No. 3
18 of 1967 transferred the function of approving amounts fixed by the Board of Education
19 to be paid for nonresidents to cover the expense of tuition and costs of textbooks
20 and school supplies under Section 31-307.(b), D. C. Code, to the District of Columbia
21 Council; and

22 WHEREAS, the Board of Education, on July 7, 1971 fixed the rates to be
23 paid for nonresidents to cover the expense of tuition and costs of textbooks and
24 school supplies under Section 31-307.(b), D. C. Code, for the 1971-72 school year
25 and summer schools; and

26 WHEREAS, the Office of Municipal Audits has reviewed the records and
27 procedures of the Board of Education in computing current nonresident tuition rates
28 and recommends that the rates fixed by the Board of Education be approved.

29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				WILLARD	X				ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
ANDERSON	X				MOORE				X	YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

Robert S. Moore
Acting Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-52

1 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
 2 That:

3 Section 1. The action of the Board of Education in fixing the following
 4 nonresident tuition rates for the 1971-72 school year and summer schools is
 5 hereby approved as follows:
 6

	<u>Yearly Rate</u>	<u>Half-Yearly Rate</u>	<u>Daily* Rate</u>
11 Senior High Schools.....	\$ 848.00	\$424.00	\$4.75
13 Vocational High Schools.....	1,249.00	624.50	7.00
15 Junior High Schools.....	763.00	381.50	4.25
17 Elementary Schools.....	596.00	298.00	3.25
18 Kindergarten and Pre-Kindergarten.....	298.00	149.00	1.50
20 Classes for Crippled Children.....	2,205.00	1,102.50	12.00
22 Armstrong Adult Education Center			
23 Full Course (6 subjects per day).....	614.00	307.00	3.25
24 Less than 6 subjects - rate per subject	102.00	51.00	.50
25 Americanization School			
26 5 hours per day (Full time).....	458.00	229.00	2.50
27 3 hours per day (A.M.).....	275.00	137.50	1.50
28 2 hours per day (P.M.).....	183.00	91.50	1.00
29 Government Course for Citizenship.....	10.00		
31 Evening Schools and Adult Education:			
32 Full Program			
33 (3 hrs. per night or 330 hrs. per year)	186.00	93.00	1.75**
34 Two-thirds Program			
35 (2 hrs. per night or 220 hrs. per year)	124.00	62.00	1.25
36 One-third Program			
37 (1 hr. per night or 110 hrs. per year)	62.00	31.00	.50

38 Note: Tuition rates for courses of instruction not covered by the above schedule will
 39 be charged at the daily rate as established for the one-third program per each
 40 subject of instruction.

41 Summer Schools (All levels: 4 hours). 76.50
 42 (All levels: 2 hours). 38.25

43 Armstrong Adult Education Center (Summer School)
 44 Full Course (6 periods per day)..... 430.00
 45 Less than 6 periods - rate per period 71.50

47 * Based on 182 school days in 1971-72
 48 ** Hourly rate based on 110 school nights in 1971-72

51 Section 2. This resolution shall become effective immediately upon
 52 enactment.
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60



RESOLUTION NO. 71-53

September 7, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION HONORING SERGEANT OLIVER BROWN

Councilman Stanley J. Anderson Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, Sergeant Oliver Brown of the Metropolitan Police Department is
2 retiring after many years of service; and

3
4 WHEREAS, Sergeant Brown has been an instrumental force in expanding the
5 program of the Police Boys Club to provide greater recreational opportunities for
6 our youth, and in so doing has served this City and its youth with great distinction;
7 and

8
9 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council wishes to express its apprecia-
10 tion for Sergeant Brown's career of service.

11
12 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
13 that:

14
15 Section 1. The Council hereby expresses its appreciation for the years of
16 devoted service rendered by Sergeant Oliver Borwn to this City and its youth.

17
18 Section 2. The Council hereby extends to Sergeant Brown its best wishes
19 for many more years of health and happiness.

20
21 Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Roberts
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION NO. 71-54



September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION HONORING WETA ON ITS TENTH ANNIVERSARY

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, WETA is celebrating its Tenth Anniversary; and
 2
 3 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council wishes to acknowledge this
 4 event and to express its appreciation for public service performed by WETA during
 5 its first ten years;
 6
 7 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY the District of Columbia Council
 8 that:
 9
 10 Section 1. The Council hereby extends its warm greetings to WETA on the
 11 occasion of its Tenth Anniversary.
 12
 13 Section 2. The Council hereby extends to WETA, on behalf of the people
 14 of this City, its appreciation for public service which has been performed by WETA
 15 during its first ten years of existence.
 16
 17 Section 3. The Council hereby extends to WETA its best wishes for many
 18 years of continued success.
 19
 20 Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL				X

X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-55

September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE URBAN RENEWAL: NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM -- THIRD YEAR ACTION PROGRAM

Vice Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, under the provisions of Title I of the Housing Act
2 of 1949, as amended (hereinafter called "Title I"), the Secretary
3 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter
4 called the "Secretary" and the "Department" respectively) is
5 authorized to provide financial assistance to Local Public Agencies
6 for undertaking and carrying out Neighborhood Development Programs;
7 and

8 WHEREAS, it is provided in Title I that contracts for financial
9 aid thereunder shall require that the Urban Renewal Plans for the
10 respective urban renewal areas comprising the Neighborhood Develop-
11 ment Program be approved by the governing body of the locality in
12 which the areas are situated and that such approval include findings,
13 by the governing body that: (1) the financial aid to be provided
14 in the contract is necessary to enable the Program to be undertaken
15 in accordance with the Urban Renewal Plans; (2) the Urban Renewal
16 Plans will afford maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound
17 needs of the locality as a whole, for the rehabilitation or redeve-
18 lopment of the urban renewal areas by private enterprise; (3) the
19 Urban Renewal Plans conform to a general plan for the development of
20 the locality as a whole; and (4) the Urban Renewal Plans give due
21 consideration to the provision of adequate park and recreational
22 areas and facilities, as may be desirable for neighborhood improvement,
23 with special consideration for the health, safety, and welfare of
24 children residing in the general vicinity of the sites covered by
25 the Plans; and

26 WHEREAS, certain functions of the Board of Commissioners of
27 the District of Columbia under the District of Columbia Redevelop-
28 ment Act of 1945, as amended, have been transferred to the District
29 of Columbia Council (hereinafter called the "Council") pursuant to
30 Section 402, paragraphs 122 through 129, of Reorganization Plan No.
31 3 of 1967; and

32 WHEREAS, pursuant to the District of Columbia Redevelopment
33 Act of 1945, as amended by Section 501 of the Housing and Urban
Development Act of 1968 (hereinafter called the "Redevelopment Act"),
the Council approved, on January 28, 1969, Urban Renewal Plans for
the Shaw School Urban Renewal Area and the Downtown Urban Renewal

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	Willard	X				ROBINSON	X			
TUCKER	X				HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
ANDERSON	X				MOORE	X				YELDELL				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

-2 of 6-

1 Area and the Neighborhood Development Program for the District of
2 Columbia (hereinafter called the "Program") encompassing the Shaw
3 School Urban Renewal Area and the Downtown Urban Renewal Area; and

4 WHEREAS, on June 17, 1969, and on December 17, 1969, the
5 Council: (1) approved Urban Renewal Plans for the H Street Urban
6 Renewal Area and the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area, respectively;
7 and (2) modified the Program to include the H Street Urban Renewal
8 Area and the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area, respectively; and

