

Governance and Personnel

- 1. Please provide a complete and current organizational chart for the agency and each division and subdivision within the agency, including:***
 - a. The names and titles of all senior personnel;***
 - b. A description of the roles and responsibilities for each division and subdivision;***
 - c. A narrative explanation of any changes to the organizational chart made in the last calendar year; and***
 - d. An indication of whether any positions in the chart are vacant.***

Please see attachment.

- 2. Please provide a complete, up-to-date list of contract workers working directly for your agency, ordered by program and activity, and including the following information for each position:***
 - a. Title of position;***
 - b. Indication that the position is filled or vacant;***
 - c. Date employee began in the position;***
 - d. Whether the position must be filled to comply with federal or local law;***
 - e. If applicable, the federal or local law that requires the position be filled;***
 - f. The entity from which they are contracted; and***
 - g. The contracted annual cost.***

The Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants (OVSJG) does not have contractors.

- 3. Please provide, for each month of FY 2025 through FY 2026, to date, the net number of personnel separated from and hired to the agency.***

There have been eight (8) new hires and seven separations in FY25 through FY26, to date.

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
 OVSJG

Effective Date	Action
11/6/2024	TER
12/13/2024	TER
12/16/2024	HIR
12/16/2024	HIR
12/27/2024	TER
1/31/2025	TER
1/31/2025	TER
6/10/2025	TER
9/8/2025	REH
9/19/2025	TER
10/6/2025	HIR
12/15/2025	HIR
12/15/2025	HIR
1/12/2026	HIR
2/9/2026	HIR
2/9/2026	HIR

4. *Does the agency conduct annual performance evaluations of all its employees? If so, who conducts such evaluations? What steps are taken to ensure that all office employees are meeting individual job requirements?*

Yes, OVSJG conducts annual performance evaluations as directed by the District’s Personnel Manual. In addition, the agency utilizes weekly team meetings and one-on-one staff-management meetings to monitor employee performance throughout the course of the year.

5. *Please provide the following for each collective bargaining agreement that is currently in effect for agency employees:*
- a. *The bargaining unit (name and local number)*
 - b. *The start and end date of each agreement;*
 - c. *The number of employees covered;*
 - d. *Whether the agency is currently bargaining;*
 - e. *If currently bargaining, anticipated completion date;*
 - f. *For each agreement, the union leader’s name title and contact information;*
and
 - g. *A copy of the ratified collective bargaining agreement.*

There are no OVSJG employees under collective bargaining agreements.

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

6. ***Please list all employees currently detailed to or from your agency. For each detailed employee, include:***
- a. *The reason for the detail;*
 - b. *The job duties if detailed to your agency;*
 - c. *The start date of detail;*
 - d. *The agency the employee is detailed to/from; and*
 - e. *The projected date of return.*

There are no employees currently detailed to the agency.

7. ***Please provide a copy of your agency’s Schedule A, as of the date of receipt of this questionnaire.***

Please see attachment.

8. ***Please provide a list and description of all memorandums of understanding and memorandums of agreement in effect during FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.***

Agency	Purpose	FY
Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement	To fund the Gun Violence Housing Program.	2025 and 2026
Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice	To fund the Safe Passages, Safe Blocks program.	2025 and 2026
Office of the Chief Medical Examiner	To fund toxicology testing project (FO0-Drug Facilitated Sexual Assault Toxicology Testing-FX0 - 401195).	2025 and 2026
Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC)	To fund Title II Compliance Monitoring and Racial and Ethnic Disparity Coordination..	2025 and 2026
Department of Corrections (DOC)	To fund the DOC-Central Treatment Facility (CTF) residential substance treatment program.	2025 and 2026
Department of Forensic Sciences	To fund the Physical Evidence Recovery Kit Testing Initiative.	2025 and 2026
Office of the Chief Medical Examiner	To fund professional training for staff to include toxicologists, medical examiners and forensic staff, as well as procurement of supplies and services.	2025 and 2026
Office of Attorney General (OAG)	To fund OAG vertical prosecution & representation for Domestic Violence and Stalking Program.	2025 and 2026
Executive Office of the Mayor (EOM)	To provide OVSJG with EOM support services.	2025 and 2026

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

Department of Motor Vehicles	To provide Address Confidentiality Program (ACP) participants with address protection.	2025 and 2026
Howard University	To serve as a host-site for Howard University students during the 2025-2026 school year.	2026

Finance and Budget

- 9. Please provide a status report, including timeframe of completion, for all projects for which your agency currently has capital funds available.**

OVSJG does not have any capital projects.

- 10. Please provide copies of all budget enhancement requests (The Form B or similar form) submitted in the formulation of the FY 2025 and FY 2026 proposed budgets.**

This request asks for information that is protected from disclosure by the deliberative process and executive privileges.

- 11. Please list all budget enhancements in FY 2026 and provide a status report on the implementation of each enhancement.**

Enhancement Name	Description	Amount	Status
Victim Services Sustainability	Funding to sustain essential awards that ensure crime victims in the District can access comprehensive services	\$3,900,000	All funds from this enhancement were awarded to community-based organizations.
SAVRAA	Funding to administer and sustain grants codified by the Sexual Assault Victims' Rights Act of 2019	\$3,046,467	All funds from this enhancement were awarded to community-based organizations.

- 12. Please fill out the attached spreadsheet titled "Question 12 Grants Received," and list all federal and/or private grants received by your agency in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, current balances, and indicate any that lapsed during or at the end of FY 2025.**
- Please submit the completed document in both Excel and PDF formats.**
 - Please include your Agency Code in the filename (e.g., question_12_AB0_2026.xls).**

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

Please note that while these federal awards were issued with performance period dates that start in FY25, OVSJG does not receive these awards until the tail end of the fiscal year. These grants do not have any obligations or expenditures in FY25. The agency has awarded these funds to subgrantees FY26. Additionally, please note that the grants that list FY24 in the title are an additional year behind the current fiscal year for disbursement. Subsequently, the FY26 tab is blank as the agency will not receive those awards until the end of the FY.

Please see attachment.

- 13. List all grants issued by your agency in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, in the attachment labeled “Question 13 Grants Issued”.**
- a. Please submit the completed document in both Excel and PDF formats.**
 - b. Please include your Agency Code in the filename (e.g., Question_13_AB0_2026.xls).**

Please see attachment.

Operations

- 14. Please provide the Committee with a list of all vehicles owned or leased by the agency; the purpose of the vehicle; the division the vehicle is assigned to, if applicable; and whether the vehicle is assigned to an individual employee.**

Not applicable.

