



**COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**OFFICE OF COUNCILMEMBER BROOKE PINTO**  
**THE JOHN A. WILSON BUILDING**  
**1350 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 106**  
**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004**

December 19, 2025

Lindsey Appiah, Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice  
Office of the Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice  
1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 533  
Washington, DC 20004

**Via Email**

Dear Deputy Mayor Appiah:

Please find enclosed preliminary performance oversight questions from the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety ahead of your agency's annual performance oversight hearing. **Please provide responses to these questions no later than Tuesday, February 3, 2026.** If you have any questions or concerns about these questions or your ability to meet this deadline, please contact Linn Groft, Committee and Legislative Director, at [lgroft@dccouncil.gov](mailto:lgroft@dccouncil.gov).

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "BE PINTO".

Brooke Pinto  
Councilmember, Ward 2  
Chairwoman, Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety  
Council of the District of Columbia

cc: Office of Policy and Legislative Affairs

**GOVERNANCE AND PERSONNEL**

1. Please provide a complete and current organizational chart for the agency and each division and subdivision within the agency, including:

a. The names and titles of all senior personnel;

**For the Office of the Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice (DMPSJ) table of organization, see Attachment 1.**

b. A description of the roles and responsibilities for each division and subdivision;

**Data Management: Responsible for data management and analysis associated with DMPSJ's delivery of its programs; provides analysis and collection of select data derived within DMPSJ cluster agencies; and reports cluster's performance metrics to the Office of City Administrator (OCA).**

**Cluster and Agency Management: Responsible for crafting policy, legislation, and procedures for DMPSJ's delivery of programmatic services as well as supporting cluster agencies in the day-to-day delivery of meeting their missions.**

**Strategic Initiatives: Responsible for the programmatic delivery of Safe Passage, Safe Blocks, the District's multiagency public safety taskforce and multiagency nightlife taskforces, activation of Go Teams, Peace Walks, and other public safety initiatives as needed.**

**Communications: Responsible for disseminating public information to residents, visitors, and businesses of the District of Columbia. Responds to media inquiries and works with fellow District public information officers during planned and unplanned incidents/events triggering the activation of the District's Joint Information Center. Manages DMPSJ's website and social media accounts.**

**Youth Response Team: Responsible for promoting positive outcomes for youth by fostering relationships with caring adults, engaging youth in experiences that promote growth and personal learning, and creating environments where youth feel safe and cared for.**

c. A narrative explanation of any changes to the organizational chart made in the last calendar year; and

N/A.

d. An indication of whether any positions in the chart are vacant.

**The following positions are currently vacant: Civic Design Researcher, Data Scientist, Performance and Data Analyst, Program Advisor, Legislative Analyst, and one YRT Specialist.**

2. Please provide a complete, up-to-date list of contract workers working directly for your agency, ordered by program and activity, and including the following information for each position:
  - a. Title of position;
  - b. Indication that the position is filled or vacant;
  - c. Date employee began in the position;
  - d. Whether the position must be filled to comply with federal or local law;
  - e. If applicable, the federal or local law that requires the position be filled;
  - f. The entity from which they are contracted; and
  - g. The contracted annual cost.

**DMPSJ did not have contract workers in FY 2025 or through FY 2026 to date.**

3. Please provide, for each month of FY 2025 through FY 2026 to date, the net number of personnel separated from and hired to the agency.

**In FY 2025 and through FY 2026, there was one staff departure from DMPSJ. The legislative analyst transitioned to a role within the Executive Office of the Mayor's Office of Policy and Legislative Affairs. In FY 2025 and through FY 2026, DMPSJ hired one grants management specialist.**

4. Does the agency conduct annual performance evaluations of all its employees? If so, who conducts such evaluations? What steps are taken to ensure that all office employees are meeting individual job requirements?

**DMPSJ conducts annual performance evaluations of all its employees as directed by the District's Personnel Manual. In FY 2025, the DMPSJ employee evaluations were conducted by the Deputy Mayor, Chief of Staff, and a Program Manager. All DMPSJ employees had SMART goals for FY 2025 and FY 2026. Employees meet at least monthly with their immediate supervisor to review the status of their SMART goals and individual development goals.**

5. Please provide the following for each collective bargaining agreement that is currently in effect for agency employees:
  - a. The bargaining unit (name and local number);
  - b. The start and end date of each agreement;
  - c. The number of employees covered;
  - d. Whether the agency is currently bargaining;

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)

DMPSJ

- e. If currently bargaining, anticipated completion date;
- f. For each agreement, the union leader's name title and contact information; and
- g. A copy of the ratified collective bargaining agreement.

**DMPSJ does not have any employees within a labor union bargaining unit.**

6. Please list all employees currently detailed to or from your agency. For each detailed employee, include:
  - a. The reason for the detail;
  - b. The job duties if detailed to your agency;
  - c. The start date of detail;
  - d. The agency the employee is detailed to/from; and
  - e. The projected date of return.

**Musa Mahdi, a social services officer, has been detailed to the DMPSJ since January 2025.**

- **Support DMPSJ in the development and implementation of a comprehensive youth crime prevention strategy.**
- **Detail started on January 27, 2025;**
- **Detailed from the Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services (DYRS); and**
- **There is no current projected date of return.**

7. Please provide a copy of your agency's Schedule A, as of the date of receipt of this questionnaire.

**DMPSJ Schedule A, see Attachment 2.**

8. Please provide a list and description of all memorandums of understanding and memorandums of agreement in effect during FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

**In FY 2025, DMPSJ had four MOUs. Details below:**

**1. DMPJ and OVSJG MOU:**

**Amount: \$10,100,000**

**Background: Delivery of grant funding for the FY25 Safe Passage program.**

**2. DMPSJ and DYRS MOU:**

**Amount: \$100,000**

**Background: Delivery of hosting community events aimed at reducing violent crime, with an emphasis on reducing gun violence.**

**3. DMPSJ and ONSE MOU:**

**Amount: \$15,000**

DMPSJ

**Background: Facilitate criminal background and security clearance checks for individuals seeking employment in the District’s Safe Passage program.**

**4. DMSPJ and OVSJG MOU:**

**Amount: \$40,000**

**Background: Host community programming aimed at supporting community-based healing and reducing violent crime and gun violence in communities most impacted through a partnership between government agencies and community stakeholders.**

**FY 2026**

**DMPSJ has no MOUs/MOAs to date.**

**FINANCE AND BUDGET**

9. Please provide a status report, including timeframe of completion, for all projects for which your agency currently has capital funds available.

N/A.

10. Please provide copies of all budget enhancement requests (The Form B or similar form) submitted in the formulation of the FY 2025 and FY 2026 proposed budgets.

**This request asks for information that is protected from disclosure by the deliberative process and executive privileges.**

11. Please list all budget enhancements in FY 2026 and provide a status report on the implementation of each enhancement.

<b>FY 2026 Enhancement</b>	<b>Status</b>
<b>One-time increase of \$750,000 to support the Safe and Secure DC Nonprofit Fund grants.</b>	<b>Grants for the FY26 Safe and Secure DC Nonprofit Fund were awarded; DMPSJ provides administration support to grantees.</b>
<b>One-time increase of \$100,000 to support the creation of Unified Juvenile Justice System Plan.</b>	<b>In progress.</b>

12. Please fill out the attached spreadsheet titled “Question 12 Grants Received,” and list all federal and/or private grants received by your agency in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, current balances, and indicate any that lapsed during or at the end of FY 2025.
- a. Please submit the completed document in both Excel and PDF formats.
  - b. Please include your Agency Code in the filename (e.g., question\_12\_AB0\_2026.xls).

**DMPSJ did not receive grants in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date.**

13. List all grants issued by your agency in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date in the attachment labeled “Question 13 Grants Issued”.
  - a. Please submit the completed document in both Excel and PDF formats.
  - b. Please include your Agency Code in the filename (e.g., Question\_13\_AB0\_2026.xls).

**Grants Issued by DMPSJ, see Attachment 3.**

## OPERATIONS

14. Please provide the Committee with a list of all vehicles owned or leased by the agency; the purpose of the vehicle; the division the vehicle is assigned to, if applicable; and whether the vehicle is assigned to an individual employee.

**In FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, DMPSJ has one van, a 2019 Grand Caravan. The van, which is owned by DMPSJ, is not assigned to an individual employee, instead it is available to DMPSJ outreach employees to conduct a variety of work in the community supported by DMPSJ (e.g., Go Teams, Multiagency Public Safety Taskforce, Safe Passage, etc.).**

15. For each objective and activity in the agency’s FY 2025 Performance Plan, please list:
  - a. The measure of greatest improvement for the agency, and the actions the agency took to improve that measure’s outcome, efficiency or quantity; and

**Objective 4.1: Foster collaboration and coordination among District agencies, federal agencies, neighboring jurisdictions, and private sector partners to achieve District goals.**

**Measure: Number of community meetings attended (FY25 community meetings attended by DMPSJ was 268; FY24 community meetings attended by DMPSJ was 214).**

**This measure was a marked highlight this year. DMPSJ accomplished this through routine and regular meetings with community partners, especially in Q1.**

- b. For all measures with missed targets (if any), explain the actions the agency is taking to improve that measure’s outcome, efficiency, or quantity.