9 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Redevelopment Land Agency
10 (hereinafter called the "Agency") has, with the approval of the
11 Council, undertaken and is carrying out the Program with financial
12 assistance provided by the Department pursuant to Title I; and

13 WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the
14 District of Columbia Redevelopment Land Agency (hereinafter called
15 the "Agency") continue to carry out the Program; and

16 WHEREAS, the Agency proposes to apply for additional financial
17 assistance under Title I and proposes to enter into an additional
18 contract or contracts with the Department for the undertaking of,
19 and for making available additional financial assistance for, the
20 Program; and

21 WHEREAS, on July 1, 1971 the National Capital Planning Commis-
22 sion (hereinafter called the "Planning Commission") adopted modi-
23 fications to Urban Renewal Plan for the Shaw School Urban Renewal
24 Area pursuant to its resolution entitled "Resolution Modifying the
25 Urban Renewal Plan for the Shaw School Urban Renewal Area", of that
26 date, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" (herein called the "Shaw Plan
27 Modifications") and referred said modifications to the Council for
28 review and approval in accordance with Sections 6(b) and 12 of the
29 Redevelopment Act; and

30 WHEREAS, on July 1, 1971 the Planning Commission adopted modi-
31 fications to the Urban Renewal Plan for the Downtown Urban Renewal
32 Area pursuant to its resolution entitled "Resolution Modifying the
33 Urban Renewal Plan for the Downtown Urban Renewal Area", of that
34 date, attached hereto as Exhibit "B" (herein called the "Downtown
35 Plan Modifications") and referred said modifications to the Council
36 for review and approval in accordance with Sections 6(b) and 12 of
37 the Redevelopment Act; and

38 WHEREAS, on July 1, 1971 the Planning Commission adopted
39 modifications to the H Street Urban Renewal Plan pursuant to its
40 resolution entitled "Resolution Modifying Urban Renewal Plan for
41 the H Street Urban Renewal Area", of that date, attached hereto as
42 Exhibit "C" (herein called the "H Street Plan Modifications") and
43 referred said modifications to the Council for review and approval
44 in accordance with Section 6(b) and Section 12 of the Redevelopment
45 Act; and

46 WHEREAS, on July 1, 1971 the Planning Commission adopted modi-
47 fications to the 14th Street Urban Renewal Plan pursuant to its
48 resolution entitled "Resolution Modifying the Urban Renewal Plan for
49 the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area", of that date, attached hereto
50 as Exhibit "D" (herein called the "14th Street Plan Modifications")
51 and referred said modifications to the Council for review and approval
52 in accordance with Sections 6(b) and 12 of the Redevelopment Act; and

53 WHEREAS, the Council has found and determined that the Shaw
54 School, Downtown, 14th Street, and H Street Urban Renewal Areas are
55 slum, blighted, and deteriorated areas and qualify as eligible areas
56 under the Redevelopment Act; and

57 WHEREAS, the Downtown Urban Renewal Area included in the Program,
58 which is predominantly nonresidential in character, is to be rede-
59 veloped for predominantly nonresidential uses under the Urban Renewal
60 Plan; and

_3_of_6_

1 WHEREAS, the acquisition for clearance and redevelopment of
2 the areas designated as "Acquisition Areas" pursuant to the Shaw
3 School, Downtown, H Street, and 14th Street Plan Modifications is
4 necessary and fully consistent with the criteria and conditions set
5 forth in Section 510.10 of the Shaw School, Downtown, H Street,
6 and 14th Street Urban Renewa Plans; and

7 WHEREAS, a general plan has been prepared and is recognized
8 and used as a guide for the general development of the locality
9 as a whole; and

10 WHEREAS, the Council has found and determined that the Urban
11 Renewal Plans for the Program conform to the general plan of
12 the locality in connection with its approval of the Urban Renewal
13 Plans for the Program; and

14
15 WHEREAS, the Planning Commission, which is the duly designated
16 and acting official planning body for the locality, has submitted its
17 reports and recommendations to the Council respecting the Shaw School,
18 Downtown, H Street and 14th Street Plan Modifications and has deter-
19 mined that the Urban Renewal Plans for the Shaw School, Downtown,
20 H Street and 14th Street Urban Renewal Areas comprising the Program,
21 as modified by the Shaw School, Downtown, H Street and 14th Street
22 Plan Modifications conform to the general plan for the locality as
23 a whole; and

24 WHEREAS, the Agency has prepared and submitted a program for
25 the relocation of individuals and families that may be displaced
26 as a result of carrying out the Program in accordance with the
27 Urban Renewal Plans, as modified by the Shaw School, Downtown,
28 H Street, and 14th Street Plan Modifications; and

29 WHEREAS, there have also been presented to the Council informa-
30 tion and data respecting the relocation program which has been
31 prepared by the Agency as a result of studies, surveys, and inspections
32 in the areas included in the relocation program and the assembling
33 and analysis of the data and information obtained from such studies,
34 surveys and inspections; and

35 WHEREAS, the members of this Council have general knowledge
36 of the conditions prevailing in the urban renewal area and of
37 the availability of proper housing in the Locality for the relo-
38 cation of individuals and families that may be displaced by the
39 Program, and, in the light of such knowledge of local housing
40 conditions, have carefully considered and reviewed such proposals
41 for relocation; and

42 WHEREAS, it is necessary that the Council take appropriate
43 official action respecting the relocation program and the Urban
44 Renewal Plans for the Program, in conformity with the contracts
45 for financial assistance between the Agency and the United States
46 of America, acting by and through the Secretary; and

47 WHEREAS, Section 105(h) of Title I requires that for any
48 urban renewal project which receives Federal recognition after
49 December 24, 1969 which includes the demolition or removal of
50 any residential structure, standard housing units for occupancy
51 by low and moderate income families at least equal in number to
52 the number of units occupied by such families prior to the demoli-
53 tion or removal of such structures shall be provided by construction
54 or rehabilitation in the area within which the Local Public Agency
55 has jursidiction; and

56 WHEREAS, the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area received Federal
57 recognition after December 24, 1969, and is subject to the provi-
58 sions of Section 105(h) of Title I; and
59
60

- 4 of 6 -

1 WHEREAS, the Department has issued regulations respecting the
2 implementation of said Section 105(h) of Title I which provide
3 that the construction or rehabilitation of replacement housing
4 units should proceed at a rate to assure that the units are available
5 for occupancy within three years of the time that existing units
6 are demolished or removed by project activities; and

7 WHEREAS, the Department's regulations respecting Section 105(h)
8 also require a statement from the governing body of the locality
9 providing assurance of compliance with the requirements of Section
10 105(h).