- 15. For each objective and activity in the agency’s FY 2025 Performance Plan, please list:**
- a. The measure of greatest improvement for the agency, and the actions the agency took to improve that measure’s outcome, efficiency or quantity; and**

Objective/ Activity	Measure of greatest improvement	Data	Actions taken	Outcome/Effici ency/Quantity
Access	Percent of victims who received support from the DC Victim Hotline (DCVH) call-takers to address caller needs and/or a	Target: 95% FY23: 92% FY24: 92% FY25: 95.05%	Funding for the DCVH increased by 20%	Outcome

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

	referral by the DC Victim Hotline			
Juvenile Programing	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Community Response	No measures of improvement as all current measures are neutral directionality	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grant Assistance	Percent of budgeted local grant funds lapsed at end of fiscal year	Target: 5% FY23: 8% FY24: 4.92% FY25: 2.07%	Technical assistance provided to grantees	Efficiency
Efficient, Transparent, and Responsive Government	Percent of new hires that are District residents	Target: N/A FY23: 60% FY24: No data FY25: 100%	N/A	Outcome
Community-Based Efforts	No measures of improvement.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Juvenile Justice	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Build and expand the network of community-based providers that serve returning citizens	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Build a coordinated community response for all victims of crime that improves	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

outcomes for survivors				
Deliver a comprehensive response to underserved and marginalized victims in the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Develop and coordinate juvenile delinquency prevention programs in the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Enhance the capacity of grantees to collect, analyze, and report performance data	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ensure compliance of core requirements of all federal grants	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fund community-based safety initiatives	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ensure federal and local grants funds are allocated and spent	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grant management	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Justice Grants/Reentry Grants	Total number of new and ongoing clients receiving reentry services and support from	Target: N/A FY23: 2151 FY24: 7262	Enhanced reporting from Justice Grants grantees through new grants	Quantity

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

	grantee reentry programs	FY25: 12706	management system	
Private security camera program/camera reimbursement	Currently no measures of improvement as measures are so new (beginning in FY24) that a trend cannot be identified	N/A	N/A	N/A
Provide a comprehensive response to intimate partner violence victims in the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Provide a comprehensive response to sexual assault victims in the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Provide evidence-based violence prevention in-school programming throughout the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Provide legal services to low-income and underserved district residents	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Victim Services	Number of victims receiving medical forensic care	Target: N/A FY23: 545 FY24: 638 FY25: 808	Continued full funding of the DC Forensic Nurse Examiners Program	Quantity

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)

OVSJG

Provide training and technical assistance to grantees to help enhance their capacity and improve outcomes	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Provide training to community members to help equip them with skills and resources for improving community outcomes	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A
Reduce truancy in the district	Currently no related measures	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note OVSJG’s Performance Plan went through many edits at the end of FY25 leading into FY26. Many of the above objectives and activities were given new measures, existing measures were updated, and measures no longer being reported on (program retirement or move) were removed.

b. For all measures with missed targets (if any), explain the actions the agency is taking to improve that measure’s outcome, efficiency, or quantity.

Percent of new patients eligible for hospital-based violence intervention program services that were contacted by a program:

In FY25, the Hospital-based Violence Intervention Programs (HVIP) navigated high staff turnover, set operating hours, and HVIP project timelines that start at different points in the year. Hospitals also reported that some patients did not provide contact information. The confluence of these factors led to a decrease in the hospital’s capacity and ability to contact patients in a successful and efficient manner. OVSJG continues to work intimately with the HVIP programs and the HVIP consultant to provide guidance and group connection.

Percent of budgeted federal grant funds lapsed at end of fiscal year:

OVSJG continues to improve federal fund spend down over the previous fiscal years. OVSJG continues to increase staff capacity, prioritize applying for no-cost extensions, and enhance trainings for both OVSJG staff and grantees to sustain this positive trend in anticipation of meeting the target.

Average number of days for private security camera rebate application processing:
The Private Security Camera Incentive Program was affected by the spending freeze in FY25. Due to the spending freeze, 185 of the 353 awarded applications were put on hold until the freeze was lifted, causing a delay in the normal application processing timeline. Those applications were then processed at the end of the fiscal year. The average number of days was heavily impacted by the 185 applications that were held during this time period.

16. List all new objectives, activities and projects in the agency's FY2026 Performance Plan and explain why they were added.

OVSJG's Performance Plan was updated and re-organized for FY26. Objectives and activities were renamed to better match the budget book and at the request of the performance team.

The objectives are now listed as follows:

- Access
- Juvenile Programming
- Community Response
- Grant Assistance
- Efficient, Transparent, and Responsive Government
- Community-based Efforts

The activities are now listed as follows:

- Juvenile Justice
- Grant Management
- Justice Grants/Reentry Grants
- Private Security Camer Program/Camera Reimbursement
- Victim Services
- Access to Justice Initiative
- Community Capacity Building/Community Capacity Grants
- Agency Management

17. Describe problems and challenges, including chronic maintenance issues and design flaws, in agency-owned or leased facilities.

- a. What capital or operating projects arose from these issues in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, including cost and actions taken?***

OVSJG does not have any agency-owned or leased facilities.

18. Please list each new initiative implemented by the agency during FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date. For each new initiative, please provide:

c. A description of the initiative;

OVSJG funded a new program run by Give an Hour named Help the Helpers. This program is a trauma-informed training and support model tailored specifically for agency and organizational personnel who serve survivors. This training equips staff with context-specific mental health tools, strategies for boundary-setting and self-care, and professional education on trauma-informed practices. Just as important, it creates space for peer support and connection, reducing isolation and reinforcing shared resilience.

d. Actual start date;

This program began piloting implementation in FY24 based on needs identified and formally scaled up in FY25.

e. Actual or anticipated end date;

This is an ongoing program.

f. The funding required to implement the initiative;

In FY25, Give an Hour's Help the Helpers initiative was funded at \$6,556. In FY26 the initiative was funded at \$12,696.

g. Whether the initiative was mandated by legislative action;

This is not a legislated program.

h. Problems or challenges faced in the program's implementation;

Not applicable.

i. The metrics the agency is collecting to measure the initiative's success; and

- Number of community informational sessions.
- Number of Help the Helpers training curriculum delivered to DC agency and organization personnel.

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

- Number of customized psychoeducational tools created in response to personnel needs.

j. An assessment of the initiative's success thus far.

Participant survey feedback showed strong satisfaction and a desire for future collaboration.

19. Please list any legislation that impacts your agency from FY 2025 and FY 2026 and provide a status report on the agency's implementation related to each piece of legislation.

§ 7-2831. Private Security Camera System Incentive Program.

(A) To be eligible for the rebate provided for in this section, a property owner or lessee shall:

(B) After October 1, 2025, a security camera system installed on the interior of a building owned or leased by a business that has less than \$2.5 million federal gross receipts or sales; or

(C) After October 1, 2025, glass break sensors installed on the interior of the building owned or leased by a business that has less than \$2.5 million federal gross receipts or sales.

In FY25, the Private Security Camera Incentive Program completed rulemaking and restructured its rebate program to expand coverage for non-residential properties with less than \$2.5 million in federal gross receipts or sales. The expansion now includes interior cameras and glass break sensors as eligible security enhancements. This program update became active and fully operational on October 1, 2025.