**Objective 4.2: Provide direction, guidance, and oversight of public safety agencies to enhance safety and reduce crime in the District.**

**Measure: Percent of cluster agencies that “Met” or “Almost Met” 75 percent of fiscal year KPIs.**

**Measure: Percent of cluster agencies that submit quarterly updates in Quickbase on time**

**DMPSJ missed targets on these measures. However, DMPSJ continues to get closer to targets (FY25 numbers are better than FY24 numbers). These improvements can be attributed to better communication and engagement with cluster agencies.**

**Objective 4.3: Efficient, Transparent, and Responsive Government.**

**Measure: Percent of FOIA requests processed without an extension**

**DMPSJ missed its target on this measure. There was a higher quantity of FOIA requests submitted this year which made meeting requests without an extension challenging. DMPSJ expects to meet this metric next year.**

**Objective 5.1: Oversight of the Safe Passage Program**

**Measure: Number of Safe Passage partner locations visited per quarter to monitor operations for program compliance and create Site Visit Reports**

**Staffing levels needed to meet this goal were impacted by unplanned employee leave and DMPSJ expects to reach this goal next year.**

**Objective 5.11: Oversight of public safety agencies operations**

**Measure: Number of public safety and justice cluster meetings**

**There were a significant number of National Special Security Events (NSSEs) and high-profile events (e.g., aircraft collision, Capital Jewish Museum shooting, and World Pride Festival) throughout FY25 which made monthly cluster meetings challenging. This work was covered during Deputy Mayor Appiah’s monthly meetings with PSJ agency directors.**

16. List all new objectives, activities and projects in the agency’s FY 2026 Performance Plan and explain why they were added.

**New Project: 5.4 District Violence Interruption Initiatives**

**Proposed Completion Date: September 30, 2026**

**DMPSJ will work with the Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement (ONSE) and Office of the Attorney General (OAG) to place violence interruption initiatives under ONSE’s administration, with the District adopting and implementing one nationally recognized, evidence-based violence interruption model. This project was added to meet the needs of ONSE/CURE merger.**

17. Describe problems and challenges, including chronic maintenance issues and design flaws, in agency-owned or leased facilities.
- a. What capital or operating projects arose from these issues in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, including cost and actions taken?

**Not applicable to DMPSJ.**

18. Please list each new initiative implemented by the agency during FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date. For each new initiative, please provide:
- a. A description of the initiative;
  - b. Actual start date;
  - c. Actual or anticipated end date;
  - d. The funding required to implement the initiative;
  - e. Whether the initiative was mandated by legislative action;
  - f. Problems or challenges faced in the program's implementation;
  - g. The metrics the agency is collecting to measure the initiative's success; and
  - h. An assessment of the initiative's success thus far.

**Establishment of standard operating procedures and policies for DMPSJ programs**  
**Description: DMPSJ will develop standard operating procedures along with policies for its programs to establish uniformity for implementation and evaluation.**

**Start Date: 10/1/24**

**Anticipated End Date: 9/30/26**

**Funding required: No additional funding required.**

**Mandated: No.**

**Problems/Challenges: None.**

**Metrics: Updates provided in quarterly performance reports.**

**Assessment: Drafted grant manual updated. Safe Passage SOP updated.**

**Youth Response Team**

**Description: The Youth Response Team will relentlessly engage with client youth to encourage positive behavior and discourage negative behavior. During the first year of program implementation (FY26), DMPSJ will focus on youth assessments, create and monitor individualized youth success plans, and build team infrastructure. The second year of this program (FY27) will focus on continuous improvement of project implementation.**

**Start Date: 10/1/24**

**Anticipated End Date: 9/30/27**

**Funding required: Funding required is for personnel (10 FTEs) and programmatic support.**

**Mandated: No.**

**Problems/Challenges: Youth recruitment and sustained engagement with assigned outreach specialist.**

**Metrics: Updates provided in quarterly performance reports.**

**Assessment: Program is proceeding as planned.**

**District Violence Interruption Initiatives**

**Description:** DMPSJ will work with the ONSE and OAG to place violence interruption initiatives under ONSE's administration, with the District adopting and implementing one nationally recognized, evidence-based violence interruption model.

**Start Date:** 10/1/25

**Anticipated End Date:** 9/30/26

**Funding required:** No funding required at DMPSJ; funding required at ONSE to support violence interruption services.

**Mandated:** No

**Problems/Challenges:** None

**Metrics:** Updates provided in quarterly performance reports.

**Assessment:** Program is proceeding as planned.

19. Please list any legislation that impacts your agency from FY 2025 and FY 2026 and provide a status report on the agency's implementation related to each piece of legislation.

**In FY 2025, the Executive supported the Juvenile Curfew Amendment Act of 2025. DMPSJ continues to work with Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) to address crime trends as they occur. The Executive also supported the Pretrial Detention Act of 2025. DMPSJ works closely with the Department of Corrections (DOC) regarding the impacts on their secure population. In December 2024, Council passed the Recidivism, Reduction, Oversight, and Accountability for DYRS (ROAD) Act of 2024 which has two provisions impacting DMPSJ: (1) requires Mayor to submit to the Council a plan to create a unified juvenile justice system in the District of Columbia by no later than one year after the applicability date of that provision and (2) requires the Mayor to submit a report to the Council evaluating the feasibility of constructing and operating a Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility by no later than 2 years after the effective date of this act.**

**For FY 2026, DMPSJ structured the Safe Passage training schedule to comply with the Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025. DMPSJ is on track to meet the legislative training requirements.**

20. Customer feedback

- a. How does the agency solicit feedback from customers (i.e., District residents served)? Please describe.
- b. What has the agency learned from this feedback?
- c. How has the agency changed its practices because of such feedback?

**DMPSJ solicits feedback from residents in several ways including engagement with followers on the Office's social media platforms, an email address available on the DMPSJ website for resident/stakeholder communication, attending community meetings, and participation in Mayoral events across the District (e.g., press**

**conferences, community meetings, etc.). DMPSJ also maintains a website for Safe Passage, [safepassage.dc.gov](https://safepassage.dc.gov), which includes interactive maps and an email address for residents to contact program staff.**

#### **LAWS, AUDITS, AND REPORTS**

21. Please identify any legislative modifications that would enable the agency to better meet its mission.

**When modifications are needed, the Executive introduces needed legislation and legislative modifications on DMPSJ's behalf.**

22. Please identify any regulatory impediments to your agency's operations.

**No regulatory impediments are impacting DMPSJ's operations.**

23. Please list and describe any ongoing investigations, audits, or reports on your agency or any employee of your agency, or any investigations, studies, audits, or reports on your agency or any employee of your agency that were completed during FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**To DMPSJ's knowledge, there are no ongoing investigations, audits, or reports on DMPSJ or any of its employees in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date.**

24. Please identify and provide an update on what actions have been taken to address all recommendations made during the previous three years by:

- a. Office of the Inspector General;
- b. D.C. Auditor;
- c. Internal audit; and
- d. Any other federal or local oversight entities.

**Office of Inspector General: N/A.**

**D.C. Auditor: N/A.**

**Internal audit: Does not apply to DMPSJ.**

**Any other federal or local oversight entities: N/A.**

25. Please list all pending lawsuits in which the agency, or its officers or employees acting in their official capacities, are named as defendants, and for each case provide the following:

- a. The case name;
- b. Court where the suit was filed;
- c. Case docket number;

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)

DMPSJ

- d. Case status; and
- e. A brief description of the case.

**Not applicable to DMPSJ.**

26. Please list the total amount of money the agency or the District, on behalf of the agency, expended to settle claims against it, or its officers or employees acting in their official capacities, in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**Not applicable to DMPSJ.**

27. Please list each settlement the agency or the District, on behalf of the agency, entered into in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date that involved claims against the agency, or its officers or employees in their official capacity, including any settlements covered by D.C. Code § 2-402(a)(3). For each settlement, provide:

- a. The amount of the settlement;
- b. If related to litigation, the case name and brief description; and
- c. If unrelated to litigation, please describe the underlying issue or reason for the settlement (e.g. administrative complaint, etc.).

**In FY 2025 or to date in FY 2026, DMPSJ has not entered a settlement that involved claims against the Office, or its officers or employees in their official capacity.**

28. Please list all administrative complaints or grievances that the agency received in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date. For each complaint, list:

- a. The source of complaint;
- b. The process utilized to respond to the complaint or grievance;
- c. Any changes to agency policies or procedures that resulted from the complaint or grievance; and
- d. If resolved describe the resolution.

**In FY 2025 or to date in FY 2026, DMPSJ did not receive any administrative complaints of grievances.**

29. Is the agency currently party to any active non-disclosure agreements? If so, please provide all allowable information on all such agreements, including:

- a. The number of agreements;
- b. The department(s) within the agency associated with each agreement; and
- c. Whether any agreements are required for specific positions (please list each position by division and program and indicate whether the position is contracted).

**DMPSJ is not currently a party to any active non-disclosure agreements.**

## DATA

30. In filterable and sortable spreadsheet, please list all electronic databases maintained by your agency, including the following:
- A detailed description of the information tracked within each system;
  - The age of the system and any discussion of substantial upgrades that have been made or are planned to the system; and
  - Whether the public can be granted access to all or part of each system.

**DMPSJ Electronic Database, see Attachment 4.**

31. Please provide a list of all studies, research papers, and analyses (“studies”) the agency or an agency’s employee requested, prepared, presented or contracted for during FY 2025. For each study please list:
- The status;
  - The purpose; and
  - A link (if published) to the study, research paper or analysis.