11 WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed data prepared and submitted
12 by the Agency respecting the number of housing units occupied by
13 low and moderate income families in the 14th Street Urban Renewal
14 Area to be demolished pursuant to the Urban Renewal Plan for said
15 Area, and the number of standard housing units for low and moderate
16 income families scheduled to be constructed and which will become
17 available for occupancy within three years in the District of
18 Columbia, and these units will substantially exceed the number of
19 units to be demolished in the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area; and

20 WHEREAS, the Council, based on its review of the aforementioned
21 data, concludes that it is able to assure the Secretary of compliance
22 with the requirements of Section 105(h) of Title I; and

23 WHEREAS, the Council is cognizant of the conditions that are
24 imposed in the undertaking and carrying out of urban renewal
25 activities and undertakings with Federal financial assistance
26 under Title I, including those prohibiting discrimination because
27 of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin; and

28 WHEREAS, the Council, pursuant to Section 12 of the Rede-
29 velopment Act, held public hearings on August 9, 1971, August 13,
30 1971, August 16, 1971, and August 17, 1971, at which the Shaw,
31 Downtown, H Street, and the 14th Street Plan Modifications were
32 considered, respectively; and

33 WHEREAS, following the filing of an application by the Agency
34 for additional financial assistance necessary to carry out the
35 Program, and the approval of the application by the Department and
36 upon the offer of a contract or contracts to the Agency by the
37 Department providing for the extension of additional Federal financial
38 assistance, the Agency proposes to execute such a contract or con-
39 tracts; and

40 WHEREAS, Section 20(a) of the Redevelopment Act requires that
41 the Council approve the execution of such contracts between the
42 Agency and the Department providing for the extension of financial
43 assistance to the Agency, and the Agency has requested the Council
44 to grant its approval to the Agency to execute a contract or contracts
45 upon the offer of such contracts by the Department to the Agency; and

46 WHEREAS, Section 20(g) of the Redevelopment Act authorizes
47 the Agency to borrow funds from private sources, to issue its
48 obligations evidencing such funds and to pledge as security for
49 the payment thereof, together with interest, the property, in-
50 come revenues and other assets acquired in connection with projects
51 financed with assistance under Title I; and

52 WHEREAS, utilization of private financing presents an
53 opportunity to reduce net program costs and hence provide a
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

 --5 of 7--

1 saving in the cost of program for both the Federal and District of
 2 Columbia Governments; and

3 WHEREAS, after considering testimony given at the public hearing
 4 respecting the Downtown Plan Modification, the Council desires to
 5 approve said Modification except for the designation of the area in
 6 Square 516 for acquisition as shown on Map No. 4B, Modifications to
 7 Renewal Action Areas, NCPC Map File No. 21.00 (71.00) - 26303; and

8
 9 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 12 of the Redevelopment Act the
 10 Council may refer back to the Planning Commission any project area
 11 redevelopment plan, project area boundaries, or modification submitted
 12 to it, together with their recommendation for changes in such plan,
 13 boundaries, or modification, and, if such recommended changes be adopted
 14 by the Planning Commission and are in turn approved by the Council,
 15 the plan, boundaries, or modifications as thus changed shall be and
 16 become the approved plan, boundaries, or modification; and

17 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COUNCIL:

- 18 1. That the Shaw Plan Modifications are hereby approved.
- 19 2. That the H Street Plan Modifications are hereby approved.
- 20 21 3. That the 14th Street Plan Modifications are hereby approved.
- 22 23 4. That the Council desires and is prepared to approve the
 24 Downtown Plan Modification except for the designation for
 25 acquisition of the area in Square 516 shown on Map No. 4B,
 26 and therefore, hereby refers the Downtown Plan Modification
 27 back to the Planning Commission with the recommendation that
 28 the said area designated for acquisition as shown on Map
 29 No. 4B be deleted from properties designated for acquisition.
- 30 31 5. That the acquisition for clearance and redevelopment of the
 32 areas designated as "Acquisition Areas" pursuant to the
 33 Shaw, H Street and 14th Street Plan Modifications approved
 34 herein and pursuant to the proposed Downtown Plan Modifica-
 35 tion in accordance with the change recommended by the Council
 36 and referred back to the Planning Commission herein, is
 37 necessary and fully consistent with the criteria and conditions
 38 set forth in Section 510.10 of the Shaw, Downtown, H Street,
 39 and 14th Street Urban Renewal Plans.
- 40 41 6. That it is hereby found and determined that where clearance
 42 is proposed that the objectives of the Urban Renewal Plans,
 43 as modified herein, including the proposed Downtown Plan
 44 Modification in accordance with the change recommended by
 45 the Council and referred back to the Planning Commission
 46 herein, cannot be achieved through more extensive rehabilita-
 47 tion of portions of the urban renewal areas included in the
 48 Program.
- 49 50 7. That it is hereby found and determined that the Urban Renewal
 51 Plans for the Shaw School, Downtown, H Street, and 14th Street
 52 Urban Renewal Areas, as modified herein, including the
 53 proposed Downtown Plan Modification in accordance with the
 54 change recommended by the Council and referred back to the
 55 Planning Commission herein, included in the Program conform
 56 to the general plan for the locality.
- 57 58 8. That it is hereby found and determined that the financial
 59 aid provided and to be provided pursuant to the contracts for
 60 Federal financial assistance pertaining to the Program is
 necessary to enable the Program to be undertaken in accordance
 with the Urban Renewal Plans, including the modifications
 approved herein, for the areas included in the Program, and
 is also necessary to enable the Program to be undertaken in

6..of..7

1 accordance with the proposed Downtown Plan Modification in
2 accordance with the change recommended by the Council and
3 referred back to the Planning Commission herein.

4 9. That the redevelopment of the Downtown Urban Renewal Area for
5 predominantly nonresidential uses is necessary for the proper
6 development of the community.
7

8 10. That it is hereby found and determined that the Urban Renewal
9 Plans, as modified herein, including the proposed Downtown Plan
10 Modification in accordance with the change recommended by the
11 Council and referred back to the Planning Commission herein,
12 for the urban renewal areas included in the Program will afford
13 maximum opportunity, consistent with the sound needs of the
14 Locality as a whole, for the renewal of the areas by private
15 enterprise.

16 11. That it is hereby found and determined that the Urban Renewal
17 Plans, as modified herein, including the Proposed Downtown Plan
18 Modification in accordance with the change recommended by the
19 Council and referred back to the Planning Commission herein, for
20 the urban renewal areas give due consideration to the provision
21 of adequate park and recreational areas and facilities, as may
22 be desirable for neighborhood improvements, with special con-
23 sideration for the health, safety, and welfare of children
24 residing in the general vicinity of the sites covered by the
25 Plans.

26 12. That it is hereby found and determined that the relocation
27 program for the proper relocation of individuals and families
28 to be displaced in carrying out the Urban Renewal Plans, as
29 modified herein, including the proposed Downtown Plan Modifica-
30 tion in accordance with the change recommended by the Council
31 and referred back to the Planning Commission herein, in decent
32 safe, and sanitary dwellings in conformity with acceptable
33 standards is feasible and can be reasonably and timely effected
34 to permit the proper prosecution and completion of the Urban
35 Renewal Plans; and that such dwellings or dwelling units avail-
36 able or to be made available to such displaced individuals and
37 families, are at least equal in number to the number of dis-
38 placed individuals and families, are not generally less
39 desirable in regard to public utilities and public and commer-
40 cial facilities than the dwellings of the displaced individuals
41 and families in the urban renewal areas included in the Program
42 and are reasonably accessible to their places of employment.

43 13. That, in order to implement and facilitate the effectuation
44 of the Urban Renewal Plans, as modified herein, including the
45 proposed Downtown Plan Modification in accordance with the
46 change recommended by the Council and referred back to the
47 Planning Commission herein, it is found and determined that
48 certain official actions must be taken by this Council with
49 reference, among other things, to changes in zoning, the
50 vacating and removal of streets, alleys and other public ways,
51 the establishment of new street patterns, the location and
52 relocation of sewer and watermains and other public facilities,
53 and other public action, and, accordingly, this Council hereby
54 (a) pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out the Plans,
55 (b) requests the various officials, departments, boards and
56 agencies of the Locality having administrative responsibilities
57 in the premises likewise to cooperate to such end and to
58 exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner
59 consistent with the Plans, and (c) stands ready to consider to
60 take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to
effectuate the Plans.