20. Customer feedback

a. How does the agency solicit feedback from customers (i.e., District residents served)? Please describe.

The OVSJG technical team solicits feedback through email at the OVSJGegms@dc.gov address for GovGrants questions and concerns, via agency biweekly GovGrants Office Hours, and through surveys after trainings/meetings. In FY25, OVSJG also solicited post-training feedback through surveys. Lastly, OVSJG implemented a mechanism to submit feedback to the Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) for any agency or organization that participated in

the survivor's care following an assault and a process to review and respond to feedback.

b. What has the agency learned from this feedback?

The agency has learned of the grantee's gratitude regarding support and technical assistance with the new system and some system bugs. The surveys revealed that the majority of grantees felt they learned something in the trainings, felt the presenters were clear and helpful, and that the content helped them move through the grant cycle.

c. How has the agency changed its practices because of such feedback?

Through that feedback OVSJG has implemented updates to the GovGrants system. The agency also has expanded communication regarding the system, implemented more system specific training, and increased opportunities for technical assistance/guidance. Through the survey feedback in FY25, OVSJG has worked to implement more dynamic and detailed trainings. So far in FY26 OVSJG has created and hosted an in-person FY26 Grantee Orientation that already has received positive feedback regarding clear and concise information. OVSJG also added, for the first time, a (virtual) Day 2 to Orientation that gave a deeper dive into data collection and analysis. The agency looks forward to bringing on a Training Specialist in FY26 to build out the training program.

Laws, Audits, and Reports

21. Please identify any legislative modifications that would enable the agency to better meet its mission.

When modifications are needed, the Executive introduces needed legislation and legislative modifications on OVSJG's behalf.

22. Please identify any regulatory impediments to your agency's operations.

No impediments.

23. Please list and describe any ongoing investigations, audits, or reports on your agency or any employee of your agency, or any investigations, studies, audits, or reports on your agency or any employee of your agency that were completed during FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

On October 9, 2025, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) began an audit of two Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) awards and grantee sub-awards. The audit concluded in January of 2026 with a result of no findings and no recommendations.

Additionally, an OIG audit of a sub-award issued under VOCA was officially closed on January 29, 2025.

24. Please identify and provide an update on what actions have been taken to address all recommendations made during the previous three years by:

a. Office of the Inspector General;

In response to a recommendation from the OIG, OVSJG has updated the grants management standard operating procedures for grantees who receive more than one funding source.

b. D.C. Auditor;

Not applicable.

c. Internal audit; and

Not applicable.

d. Any other federal or local oversight entities.

Not applicable.

25. Please list all pending lawsuits in which the agency, or its officers or employees acting in their official capacities, are named as defendants, and for each case provide the following:

a. The case name;

b. Court where the suit was filed;

c. Case docket number;

d. Case status; and

e. A brief description of the case.

Not applicable.

26. Please list the total amount of money the agency or the District, on behalf of the agency, expended to settle claims against it, or its officers or employees acting in their official capacities, in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

Not applicable.

27. Please list each settlement the agency or the District, on behalf of the agency, entered into in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date that involved claims against the agency, or its officers or employees in their official capacity, including any settlements covered by D.C. Code § 2-402(a)(3). For each settlement, provide:

- a. The amount of the settlement;**
- b. If related to litigation, the case name and brief description; and**
- c. If unrelated to litigation, please describe the underlying issue or reason for the settlement (e.g. administrative complaint, etc.).**

There were no settlements.

28. Please list all administrative complaints or grievances that the agency received in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date. For each complaint, list:

- a. The source of complaint;**
- b. The process utilized to respond to the complaint or grievance;**
- c. Any changes to agency policies or procedures that resulted from the complaint or grievance; and**
- d. If resolved describe the resolution.**

There were no administrative complaints.

29. Is the agency currently party to any active non-disclosure agreements? If so, please provide all allowable information on all such agreements, including:

- a. The number of agreements;**

The agency has four agreements.

- b. The department(s) within the agency associated with each agreement; and**

The Victim Services division.

- c. Whether any agreements are required for specific positions (please list each position by division and program and indicate whether the position is contracted)**

There is a confidentiality agreement required for anyone working with the Address Confidentiality Program, the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board, and SART Case Review Sub-Committee.

Data

30. In filterable and sortable spreadsheet, please list all electronic databases maintained by your agency, including the following:

- a. A detailed description of the information tracked within each system;**
- b. The age of the system and any discussion of substantial upgrades that have been made or are planned to the system; and**
- c. Whether the public can be granted access to all or part of each system.**

Please see attachment.

31. Please provide a list of all studies, research papers, and analyses (“studies”) the agency or an agency’s employee requested, prepared, presented or contracted for during FY 2025. For each study please list:

- a. The status;**
- b. The purpose; and**
- c. A link (if published) to the study, research paper or analysis.**

No studies have been requested, prepared or contracted by OVSJG in FY25.

AGENCY-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

Grantee Selection

32. Please note any changes in FY 2025, or FY 2026, to date, for determining which grantees are assigned certain funding sources (e.g., local funds, VAWA grants, VOCA grants).

There have been no changes.

Budget Priority and Transparency

33. Has the agency seen a reduction in federal funding? If so, in what amounts and across which funding streams?

The agency has not seen a reduction in the current fiscal year.

34. Pursuant to D.C. Code § 4–571.01, which establishes transparency requirements for the Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants, for the FY 2025 budget year, what were the grantmaking priorities within the Victim Services division? How were those priorities communicated to the Council and grantees?

- a. *Specifically, what priority(-ies) is (are) focused on—and how much funding was prioritized in the budget for—“[t]he housing continuum of care for victims of crime, including emergency shelters, short-term housing, and transitional housing, broken down by whether the funding or housing is tied to”:*
- i. *Categories of crime, such as domestic violence, sexual violence, human trafficking, or violent crime, and*
 - ii. *Vulnerable populations, such as LGBTQ individuals?*

In FY25, \$16,723,931 was granted to domestic violence housing programs and \$529,455 was granted for housing for survivors of human trafficking. FY25 grant-making priorities were to maintain services provided by existing OVSJG grantees. The services are listed below:

- Professional, victim advocacy and case management to primary and secondary victims of crime;
- Professional medical forensic services to victims of crime;
- Professional trauma-informed mental health services to primary and secondary victims of crime;
- Civil, criminal, and administrative victim-focused legal services;
- Training law enforcement officers, judges, other court personnel, and prosecutors to more effectively identify and respond to crime and crime victims;
- Develop, enlarge, or strengthen victim services programs in government agencies or on District- based campuses;
- Develop or improve the delivery of culturally specific crime victim services to an underserved population;
- Provide specialized court advocates in courts where protection orders are granted;
- Increase reporting and reducing attrition rates for cases involving violent crime;
- Develop, enlarge, or strengthen programs that address stalking;
- Formal and informal District-wide, multidisciplinary efforts to coordinate the response of District and federal law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, victim services agencies, and other District agencies and departments, to crimes;
- Training medical forensic personnel in the collection and preservation of evidence, analysis, prevention, and providing