**DMPSJ has not authored studies, research papers, or analyses during FY 2025 or FY 2026 to date.**

## AGENCY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

32. Please provide an update on any work DMPSJ has done in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, to improve coordination between agencies under its purview concerning efforts aimed at reducing violent crime?

**In FY 2025, DMPSJ continued to support the public safety and justice ecosystem by ensuring the cluster agencies had resources and technology necessary to reduce violent crime. The District had a strong 2025 as it pertains to reducing violent crime, on the heels of a strong 2024. In 2025, violent crime decreased by 28%, including a 32% reduction in homicides. The District’s homicide closure rate increased to 85%, the highest closure rate in 13 years; carjackings were down 50%, and traffic fatalities were down 52%. In 2026 year to date (as of 2/3/26), the District has recorded three homicides, and violent crime is down 38%; total crime for 2026 is down 30%.**

**Examples of DMPSJ improving coordination aimed at reducing violent crime:**

- Deputy Mayor Appiah continues to serve as the Chair Pro Tempore of the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC). This responsibility includes leading a monthly meeting attended by District and federal government principles responsible for the leading agencies which are part of the District’s public safety and justice ecosystem.**

- **Deputy Mayor Appiah serves as the designated lead for the Safe and Beautiful Emergency Operations Center managing requests from federal partners and coordinating activities with the federal task force.**
- **DMPSJ coordinates the District’s Juvenile Incident Protocol, which facilitates timely information sharing and cross-agency coordination between DMPSJ, DYRS, ONSE, Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR), Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) and DC Public Schools (DCPS) when a serious incident involving a youth occurs, including a homicide or shooting involving an individual under the age of 18. Only relevant partner agencies are convened on an as-needed basis to ensure an appropriate, streamlined response focused on student safety, trauma-informed supports, and coordinated service delivery.**
- **DMPSJ leads the weekly shooting reviews attended by MPD, ONSE, Cure the Streets, DYRS, District Superior Court’s Court Social Services Division (CSSD), Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency (CSOSA), DOC, and the Pretrial Services Agency (PSA). This effort is focused on information sharing to identify the causes of a person(s) being shot in the District and interventions necessary to reduce retaliation. In calendar year 2025, the District experienced a 35 percent reduction year-over-year in gunshot victims.**
- **DMPSJ continues oversight and coordination of the Multiagency Public Safety Taskforce and Multiagency Nightlife Taskforce. The Multiagency Public Safety Taskforce works in alignment with MPD’s Operation THRIVE (Targeted Homicide Reduction through Intervention and Violence Elimination). In 2025, THRIVE served the Anacostia, Buena Vista, Rosedale, and Washington Highlands neighborhoods. More than a dozen District agencies, along with federal partners, joined together on Wednesday and Thursday afternoons to address a host of concerns from illegal dumping to abandoned vehicles and more. Overall, in these four neighborhoods, violent crime dropped 37% percent over 2024. Below is data from MPD which highlights the overall success of the initiative:**

**THRIVE-to-Date Summary of Crimes Reported**

Summary of Crime Statistics, Jan 1, 2025 to Dec 31, 2025 11:59:59 PM

	Rosedale		Anacostia		Buena Vista		Washington Highlands		Total		
	2024	2025	2024	2025	2024	2025	2024	2025	2024	2025	% Diff
Homicide	4	5	3	1	6	0	4	3	17	9	-47%
Sex Abuse	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3	5	4	-20%
ADW	25	17	20	9	18	3	25	17	88	46	-48%
Robbery	15	14	15	13	11	8	9	7	50	42	-16%
<b>Total VIOLENT Crime</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>-37%</b>
Burglary	12	6	8	6	4	6	12	14	36	32	-11%
Motor Vehicle Theft	38	15	22	27	24	12	36	34	120	88	-27%
Theft from Auto	30	14	24	6	14	7	17	15	85	42	-51%
Theft	149	102	34	39	29	20	37	40	249	201	-19%
<b>Total PROPERTY Crime</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>490</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>-26%</b>
<b>Total Crime</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>-29%</b>

- **The Multiagency Nightlife Taskforce brings a whole-of-government approach to address identified violent crime, quality of life, nuisance activities, and regulatory concerns that were occurring in three identified nightlife areas: U Street NW, H Street NE, and the Connecticut Avenue NW corridors. During calendar year 2025, H Street had an approximately 14 percent reduction in violent crime, U Street experienced an approximately 38 percent reduction in violent crime, and Connecticut Avenue experienced an approximately 18 percent reduction in violent crime during the nightlife hours over prior year.**
- **In FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, DMPSJ staff are participating or participated in the following committees associated with improving public safety and justice: DC School Connect Working Group, Juvenile Justice Advisory Group Meeting, DC Public Safety Partnership Site Partners Call, Shooting Review, Clean and Safe Chinatown Taskforce, Age Friendly DC Taskforce, 311 Working Group, H Street NE Corridor Stakeholders Meeting, Taskforce GunStat, Grants Management Advisory Council, SY 24-25 EveryDay Counts! Steering Committee, Students in the Care of DC: Expand District Operated Placement Working Group, Crossover Youth Practice Model Workgroups (Information Sharing Workgroup and Protocol Workgroup), Community Risk Reduction Multi-Agency Workgroup, Youth Continuum of Care Planning Council, the VI Advisory Team, and Multi-Agency Restorative Practices Group.**

33. Please provide an update on DMPSJ’s work in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date to implement the recommendations from the Gun Violence Strategic Reduction Plan commissioned by the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council in 2022.

**In FY25, through coordinated and comprehensive whole of government efforts, the District experienced a 43% reduction in gun crime as compared to 2024. To continue to build upon those hard-fought reductions, DMPSJ continues to work closely with ONSE to refine the District’s coordinated response to gun violence reduction through the identification and implementation of an evidence-based intervention model, data analysis of current gun violence trends, and integration of ONSE Violence Interrupters and CURE Violence Interrupters into a single coordinated, cohesive structure.**

**Additionally, as previously discussed, DMPSJ leads the weekly shooting reviews attended by MPD, ONSE, Cure the Streets, DYRS, CSSD, CSOSA, DOC, and PSA.**

34. Please provide the date of each “Coordination Meeting/Intervention Services Shooting Review” meeting held in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

	Calendar Year 2024	Calendar Year 2025	Calendar Year 2026
January		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1/8/25</li> <li>• 1/15/25</li> <li>• 1/22/25</li> <li>• 1/29/25</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1/7/26</li> <li>• 1/14/26</li> <li>• 1/21/26</li> <li>• 1/28/26</li> </ul>
February		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2/5/25</li> <li>• 2/9/25</li> <li>• 2/19/25</li> <li>• 2/26/25</li> </ul>	
March		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3/3/25</li> <li>• 3/12/25</li> <li>• 3/19/25</li> <li>• 3/26/25</li> </ul>	
April		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 4/2/25</li> <li>• 4/9/25</li> <li>• 4/15/25</li> <li>• 4/23/25</li> <li>• 4/30/25</li> </ul>	
May		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5/7/25</li> <li>• 5/14/25</li> <li>• 5/21/25</li> <li>• 5/28/25</li> </ul>	
June		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6/4/25</li> <li>• 6/11/25</li> <li>• 6/18/25</li> <li>• 6/25/25</li> </ul>	
July		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7/2/25</li> <li>• 7/9/25</li> </ul>	

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 7/16/25</li> <li>• 7/23/25</li> <li>• 7/30/25</li> </ul>	
August		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 8/6/25</li> <li>• 8/13/25</li> <li>• 8/20/25</li> <li>• 8/27/25</li> </ul>	
September		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9/3/25</li> <li>• 9/10/25</li> <li>• 9/17/25</li> <li>• 9/24/25</li> </ul>	
October	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10/2/24</li> <li>• 10/9/24</li> <li>• 10/16/24</li> <li>• 10/23/24</li> <li>• 10/30/24</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10/1/25</li> <li>• 10/8/25</li> <li>• 10/15/25</li> <li>• 10/22/25</li> <li>• 10/29/25</li> </ul>	
November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 11/6/24</li> <li>• 11/13/24</li> <li>• 11/20/24</li> <li>• 11/27/24</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 11/5/25</li> <li>• 11/12/25</li> <li>• 11/19/25</li> <li>• 11/26/25</li> </ul>	
December	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12/4/24</li> <li>• 12/11/24</li> <li>• 12/18/24</li> <li>• 12/25/24</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12/3/25</li> <li>• 12/10/25</li> <li>• 12/24/25</li> <li>• 12/31/25</li> </ul>	

- a. Which agencies are represented in the meetings?  
**DMPSJ leads the weekly shooting reviews attended by MPD, ONSE, Cure the Streets, DYRS, CSSD, CSOSA, DOC, and PSA.**
- b. Has OAG continued to participate in the meetings since October 1, 2025?  
**OAG does not participate in shooting reviews.**
- c. How are Violence Intervention grantees included in the meetings, and how has this changed since the transition of Cure the Streets sites from OAG to ONSE on October 1, 2025?  
**The existing daily and weekly meeting structure with the VI grantees supports information sharing with District partners while also ensuring VIs retain the confidentiality and street credibility that is so important to their relational work. VI grantees are not included in shooting reviews; rather, the ONSE Associate Director facilitates the information sharing between the shooting review participants and the violence intervention providers. The Cure the Streets transition has streamlined information sharing and dissemination in both directions.**

DMPSJ

- d. Please share any data DMPSJ has collected on outcomes of these meetings in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**In 2025, violent crime decreased by 28%, including a 32% reduction in homicides. The District’s homicide closure rate increased to 85%, the highest closure rate in 13 years. Year-over-year, there has been a 43% reduction in total gun crime, 35% reduction in gunshot victims and a 33% reduction in incidents with a gunshot wound victim. As of January 28, 2026, gun crimes are down 60% as compared with 2025.**

- 35. Last year, DMPSJ stated that, “DMPSJ collects shooting data to determine if there has been a reduction in gun violence as a result of our efforts.” Please provide a summary of the shooting data and analysis of whether there has been a reduction in gun violence as a result of these efforts. What follow-up has been conducted by DMPSJ, relevant agencies, and/or organizations regarding action items from the meetings?