...7.of...7

- 1 14. That additional financial assistance under the provisions of
2 Title I of the Housing Act of 1949, as amended, is necessary
3 to enable the land in the urban renewal areas included in the
4 Program to be renewed in accordance with the Urban Renewal
5 Plans, including the modifications approved herein, for the
6 Program and is also necessary to enable the land in the Downtown
7 Urban Renewal Area included in the Program to be renewed in
8 accordance with the proposed Downtown Plan Modification in
9 accordance with the change recommended by the Council and
10 referred back to the Planning Commission herein, and accordingly,
11 the Program and the annual increment are approved, and the
12 Agency is authorized to file an application for additional
13 financial assistance under Title I.
- 14 15. That it hereby grants approval to the District of Columbia
15 Redevelopment Land Agency to execute a contract or contracts
16 between the Agency and the United States of America, acting by
17 and through the Secretary of the Department of Housing and
18 Urban Development providing for additional financial assistance
19 under Title I necessary to carry out the Program, and containing
20 such terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.
- 21 16. That it hereby grants approval to the District of Columbia
22 Redevelopment Land Agency to enter into private financing
23 transactions with reference to the Neighborhood Development
24 Program for the Shaw School, Downtown, H Street, and 14th Street
25 Urban Renewal Areas, without further referral to the District of
26 Columbia Council Provided; (a) that the Board of Directors of
27 the District of Columbia Redevelopment Land Agency authorize
28 said transactions together with the execution of necessary docu-
29 mentation as may be required by the Secretary; and (b) that the
30 said transactions will result in a saving in program costs; and
31 (c) that at no time will the amount of notes outstanding exceed
32 the amount of the temporary loan to be furnished under the fund-
33 ing agreement for the Program.
- 34 17. That the Council hereby assures the Secretary that the urban
35 renewal activities to be undertaken to carry out the Urban Renewal
36 Plan for the 14th Street Urban Renewal Area pursuant to the
37 Program comply with the requirements of Section 105(h) of Title I.
- 38 18. That the transmission of this action to the Planning Commission
39 for the immediate certification of the Shaw, H Street, and 14th
40 Street Plan Modifications to the Agency for execution is hereby
41 authorized and directed.
- 42 19. That the report attached hereto is hereby adopted and is incorporated by
43 reference into this Resolution.
- 44 20. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.
- 45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

District of Columbia City Council Report

City Hall, 14th and E Streets, N.W.

Room 507

638-2223 or Government Code 137-3806

To COUNCIL MEMBERS

From VICE CHAIRMAN STERLING TUCKER, Chairman, Housing and Urban
Development Committee

Date September 21, 1971

Subject Urban Renewal: Neighborhood Development Program -- Third Year
Action Program

On July 1, 1971, the National Capital Planning Commission adopted and referred to the Council for approval the third year action plan of the Neighborhood Development Program to be implemented by the Redevelopment Land Agency. The program has four elements on which the Committee held hearings -- Shaw (August 9th), the residential portion of Downtown (August 13th), "H" Street (August 16th), and 14th Street (August 17th). NCPC has deferred action on the third year program for the retail core of Downtown in order to study a design proposal presented by Architect Arthur Cotton Moore on behalf of certain downtown small businessmen. The Commission has extended its deferral of the downtown retail core plan from month to month so that the Committee is unable to advise the Council at this time when the complete Downtown plan will be presented to the Council for action.

Based on the record of the four public hearings, the Committee recommends Council's approval of the third year NDP program with one modification in the downtown residential portion. We also recommend Council's approval of the RLA relocation report and program and approval of the RLA third year NDP budget for submission to the Department of Housing and Urban Development. An appropriate resolution is attached to this report.

Our recommendations are made in the context of considerable frustration with the inaction of our renewal program, expressed by official and private witnesses at the public hearings. Over one year ago, in recommending approval of the Second Year NDP Program, we noted that no rebuilding had started on "H" or 14th Streets and only one 106 housing unit building had been constructed in the Shaw area since the beginning of the renewal programs in those areas. The situation is unchanged today -- 106 units in Shaw, nothing on "H" and 14th Streets. We are strongly dissatisfied with the progress of urban renewal in this city.

I. The Renewal Process -- Long Term Solutions to Delay

Because of the absence of action in renewal areas, we examined the renewal program in considerable depth this year to see why no action is taking place. In the Committee's opinion, the slowness of development in the four renewal areas is in large part due to the excessively complicated governmental machinery through which renewal plans must proceed and in part due to the lack of official commitment to production goals. By statute, the RLA devises urban renewal plans, project area boundaries, land-use maps, acquisition sites and criteria for development and for developer selection. Also by statute, the NCPC reviews RLA's plans and officially "adopts" project area plans. Following NCPC adoption, renewal plans are submitted to the City Council for "approval" following public hearings. Thus, three multi-member governmental bodies are involved directly in the renewal process. This process requires hearings on three levels on every new plan and every plan modification. There are opportunities for delay, obstruction, confusion, and frustration at every stop of the way. Indirectly, other agencies of local government are also involved. New schools, roadways and new public facilities such as fire and police stations must be constructed in renewal areas. Streets and alleys must be closed requiring advice from the Surveyor and further Council hearings.

It is clear now that the governmental machinery responsible for urban renewal is diffuse and cumbersome. Legislation will be required to streamline the machinery. This is a long-term solution. A more immediate solution must be found to remedy the absence of precise goals and the absence of accountability. The Committee recommends both long and short range solutions.

Given the complexity of the issues involved in land transactions and changing physical environments, the renewal process will never be easy or painless. Nevertheless, government must do what it can to streamline and improve the process. Now that the various citizen groups in the affected neighborhoods have joined together in umbrella organizations to rationalize their input, it is important that the City Council recommend a reasonable consolidation of the urban renewal process. The Committee, therefore, recommends a consolidation of the urban renewal process by the elimination of RLA and the National Capital Housing Authority as separate agencies and the creation of a city Department of Housing and Community Development, a legislative step now underway at the Mayor's direction.

Second, the local planning function of NCPC, including its authority to review urban renewal plans, must be transferred to the new city Office of Planning created by Mayor Washington last month. These two moves -- to be

-3-

accomplished by both executive reorganization plan and legislation -- will centralize the planning process in the city government by abolishing the RLA board and by confining the existing National Capital Planning Commission to review of federal construction projects. Immediate consideration should be given to a transfer of the urban renewal planning process to the City government planning office. The Council could authorize RLA to transfer funds to the city for this purpose.

II. Planning and Development Goals -- A Short-Term Solution to Delay

As I stated earlier, a short term solution to urban renewal inaction must be found. The Council has approved two large NDP programs in the past two years but only one apartment house has been built in Shaw, H Street or 14th Street. No official or agency appears willing to be held accountable for producing results. We believe that the over 500 employees of RLA and NCPC cannot be allowed to drift any longer. The NDP process simply cannot drag on forever.

Because neither RLA, nor NCPC, nor the Mayor have set down strict development timetables or goals, it is essential that the Council do so. If the Council adopts this report, the timetables and policies set forth below shall constitute the urban renewal goals of the District of Columbia.