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

expert testimony and treatment of trauma-related to sexual assault;

- Assistance to victims of crime in immigration matters;
- Housing for victims of crime;
- Direct services to incarcerated victims of crime;
- Outreach and education to communities or training and technical assistance to multidisciplinary professionals regarding crime and crime victim services;
- Community engagement activities that seek to engage men and boys in violence prevention;
- Outreach, engagement, and community education activities that work with children who have been exposed to or have witnessed violence;
- Training and technical assistance of criminal justice personnel that also includes the enhancement of the work of the agency and that incorporates technology in the application of criminal justice;
- Outreach directed at underserved communities. Underserved communities are defined in this RFA as victims/survivors with physical or cognitive disabilities, victims and survivors with no English proficiency (NEP) or limited English proficiency (LEP), victims who are immigrants, teen victims, homicide survivors, human trafficking victims, victims who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender, victims/survivors of stalking, and/or victims who are a member of a culturally, ethnically, or religiously marginalized population; and
- Outreach activities that are conducted in tandem with direct services to crime victims.

There was one dedicated local funding category that OVSJG is legislatively required to fund which is the services that fall under the Sexual Assault Victims Rights Amendment Act.

These priorities were communicated in the FY 2025 Victim Services Consolidated Request for Applications (RFA) which was released on May 13, 2024. Funding amounts are determined by grantee applications, peer reviews, internal review, and available funds in the approved DC budget. There were no earmarked categories of Local Appropriations funding and OVSJG did not prioritize categories of victims or services

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

with the exception of fulfilling legal obligations under SAVRAA and maintaining current services.

Priorities for federal funding administered by OVSJG are dictated by the federal government and, in the case of Services Training Officers and Prosecution (STOP) and Sexual Assault Services Program (SASP), input from the community, under the three federal formula grants received by OVSJG Victim Services (Victims of Crime Act, SASP, STOP). Those priorities were also communicated in the RFA and are listed below:

VOCA funding prioritizes services for victims of sexual assault, domestic violence, child abuse, and services for underserved victims of crime. All VOCA funded programs must be direct service programs.

Funding priorities for STOP include: Comprehensive and holistic services to underserved and marginalized communities in the District; Services to underserved and marginalized communities in the areas they live or are most accessible to them are located in, are a part of, and are a trusted source within underserved and marginalized communities they serve; Accessible services to the specific needs of victims of intersecting underserved or marginalized identities; Training on trauma-informed care for those who directly interact with victims (i.e. police officers, victim service providers, attorneys) as well as staff working within a system (i.e., court personnel) in the District; Focus on expanding outreach and education on resources, systems, and rights to underserved and marginalized communities in the District; Training law enforcement, court personnel, and victim service providers on the unique needs within the underserved and marginalized communities they serve as well as how to best provide services to those communities; and Addressing the ongoing need to serve Temporary Protection Orders and Civil Protection Orders, as well as addressing backlogged Domestic Violence warrants by locating and arresting offenders.

SASP funding may only be awarded to rape crisis centers and nonprofit, nongovernmental organizations that provide direct intervention and related assistance to individuals who have been victimized by sexual assault without regard to the age of the individual.

- b. What priority(-ies) is (are) focused on—and how much funding was prioritized for—“[n]on-residential direct services for victims of crime, broken down by whether the funding is tied to specific”:***
- i. Categories of crime, such as domestic violence, sexual violence, human trafficking, or violent crime; and***
 - ii. Vulnerable populations, such as LGBTQ individuals.***

\$35,268,985 was granted to non-residential direct services for victims of crime in FY25. The vast majority of grantees serve victims of multiple types of crime victims, so it is not possible to give an accurate dollar to crime-type accounting. OVSJG does not require grantees to invoice by type of victimization experienced by each client.

While all grantees serve LGBTQ individuals, four grantees had programs that were specifically focused on LGBTQ victims of crime. FY25 awards for these specific programs totaled \$1,143,954.

FY25 grant awards focused on culturally specific populations totaled \$4,493,284.

35. How does OVSJG quantify and assess the need for residential and non-residential services for victims of crime and measure whether grant funding is sufficiently responding to the level of need?

OVSJG utilizes Performance Measurement Initiative data, qualitative data in progress report narratives, in addition to metrics developed by grantees in their individualized work plans to assess the needs for services for victims of crime.

- a. Is the data collected by OVSJG anonymized and aggregated so that it can be shared with the public to justify the agency’s grantmaking priorities and increase transparency?***

Yes, the data collected by OVSJG is anonymized and aggregated so that it can be shared with the public to justify the agency’s grantmaking priorities

- b. Please provide any data available on wait times for victim services.***

Some victim service providers reported wait times for their services. The number of potential clients on a waitlist and the length of wait times varied widely across grantees and services. Of the housing grantees, only one has a waitlist. For their

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

emergency housing site, the wait is 90 days to six months and there are currently 10 clients waiting. Their other housing site has a wait of four months to 1.5 years depending on the type of apartment the client has requested. There are currently 41 people on that waitlist.

Legal service providers reported no wait times.

Seven (7) of OVSJG's mental health service providers reported a wait list. Waitlists varied with wait times as short as five days to as long as 190 days. Waitlists had as few as three (3) people to as many as nine (9) people.

- c. Please provide any aggregate data that OVSJG collects from other agencies to assess the need for services (e.g., number of domestic violence 911 calls, number of shootings and locations of shootings).***

OVSJG looks at data from across the government; however, law enforcement data is not a reliable predictor of what services are most needed across the victim services field. Only about 50% of violent crimes are reported to law enforcement. Making funding decisions with such a limited data set would be a disservice to District residents.

- d. What changes in demand for services, if any, did OVSJG identify over the last few years between FY 2023 and FY 2025?***

The demand for services has remained steady.

- 36. Were all victim services grant funds allocated in the FY 2025 budget year awarded out in grants? If not, how much was not awarded and why was it not spent as allocated in the budget?***

All local grant funds were awarded out in grants in FY25. There were some remaining, un-lapsed, federal grant funds that were not awarded in FY25 but have been awarded in FY26.

- 37. For FY 2026, have all victim services grant funds allocated in the budget been awarded out as grants?***

- a. If not: how much was not awarded and why was it not spent as allocated in the budget? Please describe any plans to allocate those funds in the remainder of FY 2026.***

Less than 1% of the grant funds allocated in the budget (\$327,363) have not yet been awarded as grants.

Support for Grantees

38. For FY 2025 through FY 2026, to date, please provide the dates of award announcements and the first and last date of actual payments for grantees.

FY25:

Victim Services RFA was released on May 13, 2024.