**The chart below summarizes shooting data from 2022 through 2025. Year-over-year, there has been a 35% reduction in gunshot victims and a 33% reduction in incidents with a gunshot wound victim.**

Gun Shot Wound Victims				
January 1 - December 31, 2022-2025				
Offense Type	2022	2023	2024	2025
Homicide - Fatal	174	235	153	106
Homicide - Non-fatal*	63	59	52	21
Assault with a Dangerous Weapon	633	677	512	341
Robbery	14	14	9	5
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>726</b>	<b>473</b>
<b>Grand Total - Incidents with GSW Victims</b>	<b>720</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>381</b>
<b>GSW Fatality %</b>	<b>19.68%</b>	<b>23.86%</b>	<b>21.07%</b>	<b>22.41%</b>

- 36. How has DMPSJ worked to coordinate the work of the various violence prevention and interruption personnel in the District, such as ONSE’s violence interrupters, DYRS’s Credible Messengers, DPR’s Roving Leaders, and the Hospital-Based Violence Intervention Program in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date? What plans do you have for the remainder of FY 2026?

**As previously shared, a credible contact continuum is an essential preventative piece of the public safety ecosystem. DMPSJ maintains an extremely coordinated working relationship with the District’s violence interruption program through OAG and ONSE (FY 2025) and ONSE (FY 2026 to date). The coordination includes daily meetings, active messaging threads, in-person meetings, trainings, and reviewing data to ensure intervention is being deployed to the area of greatest need. The remainder of FY 2026 includes providing ONSE the necessary supports to ensure successful integration of Cure the Streets into the ONSE portfolio to ensure the District has one comprehensive violence intervention program.**

Since November 2022, DMPSJ has facilitated and implemented the critical incident protocol for incidents involving juveniles. The protocol facilitates timely information sharing and cross-agency coordination when a serious incident involving a youth occurs, including a homicide or shooting involving an individual under the age of 18.

Additionally, DMPSJ and cluster agencies host the following violence prevention and school safety coordination meetings:

**Daily Public Safety Coordination Call – 1:00 p.m.**

Public safety coordination call with MPD, DMPSJ, DCPS, WMATA, and other public safety partners to:

- Review emerging concerns and incidents impacting schools
- Share updates across agencies
- Identify follow-up actions and next steps
- Ensure a coordinated and proactive approach to school and student safety

**Family and Survivor Support (FSS) – 2:00 p.m. Coordination Call**

In December 2022, ONSE launched an updated Family and Survivor Support coordination process. Agencies are now divided into two roles:

- Information agencies provide written updates on their connection and engagement with impacted families.
- Action agencies attend the 2:00 p.m. call to identify coordinated supports and determine which partners will take specific actions to assist families.

**Violence Interrupter (VI) Call – Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays at 3:00 p.m.**

ONSE, Staff assistant, and DMPSJ's Director of Community Initiatives convene vendor program managers, and team leads to review:

- Incidents from the previous day and overnight
- Upcoming events and communities of concern
- Conflict mitigation strategies and training approaches
- Program updates and detailed incident reviews

**Weekly CVI Strategy Meeting – Tuesdays, 1:30–2:30 p.m.**

ONSE's Staff assistant and DMPSJ's Director of Community Initiatives hosts a weekly strategy session with ONSE vendors and Cure the Streets. The meeting focuses on:

- Incidents from the past seven days
- Conflict mapping and social media analysis
- Intervention and prevention planning to reduce retaliation and violence

**Juvenile Critical Incident Response Protocol**

The District has adopted a coordinated response protocol facilitated by DMPSJ's Director of Community Initiatives for juvenile critical incidents, including:

- Homicides, shootings, or stabbings involving victims under 18
- Violent incidents involving victims under 12

- **Major violent incidents occurring within 500 feet of a school**

**Safe Passage Daily Coordination – 5:30 p.m. Call**

**DMPSJ holds a daily call with Safe Passage Ambassadors to review incidents occurring during school transit and identify needed supports.**

- **If an incident requiring law enforcement occurs, DMPSJ immediately convenes a follow-up call with law enforcement and the Safe Passage team involved.**

**Monthly School Leaders Public Safety Meeting**

**DMPSJ’s Director of Community Initiatives hosts a monthly meeting with school leaders and public safety partners, including MPD, DC Department of Transportation (DDOT), and other agencies provide updates and review trends and ensure a coordinated approach to student safety.**

37. Last year, DMPSJ stated in performance oversight responses that the agency would be working in FY 2025 to create the tools listed below. Please provide an update on the status of creating each of these:

- a. “Tools to facilitate improved coordination and collaboration,”  
**For the Safe Passage program, DMPSJ requested feedback from Ambassadors and Supervisors to refine the unusual incident reporting tool in FY 2025 and the tool continues to be a requirement for program in FY 2026.**

“A credible contact directory,”

**Privacy concerns from the grantees and credible contact staff halted this project; however, DMPSJ continues to find opportunities for communication and collaboration between the credible contacts. DMPSJ is reviewing alternative options for a directory.**

- b. “A list of all district youth in need of prevention services (per existing agency-data and CJCC-identified risk-factors for juvenile justice involvement),”  
**In FY 2025, the ONSA Leadership Academy was transferred to DMPSJ. In FY25, DMPSJ established the Youth Response Team whose role is to relentlessly engage with client youth to encourage positive behavior and discourage negative behavior. During FY 2025, DMPSJ focused on developing an SOP manual to guide the team’s work as well as providing trainings to ensure staff are well-equipped to support youth & families. Training topics included: Boundaries & Confidentiality, Core Health Practices, Conquering Team Dysfunction, Keeping It Healthy with Youth & Families, How to Respond in Crisis Situations, Trauma-Informed Care, Community Safety, Engagement Strategies, Group Facilitation, Mandated Reporting, Meditation, Stop the Bleed, Sexual Abuse Prevention, CBT Approaches to Engagement, & more. By the end of FY 2025, DMPSJ identified youth for the program, refined a case management app, and assigned the enrolled youth case managers. In FY 2026 year to date, the Youth Response Team outreach specialists are working with identified youth on encouraging positive behaviors and identify need for District services.**

- c. “HIPAA-compliant data sharing agreements for hospital violence interrupters,” and

**The data sharing agreements for hospital violence interrupters is in progress with coordination with the hospitals by the Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants (OVSJG).**

- d. “Hosting additional credible contact summits.”

**In FY 2025, the unexpected Congressional interference with the District’s budget in late winter/early spring impacted DMPSJ’s ability to host non-essential trainings for the remainder of the fiscal year. DMPSJ intends to host a summit for all the contacts in the credible contact continuum in FY 2026.**

38. Please describe the working relationship between DMPSJ and ONSE, particularly in light of the transition of the Cure the Streets sites from OAG to ONSE on October 1, 2025.

**In June 2025, the Executive and Council (Judiciary and Public Safety Committee Chair) signed an agreement for the success of ONSE. The agreement addressed program requirements, reporting requirements, implementation and oversight, government coordination, and benchmarks to develop the District’s comprehensive violence intervention program – merging OAG’s Cure the Streets into the existing ONSE program. As part of the agreement, ONSE will adopt and implement an evidence-informed violence prevention model that focuses on detection and interruption of conflicts, changing community norms, providing support to the highest risk individuals, long-term mediation strategies, and adoption of curriculum and training model.**

**In FY 2025 and FY 2026 year to date, DMPSJ has worked closely with ONSE to ensure the agency remains focused on reducing gun violence in the District and meeting the terms of the agreement. DMPSJ supported ONSE as the agency worked to improve its strategic planning and performance functions of data strategy, strategic planning and management, compliance, and change management. ONSE also issued in early FY25, in alignment with the District’s Grants Management Manual, its own grants management manual which guides the agency’s grant program.**

**In late FY 2025, and FY 2026, DMPSJ worked with ONSE in adopting and implementing one nationally recognized, evidence-based violence interruption model. In FY 2026 and year to date, ONSE worked to issue violence intervention agreements for CY2026 with eight contractors to serve Wards 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. The ONSE grant agreements will supplement the CURE agreements issued by OAG for FY 2026.**

**In January 2026, the violence intervention grantees received a briefing on the data analysis (referenced in Question 38) and the interruption model to ensure alignment.**

39. Please discuss DMPSJ's efforts to improve data collection and analysis by the ONSE violence prevention and interruption program during FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

**At the end of FY 2025 and start of FY 2026, DMPSJ, with support from the Office of the City Administrator, finalized a data analysis on the District's shootings from 2020-2025. The analysis demonstrates the concentration and frequency in shootings incidents in each ward to help prioritize VI resources and determine where they should be spending most of their time to make the greatest impact on group-based violence reduction. Additionally, DMPSJ has worked with OCA and ONSE to define a set of data collection points that align with both the evidence based model and national standards of data for violence prevention.**

40. How, if at all, has DMPSJ partnered with established national organizations, researchers, evaluators, and educational institutions to enhance and expand data collection efforts and provide more comprehensive metrics to best advance the mission to prevent and interrupt violence?