First, to the extent that relocation problems and acquisition issues permit, all NDP 1, 2 and 3 sites should be marketed before NCP 4 will be approved. We will expect a report from RLA within four months on the status of every site approved in NDP 1, 2 and 3 for acquisition and disposition or rehabilitation. Has the site been made ready for disposition or rehabilitation? Has a development package (similar to the excellent package prepared for parcels 1 and 4 on H Street) been prepared for every site with the appropriate citizen advisory group? Has an offering been made to sponsors and developers? Has a sponsor or developer been selected? Has HUD approved the sponsor's plans? When will construction start?

Second, specific development objectives shall be developed for each NDP site. In this connection, we will expect a report within four months from RLA on specific development objectives on each NDP site and on development goals for the future NDP action years in Downtown, Shaw, H Street and 14th Street. Have such goals, including criteria for sponsor and developer selection, been discussed with and agreed on by the participating citizen groups (the 14th and H Street Project Area Committees, the Model Inner City Organization, and the Model Cities Commission and Downtown Progress)?

-4-

It is essential that the citizen groups realize the importance of meeting precise development timetables and the importance of working closely with RLA to agree on: (a) individual site development criteria, (b) developer-sponsor selection criteria and (c) long range development goals for their areas. It is high time that the focus of all groups concerned with urban renewal be on production and not on "planning". It is especially important that the Model Cities Commission be prepared to articulate long-range development goals because of its important role as the major citizen organization with representation in every NDP area except 14th Street.

Third, the highest priority in urban renewal development is to provide housing and supporting commercial and public services for low and moderate income families. Therefore, each renewal area shall contain housing developments using every available subsidy, including the public housing subsidy. Emphasis shall be placed on the home ownership programs -- Section 235 and Turnkey III. In this connection, we will expect a report from RLA within four months (after consultation with the appropriate community groups) on the number and type of housing units to be produced in the four NDP areas using all available subsidy programs. This report should also include evaluation of the use of various types of developers -- profit, non-profit, limited-dividend and on the use of the turnkey public housing methods (private construction, private management, home ownership).

An essential goal will be to relate the talents of experienced builders to local groups in order to permit profit to be shared locally and new business, labor and financing experience to be developed locally. In this connection, RLA should share the results of the consultant study on housing production with community groups. The emphasis in urban renewal in the year ahead must be on setting, and meeting housing production goals. RLA must take the lead in this effort; the traditional renewal approach of reliance on private developers is not working. In Washington, we lack a well-funded public developer and our housing production non-results highlight this lack. In this connection, we would appreciate RLA's views on the creation of an officially-recognized housing development corporation.

Fourth, RLA should assess the results of the programs in Northwest One and in Shaw in order to avoid making the same mistakes on H and 14th Streets. The problems and achievements of each renewal area should be assessed and discussed with each citizen group in order to develop some over-all renewal program perspectives.

For instance, the problems arising from absence of an effective working relationship between housing code enforcement and urban renewal should now be obvious in the Shaw area. Couldn't that assessment be made before the same problem gets out of hand on 14th Street where there are several large private apartment developments about to be foreclosed or condemned? In this connection, we hope that the Mayor will appoint a

-5-

full-time City Hall coordinator and expediter for urban renewal. This official could be of invaluable assistance in keeping open the flow of information, ideas and programs among the interested city agencies and RLA and NCPC.

RLA has agreed to provide the Council in four months with a consolidated report analyzing all of the issues I have just outlined. RLA has also agreed to work closely with Council staff in the development of this report. The report should enable the Council to measure RLA's performance under the NDP programs far in advance of our consideration of the Fourth Year NDP program.

Fifth, the urban renewal planning process must be made more flexible. The dispute, brought to the Council by several public witnesses at the Shaw hearing, over the development of Parcel 6 in the Shaw area highlights the inflexible planning process we are now faced with. Two community groups with decent ideas and high hopes have been pitted against each other over a couple of acres of land in Shaw.

The Deliverance Church of God has proposed a walk-up apartment development on Parcel 6 that will provide the lowest rents of any private housing project undertaken in a renewal area. Mrs. Cecelia Scott and a group of doctors have proposed a much-needed medical center and high-rise apartments for the site. The Scott plan would require a change in the Shaw land-use plan. A plan change was proposed by MICCO and Model Cities.

Because the Deliverance Church proposal was developed according to the existing land-use plan and because neither RLA nor NCPC have proposed a plan change to the Council, we have no proposal before us and could merely recommend a change. We are advised that our intervention in the matter at this time could delay the development process on this parcel for up to two years. The Committee, therefore, recommends that the Council refrain from recommending a plan change on Parcel 6.

At the same time, the Committee recommends that RLA and NCPC consider with MICCO and the Model Cities Commission plan changes (converting medium density sites to high density) along the 7th Street Metro line, to be submitted to the Council within four months, to accommodate, if possible on another site, the type of proposal submitted by Mrs. Scott and her group. A medical center on 7th Street makes good sense -- it will fill a desperate community need for medical services and will allow doctors practicing at Freedmen's Hospital to have offices near the hospital. George Washington doctors are able to lease space in apartments in Foggy Bottom near the hospital where they practice. Should not Freedmen's doctors also have this convenience?

The Committee also recommends that RLA, NCPC and the community plan intensively in the next four months in Shaw and on 14th and "H" Streets to present the Council with plans to enlarge certain parcels for large-scale housing construction. This will require fundamental plan changes and much more creative and flexible planning than has gone on heretofore. In the Shaw area, attention should be given to the Tunney Lee Shaw Urban Design that recommends high density residential and commercial development along the 7th Street Metro line and along major arterial routes and family housing along community streets. Attention should also be given to immediate development of Square 551 and the adjoining blocks, a housing area long delayed by red tape. In "H" Street, attention should be given immediately by RLA and the Project Area Committee to expansion of the Wylie Plaza site along the lines proposed at our hearing by the Near Northeast Community Improvement Corp.

It is obvious from the Parcel 6 dispute that RLA, the Planning Commission, MICCO and the Model Cities Commission must come to an early agreement on the role of each agency in the planning and development process in the Shaw area. It is the committee's view that the citizen involvement process is crucial for meaningful development but this does not mean that developers should not have to compete for sites. Competition is not for the cheapest project but for the best proposal. No person or group can or should have a vested right to develop a particular site. But it is equally true, that locally-based developers, profit, non-profit, or limited dividend, are entitled to the continuous aid and counsel of experts on the public payroll in order to perfect ideas that they may have.

III. The Relocation Crisis

The Relocation Report submitted to us for approval in connection with NDP 3 indicates that RLA made a policy decision to acquire no site that would require relocation of large poor families (4 or more bedrooms) because of the inadequate resources in the city to house such families decently. The inability of the city to produce large-size units is clearly bringing the NDP renewal process to a halt. The problem is circular -- relocation problems lead to the planning of relatively small clearance sites; small sites are said to be unattractive to builders. Because of the smallness of sites not enough relocation housing gets built, and so on.

We do not question the figures in RLA's relocation report and therefore the Committee recommends approval of the report at this time. Nevertheless, the Committee is not satisfied with RLA's response to the relocation crisis now confronting the City. In our view, RLA and other agencies such as NCHA must develop new relocation resources for large families in the next six months, using the leasing and acquisition authority of RLA and NCHA to rent or acquire existing vacant units throughout the City. We understand that such a program is still in the talking stages.

-7-

To give impetus to this leasing and acquisition program, we request a report from RLA in one month describing the leasing and acquisition program including the number of units by size. At the same time we would appreciate RLA's advise as to whether or not, if new relocation resources can be produced in six months, a new relocation report could be presented to the Council for approval, in advance of submission of NDP 4. The purpose of this new relocation report would be to add additional large families to the relocation workload thereby permitting the planned acquisition of new parcels for redevelopment or rehabilitation in NDP 4.