Justice Grants RFA was released on May 6, 2024.

FY26:

Victim Services RFA was released on May 13, 2025.

Justice Grants RFA was released on May 19, 2025.

Project Safe Neighborhood RFA was released on December 8, 2025.

Dates of first and last payments are highly variable and based on when each grantee has fully executed their award package and after that, when they have submitted their requests for payment.

Post-Award Requirements and Compliance

39. How many site visits did the agency conduct in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date? Please list the site visit locations and dates. How did OVSJG determine which organizations to observe through site visits, and does the agency anticipate making any changes to these practices in FY 2026?

OVSJG conducted 28 site visits in FY25 and one in FY26. Site visits are determined by the grantees' risk assessment with low-risk grantees receiving a site visit once every three years, medium-risk grantees receiving a site visit every other year, and high-risk grantees receive a site visit each year they are determined to be high risk. No changes are planned to the established site visit practices for FY26.

Details of the site visits can be found in the attachment.

40. How many grantees were assessed to be high risk in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, disaggregated by fiscal year?

For Victim Services one grantee was assessed to be high risk in FY25 and no grantees were assessed to be high risk in FY26. VS has one grantee in FY26 that was assessed to be high-risk in FY25. The grantee completed all required corrective actions and scored as medium risk in FY26.

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

- a. Have any of the FY 2026 grantees been identified as high risk in previous fiscal years? If so, how has OVSJG ensured that previous concerns have been addressed?*

JG had one grantee in FY25 and five grantees in FY26.

- 41. Has the agency revoked any grants in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date? If so, please provide the name of the grantee(s) and the reason(s) for revocation.*

In FY25, VS terminated one grant to the Empowerment Justice Corporation. The grantee was found to have violated grant terms and conditions, including providing a false statement in their Disclosure of Legal Proceedings, and providing fraudulent documentation of payment to an employee.

In FY25 and FY26 to-date, JG did not terminate any grantees.

- 42. Please describe any audits or desk reviews OVSJG conducted of its grantees in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date.*

The Victim Services and Justice Grants teams did not conduct any audits in FY25 or FY26. Desk reviews continue to be routinely conducted across the portfolio as a standard business practice.

Measuring Impact

- 43. Please describe any changes OVSJG made to the agency's use of the Performance Measures Initiative in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date.*

For FY26, OVSJG updated questions asked of grantees in grantee quarterly progress reports. Questions were added to progress report forms for service categories SAVRAA Data and Justice Grants Data. The goal of these additions was clarification to achieve more precise data.

Questions were removed from progress report forms for service category Project CHANGE Data. The removal reflected the retirement of certain data tracking within the program. Edits were made in service category forms for Trauma-Informed Mental Health Services Data, Project CHANGE Data, and SAVRAA Data. The edits were made to correct grammar errors and to provide clarity.

Lastly, additional measures were added to the OVSJG FY26 Performance Plan and the intent is to use the PMI questions to provide the data for the new measure in FY26.

Please find updated plan here: [FY26 Plan - OVSJG.pdf](#)

44. How many residents have been served by projects, programs, or initiatives funded by OVSJG in FY 2025 or FY 2026, to date, disaggregated by fiscal year?

In FY25, 51,054 residents were served by grantee services. In FY26 (Year to Date), 11,107 residents were served by grantee services. Please note that client numbers are not unique as one resident may be actively receiving services from multiple grantees at once or continuing in services over multiple quarters. These instances would lead to one person being counted multiple times.

Services for Youth

45. Please describe if there were any changes since the previous fiscal year to the initiatives that the agency supports to reduce truancy among District youth.

OVSJG no longer implements the formal truancy reduction program or any truancy-specific initiatives.

46. Are all youth positions on the Juvenile Justice Advisory Group (“JJAG”) currently filled? If not, how is OVSJG working to ensure all positions are filled?

The youth positions in the Juvenile Justice Advisory Group (JJAG) are filled.

47. How is JJAG involved in the grant making decision process and how has OVSJG incorporated JJAG’s recommendations in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date?

JJAG members serve as reviewers of all OVSJG juvenile justice grant proposals for funding. OVSJG awards Title II funds to local grantees based on their recommendations as reviewers.

48. JJAG advises several executive agencies on juvenile justice matters. Please share a status update on all of the recommendations made by JJAG to District agencies between FY 2023 and FY 2026, to date.

FY23:

Juvenile Justice Advisory Group RESOLUTION 2023-1: Supporting Enhanced Mentoring Efforts and Non-punitive Forms of Engagement - (Adopted 2023)

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

The JJAG submitted a resolution to expand non-punitive forms of engagement by investing in mentorship, leveraging workforce development, and increasing investments in the arts.

Juvenile Justice Advisory Group RESOLUTION 2023-2: Ensure Equitable Resource Alignment to Improve Student Success- (Adopted 2023)

The JJAG submitted a resolution to enhance and align quality resources in the school environment and two (2) surrounding communities that ensure students can meaningfully engage in education.

FY25:

Resolution submitted to DMPSJ- Juvenile Justice Advisory Group RESOLUTION 2025-1: Support Children Before they Enter the Juvenile Legal System

The JJAG submitted a resolution to reject or amend the Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025. It was resolved that the JJAG disapproves of the Justice-Involved Youth in Community Act of 2025 unless it can be amended to avoid the dual and conflicted roles of monitor and mentor for Trusted Adults.

Services for Victims of Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

49. In the Address Confidentiality Program Fiscal Year 2025 Annual Report, OVSJG shared that the agency provided five trainings to DC government employees and domestic violence providers. How were the organizations selected? Does OVSJG provide training to all domestic violence providers that receive grants through OVSJG?

All DV providers are able to access trainings, as are all DC agencies. However, as the program continues to expand its reach, OVSJG is primarily focused on training District agencies that have expressed issues assisting DV clients in the past. DV providers receive trainings upon request—often as an on-boarding measure after periods of high turnover.

a. In FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, what was the longest turnaround time from submission of a completed application to a determination?

In FY25, the longest turnaround time of a completed application to a determination was 12 days.

In FY26, it is the same, the longest turnaround time of a completed submitted application to a determination has been 12 days.

50. Please provide an update on the work of the Sexual Assault Response Team (“SART”), including:

a. Who are the current representatives from each agency?

Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME)	Stephanie Troupe
DC Rape Crisis Center	Tammi Hogan
Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants	Kelley Dillon
Volare	Kris Klassen
DC Forensic Nurse Examiners	Iris Potter
University Representative	Teaira Garnett
DC Coalition to End Sexual Violence	Rachel Friedman
DC Victim Hotline	John Kemp
Office of the Attorney General (OAG)	Janese Bechtol
Department of Forensic Sciences (DFS)	Julie Farragut
MPD Sexual Assault Unit	Captain Brian Hallahan
U.S. Park Police	Daniel Bahamonde
U.S. Attorney’s Office for the District of Columbia (USAO)	Mark O’Brien
USAO Victims Witness Unit	Nicholas Miranda
MPD Victims Services Branch	Diamond Vann
Children’s National Medical Center	Dr. Adrienne Artis
Safe Shores, the DC Child Advocacy Center	Twana Sherrod
MedStar Washington Hospital Center	Dr. Lindsay White
Child and Family Services Administration (CFSA)	Elizabeth Muffoletto
SART Coordinator	Brenda Aleman (OVSJG)
Wendt Center for Loss and Healing	Tracie Doherty

b. When did the SART meet in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date?

In FY25, SART met on the dates below for regular meetings:

- 11/21/2024
- 12/19/2024
- 1/16/2025
- 3/20/2025
- 5/15/2025
- 7/17/2025

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

In FY25, SART met on the dates below for Case Review Sub-committee meetings:

- 10/17/2024
- 12/19/2024
- 2/20/2025
- 4/17/2025
- 6/26/2025
- 9/18/2025

In FY26, SART met on the dates below for regular meetings:

- 10/30/2025
- 11/20/2025
- 1/15/2026

In FY26 SART met on 12/18/2025 for a Case Review Sub-Committee meeting.

c. Who are the current representatives for the SART Case Review Subcommittee?

1. Iris Potter (DC Forensic Nurse Examiners)
2. Captain Brian Hallahan (MPD Sexual Assault Unit)
3. Stephanie Troupe (OCME)
4. Julie Ferragut (DFS)
5. Kris Klassen (Volare)
6. Tammi Hogan (DCRCC)
7. Tracie Doherty (Wendt Center)
8. Mark O'Brien (USAO for DC)

d. When did OVSJG, in collaboration with DFS and the OCME, facilitate training for members of the SART in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date. What did the training include?

The training was held on March 20, 2025, and covered the three types of testing panels, next steps if screened for positive results, typical turnaround time for testing, and current trends. The FY26 training will be held on March 19, 2026.

51. D.C. Official Code § 4–561.12 states that the SART shall make “recommendations to improve the continuum of services in the District for sexual assault victims.” What recommendations did the SART develop in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date?

- Additional dispatch line for Sexual Assault Unit detectives. This recommendation was made in order to allow detectives the ability to directly reach the advocate on call for dispatch to a community case rather than going through the DC Victim Hotline and eliminate errors that resulted in contacting the incorrect advocacy organization for dispatch. This recommendation was implemented in FY25 and had the additional positive effect of cutting down on wait times for advocate dispatch.
- There was also a recommendation to update the Physical Evidence Recovery Kit (PERK) and in FY 2026 the DC Forensic Nurse Examiners will convene a group to recommend specific updates.
- SART also discussed inviting Metro Police to attend SART since they are another law enforcement agency that sees sexual abuse cases in the District. Metro Police expressed an interest in attending and SART will work to arrange their invitation.
- The last recommendation is SART updates the Survivor Feedback process. This would involve reviewing the process that is involved when a survivor submits feedback and reviewing the form that a survivor fills out to provide feedback.

52. Please describe the activities and findings of the Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

The Domestic Violence Fatality Review Board (DVFRB) reports on a calendar year, not fiscal year due to data collection of annual homicide rates. From January 2024 through December 2025, the Board engaged in the following critical work:

- Met via Webex and in person: The Board met 21 times during the calendar year for 46 hours of discussion, training, and case review. Two meetings were hosted by Board members at their workplaces.
- Held two Annual Board retreats: The DVFRB coordinator planned the Annual Board retreat for the Board members in 2024 and 2025.
- Appointed new Board members: During 2024 and 2025, new Board representatives were appointed for the MPD, OCME, DBH, OVSJG, and the USAO. The Board also welcomed new community members.
- Published an internal Board directory
- Conducted in-depth case reviews: the Board examined eight complex intimate partner-related homicide cases.
- Facilitated related District systems briefings
- Attended other mortality review meetings
- Issued annual reports for 2024 and is working on data from 2025.

Findings of the DVFRB are included in the annual reports. Please see attachment for most recent DVFRB report. [OVSJG DVFRB 2025 Council Version.pdf](#)

53. Please provide the agency's most recent data regarding domestic violence-related fatalities. If the agency points to the most recent annual report, please summarize the findings/trends, conclusions, and recommendations of the recent report.

a. Between 2023-2024, citywide homicides decreased 24% while Domestic Violence ("DV") homicides increased, with the percentage of homicides attributed to DV the highest it's been since 2017. How is OVSJG responding to this trend?

In 2024, there were 187 homicides in the District, of those 28 were DV related homicides with 21 of these homicides being adults (11.2%). In 2023, there were 274 homicides and 23 DV related homicides with 21 of them were adults (7.6%). In the DVFRB trends report from 2014-2023 (10-year review) the average of all DV related homicides over 18 was 9.7%. The highest year for DV fatalities was in 2014, when 14.3% of all homicides were DV related, and that year there were 105 homicides.

OVSJG has been consistent in having funds available for service providers and increase the accessibility of services to survivors before a fatality occurs.

b. A recent report from the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council ("CJCC") on DV homicide demographics indicate that the average age of victims is 41 and perpetrators 37. What may be surprising to some is that the victims are overwhelmingly male (59%) and 41% are female. The perpetrators are also overwhelmingly male (84%) compared to 16% female. Please provide any data OVSJG has collected that can explain this trend, the relationship between perpetrators and victims, and describe how OVSJG is supporting both male and female victims.

The DVFRB was not provided the CJCC report; however, based on the DVFRB data, this is not an unexpected trend at all. When the DVFRB look at DV, the board is including all familial relationships (including when adult children kill their parents, cousins, siblings, etc.) and roommates. Imbedded in that data is what people traditionally consider DV, which is the sub-category of intimate partner violence. When you look at the subcategory of IPH (intimate partner homicides) over the last 10 years, the average age of victims is 39.7 and is 41 for perpetrators. The victims are overwhelmingly female (72%). Males murder women and also murder men. The ages of the victims and perpetrators in our reporting does not include minors, so that automatically increases the average age. According to the Department of Justice (DOJ), in 2023, male homicide victimization was 3.5 times

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

greater than for females, with males being murdered by people outside of their family but known to them.

According to the Department of Justice (DOJ), in 2023, male homicide victimization was 3.5 times greater than for females, with males being murdered by people outside of their family but known to them. When including all relationship types under the umbrella of domestic violence (roommates, intrafamilial, and IPV), 40.6% of the victims are female and 59.4% are male according to the DVFRB 10-year trends report which covers 2014-2023. If you look at IPH alone, 72% of victims are female and 28% are males. If you look at DV related homicides in the district without IPH as a category, 84.2% of victims are male and 15.8% are females, and 91.5% of those homicides were committed by male perpetrators. Within this category, 40.8% of those victims were murdered by a family member and 19.4% were roommates. This category also includes what could be described as a love triangle, where the homicide occurs between a new partner and an old partner, those are overwhelmingly male.