**The District has the data capability in-house to develop comprehensive metrics to prevent and interrupt violence and has not partnered with external partners to expand data collection efforts.**

41. Please discuss DMPSJ's efforts to address juvenile crime in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

**There are several initiatives/efforts lead by DMPSJ to address juvenile crime in the District.**

- **Juvenile Curfew: In May 2025, Mayor Bowser announced adjustments to the District's public safety posture, especially as it relates to recent disturbances involving juveniles in commercial areas across the District. At the press conference, the Mayor announced her intent to work with public safety leaders and the DC Council to introduce legislation updating the District's curfew. At the time, the posture was for MPD to increase police presence in neighborhoods across the city, with the goal of preventing and disrupting negative behavior. In June 2025, troubling trends continued related behaviors of juveniles in key commercial corridors. Mayor Bowser worked with Councilmember and Chairwoman of the Committee on the Judiciary and Public Safety Brooke Pinto to introduce the Juvenile Curfew Emergency Amendment Act of 2025, emergency legislation to strengthen and enhance enforcement and accountability tools for juveniles, with a specific focus on a stronger and more flexible curfew program. The legislation sought flexibility in the District's juvenile curfew to allow MPD to ensure the safety of juveniles and the public. The proposed legislation ensured the safety of juveniles and the public by:**
  - **Changing the juvenile curfew hours to 11 pm every day in July and August 2025, and to extend the applicability of the juvenile curfew to 17-year-olds.**

- **Authorizing the Chief of Police of MPD to establish an extended juvenile curfew zone(s). This proposal mirrors the parameters of the popular drug free zones from the Secure DC Omnibus bill signed into law by Mayor Bowser in March 2024. For these extended juvenile curfew zones, the curfew will begin at 7 pm and remain in effect for 15 consecutive days, with a possibility of extension based on public safety considerations for up to 30 days. An Advisory Neighborhood Commission, business improvement district, or Main Street organization can also petition the Chief of Police to establish an extended juvenile curfew hours zone.**
- **Allowing the Mayor to authorize an emergency juvenile curfew.**

**On July 7, 2025, Council enacted the Juvenile Curfew Emergency Amendment Act of 2025. The emergency legislation expired on October 5, 2025. After the expiration of the emergency legislation, the District experienced an immediate increase in disorderly conduct and youth violence. On November 1, 2025, Mayor Bowser enacted a limited juvenile curfew for all youth under the age of 18. All juveniles under the age of 18 years old were subject to a curfew that began at 11 p.m. on November 1, 2025, until 6 a.m. (the following morning), and every night thereafter, ending on Wednesday, November 5, 2025, at 11:59 pm. The new limited curfew was enacted through a public emergency, via Mayor's Order, and was after the Executive testified before Council during a public roundtable on Thursday, October 30, regarding the Extended Juvenile Curfew and Designated Juvenile Curfew Zones in the District. On December 2, 2025, Council extended the curfew and designated zones through April 2026. In FY 2026, DMPSJ will continue to work with Council related to necessary measures to ensure preventative enforcement tools are available to curb juvenile behavior.**

**Youth Response Team: In April 2025, Mayor Bowser and MPD announced a new initiative designed to respond more effectively to youth-involved crime. The Juvenile Investigative Response Unit (JIRU) works to prevent offenses, break cycles of recidivism, and strengthen MPD's outreach to youth across the District.**

**As a complement to JIRU, DMPSJ spent most of FY 2025 developing the Youth Response Team. Youth Response Team is responsible for promoting positive outcomes for youth by fostering relationships with caring adults, engaging youth in experiences that promote growth and personal learning, and creating environments where youth feel safe and cared for. DMPSJ developed the team's programmatic and operational plans including a draft Standard Operation Procedure (SOP) to guide the team's work, trained outreach specialists, identified initial cohort of youth, refined a case management app, and assigned enrolled youth case managers. In FY 2026 year-to-date, the Youth Response Team outreach specialists continue to work with their identified youth to encourage positive behaviors and identify need**

**for District services. During the first year of program implementation (FY 2026), DMPSJ will focus on youth assessments, creating and monitoring individualized youth success plans, and building team infrastructure.**

42. Regarding the Juvenile Curfew Enforcement Pilot Program implemented by the executive last fall:

a. What is the current status of the Program?

**The Juvenile Curfew Enforcement Pilot Program remains active.**

b. How many youth in calendar year 2025 were transferred by MPD to DYRS under the Program? Has DMPSJ collected data on outcomes for these individuals beyond that listed on the webpage?

**In FY 2025, 133 youth were transferred by MPD to DYRS custody that were in violation of curfew. DMPSJ does not collect data on these individuals beyond the reported metrics from DYRS.**

c. How are the pilot and the Juvenile Curfew Zones emergency bill intertwined? What aspects of the pilot informed the formation of the emergency legislation?

**The Executive is committed to working with Council on common sense policies which advance the shared goal of making our city the safest it can be while advancing our DC values. One of the most critical and foundational responsibilities of government is to work to decrease crime and violence and increase safety for all the residents and visitors of the District. This focus requires the District to remain diligent in continuing to address crime trends as they emerge. When patterns of unsafe or unlawful behavior that put young people and the community at risk are displayed, the District must act.**

**In June 2025, following a rash of juvenile “takeovers” that resulted in violence in neighborhoods in the District and in response to calls for action from affected residents, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioners (ANC), and businesses, the Executive proposed the Juvenile Curfew Amendment Act of 2025. In addition to the legislative action, the District also increased recreational activities for youth to ensure they had safe spaces to have fun and enjoy being with other youth. These actions helped the District significantly curb the negative behaviors displayed had seen in Spring 2025 and early summer 2025 in key commercial corridors.**

**With the expiration of the emergency in Fall 2025, the District returned to the same place of disorder in key commercial corridors. MPD spent several weekends in a row addressing juvenile mayhem that has resulted in crime, violence, destruction, and the use of tremendous amounts of police resources at a time when our officers’ time would be best spent continuing to drive down crime over chasing youth around the city trying to prevent them from harming themselves or others.**

**The legislative action surrounding the juvenile curfew gives the District stronger, more flexible tools to prevent violence and disorder before it starts and to keep our community safe.**

- d. Please provide an update on the implementation of the Juvenile Curfew Emergency legislation passed this council period, including how the curfew zones were determined and how DMPSJ ensured that all relevant agencies were informed about what was included in this legislation.

**In CY 2025, then MPD Chief Pamela Smith declared 15 Juvenile Curfew Zones (JCZ). None have been declared so far in CY 2026. Each was declared because of either: (1) specific information about a planned gathering of large numbers of youth on public space, such as several social media posts on advertising a “teen takeover” on different dates and at different locations; or (2) recent past incidents, such as the night or weekend before, in which large numbers of youth engaged in dangerous behavior. This includes the first JCZ declared in Navy Yard for July 11-13, 2025, following the significant disorder caused by large groups of youth over July 4<sup>th</sup>, or the four zones established for November 1-2, 2025, after Mayor Bowser had to exercise emergency authority due to significant incidents on October 31, 2025. Agencies impacted by the juvenile curfew, primarily MPD and DYRS, were aware of legislation.**

43. Please describe DMPSJ’s cross-cluster work with the Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services on public safety issues in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

**The Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services (DMHHS) remains a critical public safety partner. DMPSJ works with DMHHS on several issues. Examples:**

- **Weekly review of youth supported by Department of Human Services programs that are identified as having proximity to crime;**
- **Collaborating during incidents which activate the juvenile incident protocol (homicide/stabbing/shooting of a person under 18; critical violent incidents; and incident involving a child under 12); and**
- **Working closely regarding human services staffing needs for the District’s two Safe Commercial Corridor Hubs.**
- **Deploying mental health supports to District agencies for employee well-being after crisis; and**
- **Working closely with DMHHS agencies regarding the development and implementation of the heat emergency and cold emergency plans.**

44. Please discuss how DMPSJ has worked with MORCA on improving reentry and returning citizen coordination in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

**DMPSJ worked closely with The Mayors Office of Returning Citizens Affairs (MORCA) to help meet its mission to help returning citizens stay free and contribute meaningfully to their communities and the District. DMPSJ and MORCA see the following as the major issues facing MORCA and returning citizens:**

DMPSJ

- **Ensuring returning citizens know about MORCA and other District Resources. Many returning citizens come from the Federal Bureau of Prison (BOP) and MORCA is not informed about their return and unable to notify them about the services they can provide. DMPSJ is considering federal legislation that would require BOP to notify MORCA when District residents are returning.**
- **Nonprofits that support returning citizens rely heavily on government funding and face financial instability during strained times. MORCA is encouraging these organizations to secure alternative funding sources through philanthropy and other grant sources.**
- **Despite “ban the box” laws, returning citizens still face barriers due to background checks. Advocacy is needed for policies limiting the lookback period for criminal records to improve access to employment and housing.**

**In addition to working with agencies throughout District Government, DMPSJ and MORCA continue to encourage DMPSJ cluster agencies to find non-background sensitive public safety roles to hire returning citizens. Finally, DMPSJ works with CJCC to assess and implement recommendations of the Incarceration and Community Corrections Committee that was chaired by DOC and MORCA in FY25.**

45. Please provide updates on DMPSJ’s efforts to:

- a. Consider federal legislation that would require BOP to notify MORCA when district residents are returning.
- b. Advocate for policies limiting the lookback period for criminal records to improve access to employment and housing.

