1	
2 3	Chairman Mendelson at the request of the Attorney General
4	
5	A DRODOGED DEGOLUTION
6 7	A PROPOSED RESOLUTION
8	
9	
10 11	IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
12	
13	
14	To declare the existence of an emergency with respect to the need to amend the Anti-SLAPP Act
15	of 2010 to prevent its misuse by private entities seeking to frustrate actions brought by the District.
16 17	the District.
18	RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this
19	resolution may be cited as the "Anti-SLAPP Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2021".
20	Sec. 2. (a) The Anti-SLAPP Act of 2010, effective March 31, 2011 (D.C. Law 18-351;
21	D.C. Official Code § 16-5501 et seq.) ("Anti-SLAPP Act"), was enacted to prevent persons from
22	filing frivolous lawsuits to discourage them from participating in public debate or petitioning the
23	government. The Anti-SLAPP Act allows defendants in such suits to file special motions to
24	dismiss that stay fact discovery proceedings while the motion is pending, and requires that the
25	special motions to dismiss be heard on an expedited basis. See Report of the Committee on
26	Public Safety and the Judiciary on Bill 18-893, the Anti-SLAPP Act of 2010, at 1-4 (Council of
27	the District of Columbia November 18, 2010).
28	(b) In passing the Anti-SLAPP Act, the Council did not intend for it to be used against
29	actions brought by the District. The Fiscal Impact Statement of the Chief Financial Officer stated
30	that "enactment of the proposed legislation would not have an impact on the District's budget
31	and financial plan as it involves private parties and not the District government." See
32	Memorandum from Natwar M. Gandhi, Chief Financial Officer, to the Honorable Vincent C.

- Gray, Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, Fiscal Impact Statement "Anti-SLAPP
 Act of 2010," at 2 (Nov. 16, 2010).
- 35 (c) Recently, defendants that have been the subject of lawsuits by the Attorney General
 36 have indicated that they plan to use the Anti-SLAPP Act to frustrate and delay actions brought
 37 on behalf of the District. This frivolous and malicious use of the Anti-SLAPP Act is only
 38 expected to increase as these matters continue to gain publicity.
 - (d) An amendment to the Anti-SLAPP Act is necessary to clarify the Council's original intent in the Anti-SLAPP Act, and prevent significant delays in cases of serious public import brought by the District.
 - Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the Anti-SLAPP Emergency Amendment Act of 2021 be adopted after a single reading.
- Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

39

40

41

42

43

44