The DVFRB has recommended the following:

- More training, awareness and information to be available for families and providers on Extreme Risk Protective Orders, as a safe way to have firearms removed from someone who is at high risk of harming self or others. This would then include families that might not recognize the violence in the home being domestic violence or understand their own safety risk.
- More Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) support and services for youth regarding domestic violence and trauma informed care, to support all youth including victims and those who choose violence.
- An Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) and DC Health partnership to provide programming and support at each school for children to access services and learn more about violence, including those who engage in violence.
- DC Health, DBH and the DC Hospital Association to work together on training providers of medical services regarding referrals and services for all victims of domestic violence.
- DC Health to implement a city-wide public educational campaign to broaden the knowledge of violence and highlight the services and resources available. The board specifically asked that they highlight unhealthy and abusive behaviors and address male survivors.

In term of OVSJG's support for all survivors and victims of abuse, all of the services are available to males and females and for those who fall on the continuum of gender identity.

- c. Please describe any updates to the Board's coordination with other DC agencies, health care providers, public safety agencies, or community-based organizations to address and reduce DV fatalities.***

The Board coordinates with other DC agencies, health care providers, public safety agencies, and community-based organizations through our board membership and recommendations provided. The board also is involved in other meetings and conversations about domestic violence and the corresponding fatalities to raise awareness of the public health concerns. The board also attends other fatality reviews to assist in those conversations. The board works to stay aware of new research as well as pilot programs and trainings and will send that research and information to the corresponding entity that would benefit from that knowledge, even if no recommendation is made by the board.

Services for Victims of Gun Violence

- 54. Please provide an update on the District's Hospital-Based Violence Intervention Program ("HVIP").***

- a. How much funding was allocated for HVIP in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, disaggregated by hospital and by source?***

In FY25 \$2,682,488 was awarded to the following hospitals:

- George Washington University Hospital \$435,629 (LOCAL)
- Children's Natl Medical Center \$420,126 (LOCAL)
- University of Maryland Capital Region Health \$40,000 (LOCAL)
- MedStar WHC \$1,253,377 (LOCAL)
- Howard University Hospital \$500,000 (\$316,645 VOCA, \$183,356 LOCAL)
- Cedar Hill Regional Medical Center \$350,000 (LOCAL)

In FY26 \$2,512,070 was awarded to the following hospitals:

- George Washington University Hospital \$359,756 (LOCAL)
- Children's Natl Medical Center \$442,314 (\$182,314 LOCAL, \$260,000 VAF)
- Cedar Hill Regional Medical Center \$350,000 (LOCAL)
- University of Maryland Capital Region Health \$40,000 (LOCAL)
- MedStar WHC \$820,000 (\$570,000 LOCAL, \$250,000 VAF)

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

- Howard University Hospital \$500,000 (\$303,175 LOCAL, \$196,825 VAF)

b. How many victims were served on a quarterly basis, disaggregated by hospital?

Hospital	Quarter	# Served
George Washington	1	126
George Washington	2	31
George Washington	3	55
George Washington	4	51
Children's National	1	31
Children's National	2	29
Children's National	3	18
Children's National	4	24
Howard	1	57
Howard	2	86
Howard	3	30
Howard	4	63
MedStar	1	53
MedStar	2	38
MedStar	3	52
MedStar	4	61
Cedar Hill	1	0
Cedar Hill	2	0
Cedar Hill	3	13
Cedar Hill	4	13
University of Maryland	1	4
University of Maryland	2	0
University of Maryland	3	2
University of Maryland	4	5

c. Please provide any updates on the relationship between HVIP and the Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement ("ONSE").

There are no updates.

d. Please provide an updates on data sharing agreements between HVIP partners and CJCC.

There are no updates.

e. Are there any plans for expansion of HVIP in the remainder of FY 2026?

There are no plans.

- f. ***Please provide an update on the new grants management system. Has the agency solicited feedback from system users since the system went live in FY 2025?***

OVSJG's understanding of this question, based on a follow-up email from Council is that it is asking if the agency has received information from the HVIPs that could be included in a report. The HVIPs submitted KPI reports in the new EGMs system under Project Change performance measures. OVSJG's technical team continuously welcomes feedback since the GovGrants implementation. Since the inception of GovGrants, many enhancements and changes have been made to the EGMS (electronic grants management system) in response to that feedback. FY25 was the transitional year for OVSJG systems, and the agency continues to train, upgrade, and refine the system with hope of reaching full functionality in the coming years.

55. Please describe the agency's restorative justice grants, including:

- a. ***The grantees selected in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date;***
- b. ***The amount of each grant;***
- c. ***A brief description of the approved use of funds for each grant and how the schools were selected;***
- d. ***Performance data collected demonstrating program outcomes; and***
- e. ***Whether all of the available funding was disbursed to grantees in FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date—and, if not, how much funding has not been disbursed in each fiscal year.***

Please see attachment.

Services for Returning Citizens

56. Please provide an update on the activities of the Reentry Action Network in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

The Reentry Action Network (RAN) is a coalition of nonprofit organizations that provide direct reentry services to justice-involved District residents. RAN gives its members a platform to exchange information, address issues of mutual concern, strengthen services, and act as a collective voice to best meet the complex and varied needs of incarcerated and returning citizens in the District. Ultimately, RAN strives to ensure that all justice-

involved people in DC have access to high-quality reentry services to support their successful reintegration back into the community. RAN holds general meetings, of which its leadership and members determine the speakers and topics of discussion. RAN held one meeting in FY25 and one meeting in FY26.

57. Please describe the agency's Reentry Flex Funding grants, including:

- a. The grantees selected in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date;**
- b. The amount of each grant;**
- c. A brief description of the approved use of funds for each grant;**
- d. Performance data collected demonstrating program outcomes; and**
- e. Whether all of the available funding was disbursed to grantees in FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date—and, if not, how much funding has not been disbursed in each fiscal year.**

Please see attachment.

58. Please provide an update on the agency's grantmaking for reentry housing services in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, including:

- a. The grantees selected in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date;**
- b. The amount of each grant;**
- c. A brief description of the approved use of funds for each grant;**
- d. Performance data collected demonstrating program outcomes; and**
- e. Whether all of the available funding was disbursed to grantees in FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date—and, if not, how much funding has not been disbursed in each fiscal year.**

Please see attachment.

59. Please provide any updates on the agency's grantmaking to support individuals petitioning under or released pursuant to the Incarceration Reduction Amendment Act of 2016, including:

- a. The grantees selected in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date;**
- b. The amount of each grant;**
- c. A brief description of the approved use of funds for each grant;**
- d. Performance data collected demonstrating program outcomes; and**
- e. Whether all of the available funding was disbursed to grantees in FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date—and, if not, how much funding has not been disbursed in each fiscal year.**

Please see attachment.