IV. Recommended Plan Modification

At the hearing on the housing portion of the Downtown NDP 3 plan, the committee received a request to delete the proposed acquisition of several lots in Square 516, located between K and I Streets and 4th and 5th Streets, Northwest. The proponent of this request was the Chester A. Arthur Corporation, a subsidiary of the Pomponio Brothers Construction and Realty Company, which recently acquired lot 886 in Square 516. The corporation wishes to construct an office building on Lot 886 for lease to the General Services Administration. Such a use would be permitted under the existing C-3-b Zoning on the site but would not be permitted under the urban renewal land-use plan for Downtown which calls for high density housing on Square 516 and adjoining areas north of Massachusetts Avenue.

The Committee is concerned that urban renewal plans not stifle private development initiatives that do not threaten the basic integrity of urban renewal plans. We are of the opinion that the Pomponio proposed office building should proceed. This building could be a catalyst for the development of the balance of Square 516, Square 515 and the adjacent parcels in the area known as the Mount Vernon East Triangle in a mixed-use development including a modest number of offices generating employment, economically-mixed housing and commercial uses serving the prospective tenants and employees of the area. There have been several actual and proposed public actions in the Mount Vernon Square area that should be considered in connection with the current urban renewal land use plan, including the 7th Street Metro line, and the proposed convention center and sports arena.

The Committee recommends to NCPC a plan modification to effect the deletion of Square 516 from the Downtown Plan at this time to permit the office building on lot 886 to be constructed. We request NCPC to study Squares 516, 515 and adjoining areas with a view to developing mixed-use development controls which will insure high density in numbers of units equivalent to units in the existing plan as well as a modest amount of office and commercial space. We also request RLA to study methods of providing relocation services to low income residents of Square 516 even

though the square is temporarily removed from the renewal plan.

V. The Downtown Renewal Plan

As we noted earlier, NCPC has deferred action on the third year plan for the retail core of the Downtown renewal area. The announced purpose of this delay is to permit Mr. Arthur Cotton Moore, a prominent local architect, and affected small businessmen to articulate an alternative development proposal which would seek to preserve certain existing building and permit construction of new buildings in inner-block areas and in the air-rights space over existing structures. This alternative would have the effect, Mr. Moore indicates, of minimizing dislocation of small business firms and of creating a pleasing diversity of commercial use which would enliven the downtown area.

We are interested in the Moore concept because we too are anxious to keep small business dislocation to an absolute minimum and to create a vital downtown with both office space, and large and small shops. We do not believe, however, that the indefinite postponement of the Downtown NDP 3 plan is in the public interest.

The Downtown plan must be placed in context. In January 1969, following NCPC adoption of a plan for downtown, the Council approved a general renewal plan covering 616 acres between North Capitol Street and 15th Street, N. W. and Pennsylvania Avenue and "M" Street, N. W. Twenty-four acres of that area constitute the retail core.

In June 1970, the Council approved a second year action plan for downtown, authorizing RLA to acquire sites at 12th and "G" Streets and 7th and "G" Streets, where major subway lines will intersect. We delayed RLA acquisition because at that time, as we are now, quite concerned about the problem of small business dislocation and we called on RLA to present development alternatives for downtown.

The Council held hearings in January 1971 on design and economic studies that had been commissioned by RLA. In April 1971, we authorized RLA to prepare disposition ("re-use") controls for downtown using the existing zoning envelope. We also called for the development of proposals to permit selective increases in the building height limitations to permit more intensive development.

In May 1971, following our April action, RLA submitted downtown re-use controls to NCPC. During June and July, the RLA and NCPC staffs came to general agreement on the controls which were then submitted to the Commission's

-9-

Housing and Urban Renewal Committee on August 2. The Committee tabled the controls on that date in view of the Moore proposal which was presented at the same time. The downtown plan was again deferred by the Commission for 30 days at its September meeting.

We cannot permit NCPC to derail the Downtown Plan set in motion by the Council earlier this year. Section 2 of the District of Columbia Redevelopment Act of 1945 (D. C. Code §5-701) provides that urban renewal and comprehensive "planning and replanning should proceed vigorously without delay." This Act applies equally to RLA, NCPC, and the Council. One body has chosen to postpone the entire downtown plan indefinitely.

We are advised by RLA that the Moore proposal will require considerable economic analysis to determine its feasibility. A public policy decision will have to be made soon on whether to adopt the Moore proposal for every Downtown renewal action area or only for one such area or whether to reject the proposal. This policy decision should be made by the City Council not NCPC. The Council is the "local governing body" under the Housing Act of 1949, not NCPC. The Commission is simply a planning agency and exceeds its authority when it attempts to enter the policy arena and when it attempts to change decisions previously made by this Council.

We take no position on the Moore proposal because it is not now before us. We are intrigued by the idea but we do not want to see the entire Downtown renewal plan delayed while an idea that might work on one or another site is studied endlessly on every downtown site.

In view of the impasse that has developed on Downtown and in view of Council involvement in the Downtown renewal plan, we call on RLA to transmit the Downtown re-use controls and the NDP 3 Downtown retail core plan directly to the Council for consideration. We can schedule a public hearing and consider the re-use controls and the third year Downtown plan by October 30 if NCPC does not act on the plan at its October meeting. In the meanwhile we have asked RLA to commit funds to conduct a crash feasibility study of the Moore proposal for transmittal to the Council as soon as possible. We would appreciate receiving the planning advice of NCPC at the Council hearing on the Downtown Plan. We will also look forward to hearing from RLA, as to the feasibility of the plan and from Mr. Moore and other interested citizens.

I move the adoption of this report and the attached resolution.

ATTACHMENT



RESOLUTION NO. 71-56

September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WORKABLE PROGRAM FOR COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENT, 1971-1973

Vice-Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the Housing Act of 1949 requires all communities participating
2 in the federal housing and urban renewal programs to prepare and submit every
3 two years a workable program for community improvement for the approval of
4 the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; and

5
6 WHEREAS, the certification of the District of Columbia's Workable Program
7 is a federal requirement as a condition for approval of various federal funds for
8 the city's housing and renewal and related programs; and

9
10 WHEREAS, the current certification of the District of Columbia under the
11 workable program requirement expired on June 30, 1971; and

12
13 WHEREAS, the Council held a public hearing on a draft workable program
14 for the period 1971 to 1973 and referred the draft back to the Office of Housing
15 Programs for revision in light of certain findings and conclusions of the Council
16 as to the inadequacy of the draft program; and

17
18 WHEREAS, the Council has received and reviewed a redrafted workable
19 program submitted by the Office of Housing Programs and finds the redrafted
20 program to be adequate for official submission to the Department of Housing and
21 Urban Development.

22
23 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
24 that:

25 Section 1. The District of Columbia Workable Program for Community
26 Improvement, 1971-1973 be and hereby is approved.