**The Executive is working with federal partners both to identify and address legislative gaps and impediments to information sharing across the criminal justice system.**

46. Please describe DMPSJ’s efforts related to restoring local control of parole in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date. Are those efforts still paused, and if so, what are the plans for DMPSJ to recontinue those efforts in FY 2026 or beyond?

**While the Executive remains supportive of the District controlling as much of our criminal justice system as possible, the efforts to restore local control of parole remain paused. During FY 2022, the Executive contracted with subject matter experts in parole function to assist in work related to returning local parole to the District. Unfortunately, the relevant entities could not reach a majority opinion on how to structure the board or on the authority, roles, and responsibility of the board. At this time, efforts are stalled, and it is unlikely that the current political climate would be favorable to the District assuming more control of these functions.**

47. Please describe DMPSJ’s work related to truancy issues in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date. Please also describe any actionable steps taken in FY 2025 or FY 2026 to date, to improve truancy that came from DMPSJ’s service on the Every Day Counts Taskforce.

DMPSJ

**The Safe Passage Program supports students as they travel to and from school and in the community. Safe Passage programming includes conflict resolution, mediation services, and community relationship building to keep students safe and improve student attendance.**

**DMPSJ also serves as one representative on the EveryDay Counts Steering Committee Taskforce (“Taskforce”), specifically in the Safety and Belonging working group. This group focuses on ensuring safe passage, affordable and reliable transportation, and fostering safe, welcoming school climates and supportive communities. For additional questions on how the Taskforce’s work impacts truancy, DMPSJ refers you to The Office of the Deputy Mayor for Education.**

**Finally, DMPSJ continues to advance Mayor Bowser’s “Utilizing Partnerships, Local Interventions for Truancy and Safety (UPLIFT) Amendment Act of 2024” which focuses on early intervention, alternative in-school placements, enhanced family engagement, and accountability to keep young people safe, in school, and engaged was not taken up the Council after introduction. The Executive remains open to partnership with Council regarding legislative solutions related to truancy and chronic absenteeism.**

48. Please discuss DMPSJ’s work to oversee the following agencies in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date. Please focus on the primary issues DMPSJ identified at each agency and provide a description of DMPSJ’s efforts to address or mitigate those issues:

**a. Department of Corrections (DOC)**

- i. Ensured the Department has the appropriate staff and funding for its increase in population associated with the passage of Secure DC Omnibus Amendment Act of 2024.**
- ii. Supported the Department’s efforts to ensure facilities are operationally sound and in compliance set for jails and prisons. DOC successfully completed its reaccreditation audit with the American Correctional Association (ACA) for the DC Jail Complex. Of approximately 3,100 local adult jails nationwide, only about 13% have earned national accreditation through the ACA, placing DOC among a select group of correctional agencies recognized for operational excellence.**
- iii. Supported the Department in its efforts to curb the introduction of contraband.**
- iv. Ensured Department continued its successful hiring incentive program.**
- v. Ensured the READY Center continues to support returning citizens during the reentry process.**

**b. Department of Forensic Sciences (DFS)**

- i. Ensured the Department had resources needed to achieve reaccreditation of the Forensic Biology, Latent Fingerprint and Forensic Chemistry units.**



- v. **Partnered with the Mayor’s Office of Intergovernmental Affairs (IGA) and HSEMA to secure \$90M in funding for the District’s Emergency Planning Support Fund to cover expenses for which federal funding has been approved under the authority found in the federal payment for “Emergency Planning and Security Costs in the District of Columbia” section of the District’s annual Appropriations Act. The EPSF costs are related to:**
  - 1. **Providing public safety at events related to the presence of the Nation’s Capital in the District;**
  - 2. **Providing response support to immediate and specific terrorist threats or attacks in the District; and**
  - 3. **Providing support for requests from the United States Secret Service.**
  
- f. **Metropolitan Police Department (MPD)**
  - i. **Ensure necessary funding for hiring new officers and adding additional crime fighting technology and equipment.**
  - ii. **Collaborated with Department on legislative and programmatic solutions to curb youth violence and crime including the amendment to the District’s juvenile curfew, launch of the Juvenile Investigative Response Unit.**
  - iii. **Supported the Department in a line of duty death.**
  
- g. **Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME)**
  - i. **Continue to address how District agencies implement strategies included in fatality review reports.**
  
- h. **Office of Unified Communications (OUC)**
  - i. **Ensured the agency had the resources the answering of 1.4 million calls on 911, nearly 900,000 calls on 311 for a total of 2.3 million calls total in FY 2025. The APCO Public Safety Communications Incident Handling Process standard states Emergency Communications Centers should answer 75% of all 911 calls in 10 seconds or less and 90% in 20 seconds or less. In FY 2025, OUC met both of those standards. 90.2% of all 911 calls were answered in 10 seconds or less and 93% of calls were answered in 20 seconds or less. In FY 2025, the average call answer time for 911 calls was 4.3 seconds; this is down 2 from the 15.1 seconds in FY 2024.**
  - ii. **Supported the reopening of the Public Safety Communications Center (PSSC) - an \$18.5 million renovation which stabilized the PSCC’s power systems, overhauled the building’s infrastructure, and expanded the facility’s layout to meet the evolving needs of OUC.**
  - iii. **Worked with Mayor Bowser to raise the starting salary of 911 caller takers to \$61,313 annually and \$1 million for call taker recruitment and retention bonuses. This increased pay will make OUC call takers among the highest paid in the National Capital Region, ensuring their**

salaries are not only competitive, but also reflect the critical nature of their work.

- i. Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants (OVSJG)**
  - i. Assisted in the expansion of the Private Security Camera Incentive Program to cover interior cameras and glass break sensors.**
  - ii. Supported the Office as they work to protect victims of emerging and complex threats (e.g., doxxing and financial crimes).**
  - iii. Consulted the Office on maintaining compliance with the volatile federal granting awards programs; and**
  - iv. Addressed how policy changes and the economic environment are changing how victims receive services.**
  
- j. Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement (ONSE)**
  - i. Worked ONSE and OAG to place violence interruption initiatives under ONSE's administration, with the District adopting and implementing one nationally recognized, evidence-based violence interruption model.**
  - ii. Finalized, with support from OCA, a data analysis on the District's shootings from 2020-2025 which guides areas of coverage for the violence interruption services for CY 2026.**
  - iii. Supported the Office with capacity building for compliance and monitoring of grantees, increasing violence intervention capacity, the implementation of the new grants management manual, and continued focused on data collection.**

## **SAFE PASSAGE PROGRAM**

49. Please provide a comprehensive overview of the DMPSJ's work on the Safe Passage program in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**In FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date, the Safe Passage program provided support to 12 priority areas. Key efforts in FY 2025 included:**

- Identified school points of contact in coordination with DCPS and school leadership to strengthen school communication and escalation pathways.**
- Hosted monthly school leadership meetings to address dismissal trends and incidents impacting student travel and surrounding communities, in conjunction with MPD.**
- Enhanced the Safe Passage interactive map to include updated routes informed by coordination with the Deputy Mayor of Education (DME), WMATA, MPD, and the Department for Hire Vehicles (DFHV).**
- Strengthened coordination with DCPS, public charter LEAs, Metro Transit Police, and MPD to support coverage at dismissal.**
- Expanded school-level engagement through principal coordination and ongoing site visits.**
- Continued oversight, monitoring, and technical assistance to grantees to support program consistency.**

- **Supported procurement and grant administration to sustain community-based implementation.**

**Notable efforts in FY 2026 to date include:**

- **The number of Safe Passage Ambassadors increased to approximately 220, with all agencies fully staffed by mid-November.**
- **Funded four community-based organizations to implement the Safe Passage program in priority areas.**
- **Issued updated uniforms to Safe Passage Ambassadors to improve visibility and standardization across the network.**
- **Expanded coordination with schools through site visits, principal engagement, and dismissal observations.**
- **Continued cross-agency collaboration to align Safe Passage with school safety planning and dismissal operations.**
- **Reviewed deployment and feedback to assess whether additional corridors or high-risk Metro stations should be incorporated into future route planning.**
- **Continued fiscal oversight, grants management, and technical assistance to support program delivery.**

50. Please describe any quantitative outcomes from the Unusual Incident (UI) reporting tool.

Incident Reporting Outcomes - SY25/26 (to date)\*

<b>Categories</b>	<b>Number of Reports</b>
<b>Drug Use</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Environmental</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Fight</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Gunshots</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Medical Emergency</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Reckless Driving</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Robbery</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Suspicious Vehicle</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Threatening Behavior</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Verbal</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Students Not In School</b>	<b>5</b>

\*Based on feedback from Ambassadors and Supervisors, categories were revised for SY 25/26.

Unusual Incident Reporting Outcomes - SY24/25

<b>Category</b>	<b>Number of Reports</b>
<b>Attendance</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Bullying</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Environmental</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>Physical</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>Theft/Robbery</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Traffic/Vehicular Accident</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Verbal</b>	<b>64</b>

- a. Please describe any outcomes from the weekly school site visits with partnered schools, principal meetings, and listening sessions.