Other Victim Services

60. Please provide an update on the activities of the Victim Assistance Network in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date.

The Victim Assistance Network (VAN) met four times in FY25 and have met once in FY26. The VAN discussed loss of federal funding at VAN member organizations due to the DOJ revoking grants from organizations that serve immigrant victims of crime. The VAN presented their fiscal year budget requests and summarized advocacy efforts.

61. What new efforts or initiatives has the agency undertaken since its 2024 Performance Oversight responses to meet the needs of victims specifically from historically underserved and/or marginalized communities (e.g., immigrants, low-English-proficiency, LGBTQIA+, etc.)?

OVSJG continues to prioritize equitable grant-making, and ensure the grant process is accessible to all organizations. OVSJG will continue to provide pre-bidder webinars, Q&A inboxes, and technical assistance in the application process to emphasize understanding. OVSJG continues to provide capacity-building grants that aim to reduce barriers for smaller grassroots and historically underfunded community-based organizations to secure and manage larger sums of funding.

62. For the Crime Victims Assistance Fund (“CVAF”) in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date, please provide an itemization, by category, of how funds were awarded from the CVAF.

a. Please also include the fund balance.

The pre-audit balance of the fund is \$3,588,552, with \$3,156,661 budgeted for FY 2026.

b. What is the amount of the last transfer from the Courts into the CVAF? On what date was that transfer made?

The last transfer from the Courts was on April 16, 2025, in the amount of was \$1,047,288. No transfers have been made for FY26.

In FY25, no funds were awarded from the Crime Victims Assistance Fund (CVAF). In FY26, CVAF funds have been awarded to hospitals to supplement activities of the hospital-based violence intervention programs and CVAF has been awarded to Children’s National Medical Center for their Response to Child and Adolescent Victimization program, and to MedStar Washington Hospital Center for Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) exams.

63. What was the balance of the Shelter Fund at the end of FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date?

The balance was \$0 at the end of FY25 and is still \$0 today.

a. What was spent from the Shelter Fund in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date?

Zero dollars were spent from the Shelter Fund in FY25 and FY26, to date.

b. What spending is planned for the remainder of FY 2026?

Zero dollars will be spent for the remainder of FY26.

64. What was the balance of Victims of Crime Act (“VOCA”) funding received by the District at the end of FY 2025 and in FY 2026, to date?

At the end of FY25, the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) balance was \$480,565. In FY26 to date the balance is \$3,516,615.

65. How many vouchers and rebates have been awarded through the Private Security Camera Incentive Program in FY 2025 and FY 2026, to date?

In FY25, the Private Security Camera Incentive Program (PSCIP) approved 353 rebates and voucher applications.

**Please note, On March 11, 2025, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a Continuing Resolution that omitted the usual provision that allowed the local government of Washington, D.C., to spend under its local fiscal year (FY25) budget, and reverted the District of Columbia to spending under its previous FY24, which was roughly \$1 billion less. As a result, the District took significant steps to avoid the most severe cuts that would have directly impacted residents, businesses, and visitors.*

In FY25, the PSCIP implemented a program freeze that suspended the issuance of reimbursement and vouchers for half of the fiscal year. Notifications were sent to participants, an update was posted on the agency’s website to inform potential applicants, and all marketing, as well as outreach efforts were paused. These necessary actions have impacted on the number of participants enrolled during this reporting period.

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

As of FY26 to date, the Private Security Camera Incentive Program has approved 98 rebate and voucher applications, with additional applications currently in process.

- a. How many security cameras and how many glass break sensors, disaggregated by PSAs, were funded through these vouchers and rebates?**

Year to date, there have been 1,111 security cameras or glass break sensors funded through the Private Security Camera Rebate and Voucher programs.

PSA	Rebates/ Vouchers Issued	PSA	Rebates/ Vouchers Issued	PSA	Rebates/ Vouchers Issued	PSA	Rebates/ Vouchers Issued
101	0	208	10	405	21	603	14
102	0	209	7	406	31	604	8
103	12	301	1	407	28	605	23
104	44	302	14	408	10	606	23
105	4	303	6	409	15	607	23
106	27	304	13	501	23	608	7
107	62	305	16	502	44	701	17
108	39	306	18	503	39	702	0
201	33	307	7	504	9	703	12
202	56	308	34	505	1	704	11
203	11	401	18	506	32	705	0
204	24	402	20	507	39	706	4
205	40	403	55	601	4	707	4
206	31	404	49	602	11	708	0
207	7						

- b. To the agency's knowledge, how many times has the footage or other evidence captured by these cameras or glass break sensors been used by MPD?**

In FY25, there were 11 documented requests for footage by MPD from program recipients that were successfully extracted. MPD detectives may also be in direct contact with a program recipient without that information being tracked or reported.

- c. Please describe how the agency publicized information about this program to the public in FY 2025 and FY 2026, which police service areas were prioritized, and why.**

Prior to the program freeze in FY25, PSCIP actively engaged in outreach and capacity-building efforts. These included participation in an internal training session for the Ambassador Program and attendance at multiple public safety meetings and events across various police servicing areas (PSAs), reinforcing the program's district-wide implementation approach. In FY26, PSCIP developed a strategic outreach plan centered on four primary objectives: (1) strengthening partnerships with Business Improvement Districts (BIDs), Main Streets, and neighborhood associations; (2) expanding collaboration with local agencies that have direct connections to program participants to improve information clarity and increase public awareness; (3) actively attending community meetings and events directly related to the program; and (4) distributing a substantial volume of targeted marketing materials to commercial business districts and newly developed communities across Washington, D.C.

d. How have the updates OVSJG made to streamline the online application impacted review process times?

To enhance the application review process, PSCIP implemented standardized documentation requirements and intake checks through its application screener, improving efficiency and consistency. The program also established internal procedures to notify leadership and request additional support when unreviewed applications exceed a defined threshold.

Due to a budget freeze in the previous fiscal year, the impact of these measures could not be accurately documented, as many applications were processed only after funding was beyond the anticipated deadline.

e. Consistent with D.C. Law 25-0175, please provide a precise timeline for when (i) staff will be hired for the expanded private security camera program (to include exterior cameras and glass-break sensors for small businesses), (ii) the website will be updated to accept rebate applications and include information about glass break sensors, and (iii) the expanded program will be implemented.

i. The agency is expected to hire a program coordinator for the PSCIP before the end of the second quarter in FY26. The hiring of a program coordinator was placed on hold during FY25 due to the district wide hiring freeze.

ii. The website for the private security camera incentive program was reactivated to accept rebate and voucher applications beginning October 1, 2025. In FY25,

JPS Performance Oversight Questions (FY25-26)
OVSJG

the website was rewritten to include information regarding interior cameras and glass break sensors.

iii. The expansions to the rebate program were structured and implemented by the beginning of FY26, October 1, 2025.