27
28 Section 2. The Housing and Urban Development Committee of the City
29 Council will join with the staff of the executive department responsible for the
30 Workable Program and with interested citizen groups for periodic meetings to
31 evaluate the progress of the District of Columbia in meeting the objectives and
32 goals set forth in the Workable Program.
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-56-----

1 Section 3. The attached report is hereby adopted and incorporated by
2 reference in this resolution.

3
4 Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon enactment.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESOLUTION NO. 71-57



September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION EXTENDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE SOLID WASTE REGULATION NO. 71-21

Mr. Henry K. Willard, II Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council adopted the Solid Waste
2 Regulation 71-21, on June 15, 1971, and readopted the regulation over the veto
3 of the Acting Mayor-Commissioner on June 29, 1971; and
4
5 WHEREAS, Executive Order No. 11615, dated August 15, 1971, imposed a
6 wage and price freeze which may affect some of the provisions of the Regulation;
7 and
8
9 WHEREAS, in addition, the Mayor-Commissioner and private haulers have
10 requested an extension in the effective date or some of the licensing requirements
11 of the Regulation.
12
13 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
14 that:
15
16 Section 1. The provisions of Section 4, [§ 8-3:606(d) and (h)] of Regulation
17 No. 71-21 relating to vehicle standards and the provision of parking facilities,
18 are hereby deferred until January 1, 1972.
19
20 Section 2. The imposition of a license fee for private trash haulers computed
21 at the rate of \$5.00 per ton of solid waste disposal at a District operated or
22 contracted facility, as contained in Section 4, [§ 8-3:606(e)] of the Regulation is
23 hereby deferred until November 15, 1971.
24
25 Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION NO. 71-58



September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION ORDERING THE CLOSING OF PUBLIC ALLEY AND DEDICATION OF LAND FOR PUBLIC ALLEY IN SQUARE 5359, LOCATED IN THE SQUARE BOUNDED BY G STREET, BENNING ROAD, AND HANNA PLACE, S. E.

Councilman Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on August 4, 1970, concerning the
2 proposed closing of public alley and dedication of land for public alley in Square
3 5359, all as shown on a plat on file in the Office of the Surveyor of the District
4 of Columbia (S. O. 69-295); and

5
6 WHEREAS, the owner of Lot 824 in Square 5359 will dedicate land to the
7 District of Columbia for public alley, all as shown on the said plat above re-
8 ferred to; and

9
10 WHEREAS, the Public Space Committee has recommended (1) that title to
11 the land embraced within the proposed closing shall revert to the abutting pro-
12 perty owners WITH COST; (2) that the applicant shall pay to the D. C. Treasurer
13 the sum of \$6,589.69, representing the fair market value of the area to be closed;
14 (3) that the applicant shall deposit with the D. C. Treasurer the sum of \$6,750
15 to cover possible costs to the Department of Highways and Traffic in connection
16 with the proposed closing; and

17
18 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council having considered the pro-
19 posed closing is of the opinion that the said public alley should be closed.

20
21 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
22 that:

23
24 Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 7-401 through 7-410 of
25 the District of Columbia Code and Section 402 (168) of Reorganization Plan No. 3
26 of 1967, the alley area shown on the plat filed in the Office of the Surveyor of
27 the District of Columbia (S. O. 69-295) is hereby ordered closed, provided that
28 this order is subject to the payment of \$13,339.69 to the Treasurer of the District
29 of Columbia.

30
31 Section 2. The District of Columbia does hereby accept the dedication of
32 land as shown on the said plat for public alley.
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			

X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-58-----

2 of 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Section 3. The Surveyor shall cause public notice of the order to be given by advertisement and shall serve a copy of such order to each property owner abutting said alley to be closed, in accordance with provisions of Section 7-404 of the D.C. Code, 1967 ed.

Section 4. If no objection in writing is made by any party interested within thirty (30) days after the service of such order and upon payment of the sum mentioned in Section 1, the Surveyor shall record in his office the said order and appropriate plat or plats.

Section 5. This resolution shall become effective immediately.



RESOLUTION NO. 71-59

September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION CLOSING PUBLIC ALLEYS IN SQUARE 115, BOUNDED BY NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, DUPONT CIRCLE, 19TH STREET, AND SUNDERLAND PLACE, N.W.

Reverend Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the Council of the District of Columbia has received a petition
2 from all the owners of Square 115 requesting the closing of public alleys in said
3 square, as shown on a plat on file in the Office of the Surveyor of the District
4 of Columbia (S.O. 70-26), and have offered to dedicate to the District of
5 Columbia ground for alleyways in an amount equal in area to that of the alleyways
6 sought to be closed, if, in the opinion of the Council of the District of Columbia,
7 such dedication is necessary; and

8
9 WHEREAS, said owners agree that the alley so closed shall revert to the
10 owners of Lots 41-47, 50-56, and 819; and

11
12 WHEREAS, a public hearing and a recommendation of the National Capital
13 Planning Commission are not required under Sections 7-306 and 7-307 of the
14 D.C. Code; and

15
16 WHEREAS, the Council has determined that the dedication of other grounds
17 for alleyways is not necessary; and

18
19 WHEREAS, the Public Space Committee has recommended (1) that title to
20 the land embraced within the proposed closing shall revert to or vest in the
21 Dupont Circle joint venture with COST; (2) that the Dupont Circle joint venture
22 shall pay to the District of Columbia Treasurer the sum of \$241,692.75 repre-
23 senting the fair market value of the areas to be closed; (3) that the Dupont Circle
24 joint venture shall pay into the Highway fund of the District of Columbia the sum
25 of \$5,820 representing the current depreciated economic value of improvements
26 within the areas to be closed; and (4) that the Dupont Circle joint venture shall
27 deposit with the D.C. Treasurer the sum of \$2,150, to cover possible costs to the
28 District in connection with the alley closings.

29
30 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

31
32 Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 7-307 and 7-306 of the
33 District of Columbia Code, 1967 edition, and Section 402 (164) of Reorganization

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-59

2 of 2

1 Plan No. 3 of 1967, the alley areas shown on the plat filed in the Office of the
2 Surveyor of the District of Columbia (S.O. 70-26) are hereby ordered closed,
3 provided said closing shall become effective upon payment of the sum of \$249,
4 662.75 to the District of Columbia.

5
6 Section 2. A copy of this order shall be furnished to the Surveyor of the
7 District of Columbia and to the Recorder of deeds for record.

8
9 Section 3. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



RESOLUTION NO. 71-60

September 21, 1971

Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION OPENING AND CLOSING OF PUBLIC ALLEY IN SQUARE 105, LOCATED AT 19TH STREET AND I STREET, N.W.

Reverend Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the Council of the District of Columbia has received from the
 2 owner of Lot 13 in Square 105, a dedication of land for alley purposes, as
 3 indicated on the plat designated "Opening and Closing of Public Alley in Square
 4 105," prepared in the Office of the Surveyor, D. C.; and

5
 6 WHEREAS, said Council has also received a petition from the owners of
 7 Lots 13, 829, 809, 810 and 832 in Square 105 abutting on the alley proposed to
 8 be closed as shown on said plat, requesting in said petition that the alley area
 9 be closed; and

10
 11 WHEREAS, a public hearing and a recommendation of the National Capital
 12 Planning Commission are not required under Section 7-303 of the D. C. Code; and

13
 14 WHEREAS, favorable reports have been received from the various departments
 15 concerned; and

16
 17 WHEREAS, the area of the land being dedicated to the District is greater than
 18 the area of the alley being closed and no payment is required.

19 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

20
 21 Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 7-303 of the Code of Law
 22 for the District of Columbia, and Section 402 (161) of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of
 23 1967, the alley area shown on the plat filed in the Office of the Surveyor of the
 24 District of Columbia (S.O. 68-288) is hereby ordered closed.

25
 26 Section 2. The District of Columbia does hereby accept the dedication of
 27 land, referred to above and shown on said plat, for alley purposes.

28
 29 Section 3. A copy of this order shall be furnished to the Surveyor of the
 30 District of Columbia and to the Recorder of Deeds for record.