**Through ongoing school site visits, we have seen a significant strengthening of communication between Safe Passage teams, school leadership, and partner agencies. Schools receive timely updates, and staff are able to elevate concerns quickly and efficiently, allowing for real-time coordination and support.**

**Principal meetings have provided a consistent forum to identify emerging issues both within schools and in surrounding corridors. In addition, these meetings serve as a valuable platform for information sharing and for connecting school leaders to an array of agency resources, supports, and preventive strategies to enhance student safety and well-being.**

- b. Please describe any efforts or initiatives that have been implemented in FY 2025 or FY 2026 to date as a result of feedback from Safe Passage ambassadors, community members, or school leaders.

**Efforts or initiatives implemented in FY 2025 or FY 2026 to date as a result of feedback include:**

- **Legislatively required training requirements for Safe Passage Ambassadors;**
- **Updated the unusual incident categories for the reporting tool in SY25-26 to reflect feedback from Ambassadors, Supervisors, Grantees, and Safe Passage Operations;**
- **Opportunities for Ambassadors to facilitate training sessions during all-hands sessions; and**
- **Enhanced spring and summer planning, including coordination with schools and community partners to support programming for students**

**during spring break, in response to feedback regarding increased needs during the spring period.**

- c. Please describe any efforts to coordinate between the Safe Passage program and ONSE violence interruption program.

**DMPSJ coordinates with ONSE to ensure situational awareness in neighborhoods where both programs operate. Safe Passage focuses on supporting student travel during arrival and dismissal, while ONSE conducts year-round neighborhood-based violence interruption and outreach. Coordination primarily consists of information-sharing and alignment when community concerns arise, to avoid duplication and ensure that each program remains within its respective scope.**

**In October 2025, Safe Passage community-based partners and ONSE Violence Interrupters met to identify shared hotspot areas. Between October and January, ONSE provided support during after-school hours in areas outside of Safe Passage arrival and dismissal coverage. Safe Passage providers and Violence Interrupters maintain weekly communication to review priority areas and share updates as needed.**

51. Please describe the training that Safe Passage Ambassadors received in FY 2025, as well as the training they have received and are scheduled to receive in FY 2026, including and the quarterly bystander intervention training; annual cultural competency training; annual training on mental health, neurodivergence, and special needs; and annual training on recognizing signs of abuse, neglect, and domestic, intimate partner, or intra-familial violence in accordance with the Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025 (DC Law 26-40).

**In FY 2025, DMPSJ implemented a structured training model for Safe Passage, including:**

- **Minimum five trainings per grantee (SOP requirement) with topics including youth development, trauma-informed practices, de-escalation, mental health, communication, and community engagement. Training partners included Safe Shores, DME/DMPSJ, and FEMS. All grantees met or exceeded the requirement; and DMPSJ grantee partners offered Ambassadors and Supervisors a combined total of 46 trainings in FY 2025.**

**In FY 2026, DMPSJ structured the Safe Passage training to comply with the Safe Passage Training and School Engagement Amendment Act of 2025. Below is the schedule including the training partner/facilitator.**

- **Q1 (Dec 2025): Reframing Conflict — DME/Learn24**
- **Q2 (Mar 2026): Nonviolent Crisis Intervention — DME/Learn24**
- **Q3 (Apr 2026): Bystander Training — Safe Shores**
- **Q4 (Jul 2026): ACT – Listen – Encourage — DME/Learn24**
- **Abuse/Neglect & Violence Recognition: March 2026 — Safe Shores**
- **Cultural Competency: April 2026 — Mayor’s Office of LGBTQ Affairs**

- **Mental Health & Neurodivergence: July 2026 — Youth Mental Health First Aid (Learn24)**

**Safe Passage grantees, who employ Ambassadors directly, may require additional training and professional development of their employees.**

52. Please provide an update on the mandatory twice-annual meetings between Safe Passage and Safe Blocks personnel (Safe Passage Ambassadors), including the dates of any completed or planned meetings. What themes or outcomes have emerged from these coordination meetings in the past year?

**Safe Passage leaders met with school administrators in August (pre-school year) to align on routes and communication. Q1 follow-up meetings are scheduled to meet the twice-annual requirement to review incidents, resources provided (Narcans, hygiene), community engagement activities, and spring planning. DMPSJ is tracking the required school coordination meetings through quarterly reporting and supervisory documentation. Compliance is verified through routine monitoring and site visits.**

53. Please describe generally how the implementation of the bill's requirements is going.

**In general, implementation of the Act's requirements is going smoothly. DMPSJ has followed the statutory training components and school engagement requirements, and Safe Passage providers are completing quarterly and annual trainings. It is important to note that many of the Act's expectations built on work already underway by DMPSJ. Prior to enactment, Safe Passage grantees completed 46 trainings in FY 2025 and DMPSJ had already established regular communication with schools and grantees to support dismissal coordination and address community concerns. The Act provided a formal policy framework for improvements that were already in progress and has helped standardize areas such as training cadence and school coordination.**

54. Please describe DMPSJ's work in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date to coordinate with the Deputy Mayor for Education and other District agencies on Safe Passage.

**DMPSJ works with DME in the following ways:**

- **Participates on the Every Day Counts! Steering Committee to support coordination between attendance, truancy, safety, and belonging.**
- **Collaborates on restorative justice training and interagency efforts focused on restorative practices in schools.**
- **Coordinates on School-Connect and neighborhood-based engagement efforts, including priority work in Congress Heights.**
- **Partners on Safe Passage training calendar through DME/Learn24.**

**As it pertains to overall student wellbeing, DMPSJ coordinates with education agencies on school operations and attendance (DME, OSSE, DCPS, PCSB); with public safety partners on neighborhood conditions and overall public safety (MPD, DYRS, FEMS); with transportation partners on student mobility and transit (WMATA, DDOT, DFHV); and human services agencies (DHS and CFSA).**

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

55. Please provide, in table form, a list of each grant awarded by DMPSJ for Safe Passage in FY 2026, to date, including:

- a. Grantee name
- b. Dollar amount of the grant
- c. Source of funds for the grant by program and activity number
- d. Priority Area(s) served by the grantee
- e. Schools served by the grantee
- f. Number of staff (broken down by duties, e.g. Safe Passage workers and administrative staff)

Grantee name	Dollar amount of grant	Source of funds for the grant by program and activity number	Priority area(s) served by the grantee	Schools served by the grantee	Number of staff (broken down by duties, e.g. Safe Passage workers and administrative staff)	Please provide the grant agreement for each Safe Passage grant awarded in FY 2025.
National Association for the Advancement of Returning Citizens	\$1,853,168.32	Local Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potomac Avenue</li> <li>• Anacostia</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anacostia High School</li> <li>• DC Prep - Middle School</li> <li>• Digital Pioneers Academy</li> <li>• PCS Capitol Hill</li> <li>• Excel Academy</li> <li>• Friendship PCS Chamberlain Middle</li> <li>• Friendship PCS Technology Preparatory High School Academy</li> <li>• KIPP DC - AIM Academy PCS</li> <li>• KIPP DC Somerset College Preparatory PCS</li> <li>• Kramer Middle School</li> </ul>	<p>46 Safe Passage Ambassadors (SPAs)</p> <p>4 Supervisors</p>	Please see Question 56.

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thurgood Marshall Academy PCS</li> </ul>		
<b>Center for Nonprofit Advancement</b>	\$1,607,641.37	Local Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brookland</li> <li>• NOMA</li> <li>• L'Enfant,</li> <li>• Eastern Ave</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brookland Middle School</li> <li>• Dunbar High School</li> <li>• Eastern High School</li> <li>• Eliot-Hine Middle School</li> <li>• Jefferson Middle School Academy</li> <li>• KIPP DC - WILL Academy PCS</li> <li>• Luke C. Moore High School</li> <li>• McKinley Technology High/Middle School</li> <li>• Richard Wright PCS for Journalism and Media Arts</li> <li>• Walker-Jones Education Campus</li> <li>• Washington Global Public Charter School</li> </ul>	38 SPAs 3 Supervisors	Please see Question 56.
<b>Collaborative Solutions for Communities</b>	\$4,072,812.00	Local Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Congress Heights</li> <li>• Columbia Heights</li> <li>• Fort Totten</li> <li>• Tenleytown</li> <li>• Petworth/Brightwood</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ballou High School</li> <li>• Ballou STAY High School</li> <li>• Bard High School Early College DC</li> <li>• Cardozo Education Campus</li> <li>• Center City PCS - Congress Heights</li> <li>• Columbia Heights Education</li> </ul>	82 SPAs 6 Supervisors	Please see Question 56.

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)

DMPSJ

				<p><b>Campus 6–12 (CHEC)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Coolidge High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Deal Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Digital Pioneers Academy PCS – Johenning</b></li> <li>• <b>E.L. Haynes PCS - High School</b></li> <li>• <b>E.L. Haynes PCS - Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Garnet-Patterson STAY High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Hart Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Ida B. Wells Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Jackson-Reed High School (formerly Wilson HS)</b></li> <li>• <b>Johnson Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Kingsman Academy PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>KIPP DC - College Preparatory PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>KIPP DC - Honor Academy PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>KIPP DC - Legacy College Preparatory PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>LAYC Career Academy PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>MacFarland Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Meridian Public Charter School</b></li> </ul>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

				<p><b>Middle School</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Monument Academy PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>Paul PCS International High /Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Roosevelt High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Social Justice PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>The Next Step/ El Próximo Paso PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>Youthbuild PCS (16-24 Y/O)</b></li> </ul>		
<b>Mute the Violence DC</b>	<b>\$1,675,180.00</b>	<b>Local Funds</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Minnesota Ave/ Deanwood</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Browne Education Campus</b></li> <li>• <b>Cesar Chavez PCS for Public Policy</b></li> <li>• <b>Friendship PCS - Collegiate Academy Online</b></li> <li>• <b>IDEA PCS</b></li> <li>• <b>Kelly Miller Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Maya Angelou PCS - High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Phelps Architecture, Construction, and Engineering High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Ron Brown College Preparatory High School</b></li> <li>• <b>Sousa Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Two Rivers PCS - Young Middle School</b></li> <li>• <b>Woodson High School</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>42 SPAs</b></p> <p><b>3 Supervisors</b></p>	<b>Please see Question 56.</b>

56. Please provide the grant agreement for each Safe Passage grant awarded in FY 2025.

**FY 2025 Safe Passage Grant Agreements, see Attachment 5.**

57. What performance measures are Safe Passage grantees required to report? Please describe and/or provide a copy of the measures that DMPSJ uses to evaluate Safe Passage grantees, including the data that grantees have reported to DMPSJ in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**DMPSJ evaluates Safe Passage grantee performance through quarterly reporting and a performance measurement framework. Grantees are required to submit quarterly reports that include staffing levels, route deployment, school engagement, community outreach, and incident reporting. These reports document operational fidelity, emerging hotspots, youth engagement efforts, and success stories, and are used to monitor trends throughout the school year.**

**For FY 2026, DMPSJ is transitioning to a standardized KPI model to support stronger evaluation and alignment with outcomes. The KPI framework includes measures for coverage and visibility, incident reporting and de-escalation, school engagement, community engagement, staff training and retention, compliance, and longer-term indicators connected to attendance and truancy.**

58. Please describe any efforts taken in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date to improve communication and build relationships between schools, Safe Passage grantees, and Safe Passage employees/contractors.

**DMPSJ prioritized relationship-building between schools and Safe Passage providers to continue improved coordination at dismissal and strengthened alignment between Safe Passage operations and school needs. Efforts include:**

- **Establishing school points-of-contact;**
- **Adding weekly touchpoint communication between Ambassadors and schools; and**
- **Holding monthly principal meetings and site visits, and formalizing feedback loops that inform deployment and training.**

**SAFE COMMERCIAL CORRIDORS PROGRAM**

59. Please provide, in table form, a list of each grant awarded by DMPSJ for the Safe Commercial Corridors program in FY 2026, to date, including:

- a. Grantee name
- b. Dollar amount of the grant
- c. Area served by the grantee

<b>FY 2026 Grantee Name</b>	<b>Dollar Amount of FY 2026 Grant</b>	<b>Area Served by the Grantee in FY 2026</b>

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

<b>Adams Morgan Partnership BID</b>	<b>\$ 182,129.20</b>	<b>Adams Morgan</b>
<b>Capitol Hill BID</b>	<b>\$ 49,920.00</b>	<b>Capitol Hill</b>
<b>Capitol Riverfront BID</b>	<b>\$ 77,000.00</b>	<b>Capitol Riverfront</b>
<b>DowntownDC BID</b>	<b>\$ 168,609.00</b>	<b>Downtown</b>
<b>Dupont Circle BID</b>	<b>\$ 159,080.00</b>	<b>Dupont Circle</b>
<b>Friendship Heights Alliance</b>	<b>\$ 34,750.00</b>	<b>Friendship Heights</b>
<b>Georgetown BID</b>	<b>\$ 153,307.00</b>	<b>Georgetown</b>
<b>Golden Triangle BID</b>	<b>\$ 180,741.00</b>	<b>Golden Triangle</b>
<b>Marshall Heights Community Development Organization</b>	<b>\$ 67,100.00</b>	<b>Pennsylvania Ave SE</b>
<b>Mount Vernon Triangle CID</b>	<b>\$ 90,844.60</b>	<b>Mount Vernon Triangle</b>
<b>NoMa BID</b>	<b>\$ 83,600.00</b>	<b>NoMa</b>
<b>So Others Might Eat (SOME)</b>	<b>\$ 129,905.31</b>	<b>Shaw</b>
<b>Union Market Coalition</b>	<b>\$ 60,000.00</b>	<b>Union Market</b>
<b>Urban Equity Consulting Group LLC</b>	<b>\$ 81,000.00</b>	<b>H St NE</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,517,986.11</b>	

60. What metrics or KPIs does DMPSJ collect from quarterly and annual reports to evaluate Safe Commercial Corridors grantees? How does DMPSJ measure “success”?

**KPIs for Safe Commercial Corridors:**

- **Number of annual reports submitted by organizations upon completion of their grant program activates.**
- **Number of grants distributed to organizations to promote public safety and public health through evidence-based activities for residents, workers, and visitors in the District.**
- **Number of quarterly reports submitted by organizations detailing their grant activities.**
- **Conduct annual compliance visit with Safe Commercial Corridor grantees.**

61. Please describe the performance measures grantees are tracking and reporting as part of their grant application and/or agreement and provide a copy of data that grantees have submitted to DMPSJ in FY 2025 and FY 2026 to date.

**Grantees are required to submit quarterly reports as part of their reporting requirements per the grant agreement. Below, please see the reporting data submitted in FY 2025. The data for FY 2026 Q1 will be available in late February 2026. e**

**FY 2025 Data – Safe Commercial Corridors Grant**

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)

DMPSJ

<b>Number of hours safety ambassadors worked in FY 2025</b>	<b>Number of new cameras installed in FY 2025</b>	<b>Number of cameras connected to the Real Time Crime Center in FY 2025 (new and existing cameras)</b>
<b>34,053</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>165</b>

Additionally, DMPSJ manages the Safe and Secure Nonprofit Fund. Here is grant information for FY25 and FY 26.

<b>FY25 (11 awarded)</b>			
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Ward(s)</b>	<b>Project</b>
Chabad East DC Jewish Center	\$76,000	Ward 6	Security Systems – CCTV cameras, equipment, and installation. In-person security guard services (3 guards).
CHV Tenants Association	\$34,375	Ward 1	CCTV cameras, equipment, installation, and FUSUS. Emergency response training for residents.
Edlavitch Jewish Community Center of Washington, DC Inc.	\$54,670	Ward 2	CCTV cameras, equipment, and installation. In-person security guard services (1 guard).
Empowerment Enterprise 2	\$23,900.80	Ward 7	In-person and remote security guard services (2 guards). Self-defense training.
Lillian and Albert Small Capital Jewish Museum	\$31,040	Ward 6	In-person security guard services (7 guards).
Metropolitan AME Church	\$41,680	Ward 2	In-person and remote security guard services (8 guards).
Milton Gottesman Jewish Day School of the Nation's Capital	\$77,011.88	Ward 4	Security infrastructure and installation.
Sixth & I	\$9,158.36	Ward 2	In-person security guard services for 23 special events. (65 guards).
The Georgetown Synagogue - Keshet Israel Congregation	\$22,470	Ward 2	Security Systems – CCTV cameras, equipment, and installation. In-person security guard services (7 guards).
Thurgood Marshall Center Trust Student Programs	\$20,450	Ward 1	Security Systems – Upgrade CCTV cameras and equipment, and installation.
Washington Hebrew Congregation (WHC)	\$80,000	Ward 3	Security Systems – CCTV cameras, equipment, and installation. In-person security guard services (11 guards).
<b>Amount Award</b>	<b>\$470,756.0</b>		

J&PS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)  
DMPSJ

<b>FY26 (13 awarded)</b>			
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Ward(s)</b>	<b>Project</b>
Adas Israel Hebrew Congregation	\$50,000	Ward 3	In-person security guard services (5 guards).
CHV Tenants Association	\$44,000	Ward 1	Security Systems – Upgrade CCTV cameras and equipment, and installation and FUSUS.
Edlavitch Jewish Community Center of Washington, DC Inc.	\$65,296	Ward 2	In-person security guard services (1 guard).
Lillian and Albert Small Capital Jewish Museum	\$107,020	Ward 6	Interim Security Director and security consultant. In-person security guard services (7 guards).
Metropolitan AME Church	\$50,000	Ward 2	Security infrastructure and installation. In-person and remote security guard services (8 guards).
Milton Gottesman Jewish Day School - 4715 16th Street, NW (South Campus)	\$50,000	Ward 4	In-person security guard services (1 guard).
Milton Gottesman Jewish Day School - 6045 16th Street, NW (North Campus)	\$50,000	Ward 4	In-person security guard services (6 guards).
Sixth & I	\$65,482	Ward 2	Security Systems – Upgrade CCTV cameras and equipment, and installation.
Temple Micah	\$42,055.54	Ward 3	Security Systems – Upgrade CCTV cameras and equipment, and installation.
Temple Sinai	\$48,926	Ward 4	Security infrastructure and installation.
The Georgetown Synagogue - Keshet Israel Congregation	\$58,332.80	Ward 2	In-person security guard services (10 guards). Bookkeeper for security guard invoicing.
Tzedek DC, Inc.	\$40,543.69	Ward 3	Deputy Director and Security Point of Contact, Personnel, and in-person security guard services (special events). Cyber security monitoring. Cyber security training for staff,
Washington Hebrew Congregation (WHC)	\$75,000	Ward 3	Security infrastructure and installation. In-person security guard services (14 guards).
<b>Amount Award</b>	<b>\$746,656.03</b>		