31
 32 Section 4. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.
 33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Roberts
 Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-61

September 21, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE EXTENDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF REGULATION 71-18 CONCERNING CONSUMER RETAIL CREDIT

Vice-Chairman Sterling Tucker Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council adopted Regulation No. 71-18
2 on June 1, 1971, and said regulation was approved by the Mayor-Commissioner
3 on June 11, 1971; and

4 WHEREAS, the Council has been requested by the Mayor-Commissioner to
5 extend the effective date of this regulation due to budgetary restraints and the
6 President's freeze on government employment; and

7
8
9 WHEREAS, the Council believes that an extension of the effective date in
10 the regulation is made necessary by the budgetary restraints and the hiring freeze
11 and that the extension should later result in more effective enforcement of this
12 regulation when special funds will be made available for an enforcement staff.

13
14 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
15 that:

16
17 Section 1. The effective date as fixed for the Consumer Retail Credit
18 Regulation of September 9, 1971, is hereby extended to November 15, 1971. This
19 extension does not affect the other provision of the Effective Date Section (§ 7.103)
20 relating to the compliance with the disclosure provision by attaching an addenda
21 to the existing contract. That provision will remain effective until December 31,
22 1971, as therein provided.

23
24 Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon enactment.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN				X	HAYWOOD				X	VEAZEY				X
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David Schwartz
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-62

October 5, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION EXTENDING GREETINGS TO DOCTOR ELIAS YOHAI-OVADIA

Councilman Henry S. Robinson, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, Doctor Elias Yohai-Ovadia, of Barranquilla, Colombia, is visit-
2 ing our City; and
3
4 WHEREAS, Doctor Yohai-Ovadia is a distinguished physician and represen-
5 tative of the City Council of Barranquilla; and
6
7 WHEREAS, the Council wishes to welcome Doctor Yohai-Ovadia to the City
8 of Washington and to extend greetings to the City Council of Barranquilla;
9
10 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
11 that:
12
13 Section 1. The Council hereby welcomes Doctor Elias Yohai-Ovadia to the
14 City of Washington and extends its best wishes to him for a successful visit in
15 this country.
16
17 Section 2. The Council hereby extends its greetings and best wishes to
18 the members of the City Council of Barranquilla, Colombia.
19
20 Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD				X
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

 Secretary of the City Council

RESOLUTION 71-63

2 of 2

b) The maximum rates to be charged for outpatient clinic and emergency room services at the District of Columbia General Hospital are hereby established as follows:

Outpatient Clinic	\$14.25
Emergency Room	18.75

These rates to remain in effect until such time as it is practicable to establish new rates after the close of the fiscal year 1972; provided, however, that in view of the reduction in cost to the District of Columbia Government in affording hospital and medical care to dependents of military personnel coming within the provisions of Public Law 85-861, approved September 2, 1958 (72 Stat. 1448); the maximum rates for such dependents may be lesser amounts as may be determined by the District of Columbia Council to be reasonable in consideration of the reduced cost to the District of Columbia Government in affording such care.

This resolution shall become effective on November 15, 1971.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



RESOLUTION NO. 71-63

October 5, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION ORDERING A REVISION IN RATES FOR PAY PATIENTS AT THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GENERAL HOSPITAL

DR. HENRY S. ROBINSON, JR. Presents the following Resolution:

1 WHEREAS, Section 32-308, 309, 322, and 326, D. C. Code, authorizes
2 the admission of pay-patients to the Gallinger Municipal Hospital (now D. C.
3 General Hospital) for care and treatment at such rates and under such regulations
4 as may be established by the Commissioners of the District of Columbia.

5
6 WHEREAS, Titles XVIII and XIX of the Social Security Amendment of 1965
7 and 1967 provide for the reimbursement of the costs of certain services to patients
8 who are subscribers to Medicare and Federal Grants to States for Medical
9 Assistance Programs to certain persons who are indigent or medically indigent.

10
11 WHEREAS, Section 402, Subdivisions (247), (248), (251) and (252) of
12 Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967 transfer the function of establishing rates and
13 regulations for the admission of pay-patients to the Gallinger Municipal Hospital
14 (now D. C. General Hospital) under Sections 32-308, 309, 322 and 326, D. C.
15 Code to the District of Columbia Council.

16
17 WHEREAS, the Office of Municipal Audits has reviewed the records and
18 procedures of the Department of Human Resources in computing current rates for
19 pay-patients receiving care and treatment at the District of Columbia General
20 Hospital and recommends that the revised rates be established.

21
22 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council
23 that:

24
25 a) The maximum daily rates to be charged for inpatient services at the
26 District of Columbia General Hospital are hereby established as follows:

27					
28	Medicine		\$111.00		
29	Surgery		118.00		
30	Pediatrics		107.00		
31	Obstetrics		143.00		
32	Crippled Children		66.00		
33	Gynecology		58.00		

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD				X
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL	X			
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David A. Acler
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-64

October 19, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution
of the
District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION HONORING WILLIAM H. PRESS

Mr. Henry K. Willard, II Presents the following Resolution:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

WHEREAS, William H. Press, for 35 years Executive Vice-President of the Metropolitan Washington Board of Trade has retired; and

WHEREAS, William H. Press has ably represented the National Capital business community before the District of Columbia Government and both Houses of Congress; and during his tenure his aid in developing our city to its status as a World Capital has been immeasurable; and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council wishes to express its appreciation for William H. Press' career of service.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. The Council hereby expresses its appreciation for the years of devoted service rendered by William H. Press to this City and its business community.

Section 2. The Council hereby extends to William H. Press its best wishes for many more years of health, happiness and energetic service.

Section 3. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David H. Schwach
Secretary of the City Council



RESOLUTION NO. 71-65

October 19, 1971
Date Adopted

Resolution

of the

District of Columbia City Council

TITLE RESOLUTION ORDERING THE CLOSING OF PUBLIC ALLEYS IN SQUARE 4509, BOUNDED BY 15TH STREET, BENNING ROAD, AND 16TH STREET, N.E.

Reverend Jerry A. Moore, Jr. Presents the following Resolution:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on September 3, 1971, concerning the proposed closing of public alleys in Square 4509, as shown on a plat on file in the Office of the Surveyor of the District of Columbia (S.O. 71-49); and

WHEREAS, the District of Columbia Council having considered the proposed closing is of the opinion that said alleys should be closed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the District of Columbia Council that:

Section 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Sections 7-401 through 7-410 of the District of Columbia Code and Section 402 (168) of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1967, the alley areas as shown on the plat filed in the Office of the Surveyor of the District of Columbia (S.O. 71-49) are hereby ordered closed.

Section 2. The Surveyor shall cause public notice of the order to be given by advertisement and shall serve a copy of such order to each property owner abutting the said alleys to be closed in accordance with the provisions of Section 7-404 of the D.C. Code, 1967 ed.

Section 3. If no objection in writing is made by any party interested within thirty (30) days after the service of such order, the Surveyor shall record in his office the said order and appropriate plat or plats.

Section 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE														
COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.	COUNCILMAN	AYE	NAY	N.V.	A.B.
HAHN	X				HAYWOOD	X				VEAZEY	X			
TUCKER	X				MOORE	X				WILLARD	X			
ANDERSON	X				ROBINSON	X				YELDELL				X
X—Indicates Vote A. B.—Absent N. V.—Not Voting														

I hereby certify that this resolution is true and adopted as stated therein.

David N. Belmont
Secretary of the City Council